

Cyclotomic complexes

D. Kaledin

Contents

1	Cyclic categories.	4
1.1	Connes' cyclic category.	4
1.2	Cyclotomic category.	7
1.3	Extended categories.	9
1.4	More on extended cyclic category.	11
1.5	Homological properties.	13
1.6	Homological vanishing.	15
2	Cyclic Mackey functors.	17
2.1	The quotient category description.	17
2.2	Geometric fixed points.	20
2.3	Coalgebra description.	23
2.3.1	A_∞ -coalgebra.	23
2.3.2	The comparison theorem.	26
2.4	Restriction and corestriction.	30
3	Cyclotomic complexes.	32
3.1	Normalized ΛR -graded coalgebras.	32
3.2	Reduced ΛR -graded coalgebras.	33
3.3	Comodules.	37
4	Equivariant homology.	40
4.1	Generalities on equivariant homotopy.	40
4.2	Cyclic sets.	43
4.3	Cyclic Mackey functors.	48
4.4	Cyclotomic complexes.	53

5	Filtered Dieudonné modules.	57
5.1	Definitions.	57
5.2	Filtered objects.	59
5.3	Cyclic expansion and subdivision.	61
5.4	Stabilization.	64
5.5	Comparison.	66
6	Topological cyclic homology.	68
7	Appendix.	71

Introduction.

This paper is a sequel to [Ka2]; the goal of both papers is to try to understand how some purely homological notions used in [Ka1] are related to Topological Cyclic Homology of [BHM]. This turned out to be a rather lengthy project, since one has to construct appropriate homological counterparts of several notions from stable homotopy theory.

The paper [Ka2] dealt with derived Mackey functors, homological analogues of genuine G -equivariant spectra of [LMS]. While the abelian category of Mackey functors is very well known in stable homotopy theory, and does play an important role, its most naive derived generalization turned to be not quite well-behaved. Thus a slightly different derived version of Mackey functors was constructed and studied in [Ka2].

The present paper deals with cyclotomic spectra of [BM], especially as presented in [HM]. To find a homological counterpart for those, one first has to go beyond [Ka2]: by definition, cyclotomic spectra are equivariant with respect to the circle group S^1 , and [Ka2] only dealt with finite groups G . We cannot really construct a good homological analogue of all S^1 -spectra spectra, but we do construct a category $\mathcal{DM}\Lambda(\mathbb{Z})$ of “cyclic Mackey functors” which captures the part of the equivariant stable category relevant to Topological Cyclic Homology. We then introduces the triangulated category $\mathcal{DAR}(\mathbb{Z})$ of “cyclotomic complexes”.

Ideally, the relation between cyclotomic complexes and cyclotomic spectra should be expressed by a commutative diagram

$$(0.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{DAR}(\mathbb{Z}) & \longrightarrow & \text{Cycl} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{Z}) & \longrightarrow & \text{StHom} \end{array}$$

of “brave new schemes”, understood for example as tensor triangulated categories with some enhancement. Here $\mathbf{StHom}$ is the stable homotopy category, $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{Z})$ is the derived category of abelian groups, and $\mathbf{Cycl}$ is the category of cyclotomic spectra. The diagram should be “almost Cartesian”. More precisely, it should become Cartesian if we restrict our attention to the subcategory $\mathbf{Cycl}^o \subset \mathbf{Cycl}$ of cyclotomic spectra T with trivial geometric fixed points $\Phi^{S^1}T$ with respect to the whole group S^1 .

At present, such a nice picture seems way beyond reach; besides the obvious difficulties with making all the “brave new” notions precise, it seems that up to now, no-one constructed $\mathbf{Cycl}$ even as a triangulated category. Thus in practice, we restrict our attention to the following two things:

- (i) we construct an equivariant homology cyclotomic complex $C_*(T)$ for every cyclotomic spectrum T ; this ought to correspond to the top arrow in (0.1),
- (ii) we construct a topological cyclic homology functor TC on the category $\mathcal{DAR}(\mathbb{Z})$ in such a way that for any cyclotomic spectrum T , $\mathrm{TC}(C_*(T))$ is naturally identified with the homology of the spectrum $\mathrm{TC}(T)$.

We then adopt a different perspective and give a completely different and very simple description of the category $\mathcal{DAR}(\mathbb{Z})$. As it happens, cyclotomic complexes are essentially equivalent to “filtered Dieudonné modules” of [FL]. Filtered Dieudonné modules are rather simple linear-algebraic gadgets with a deep meaning — they give a p -adic counterpart of Deligne’s notion of a mixed Hodge structure, and the whole story acquires a distinctly motivic flavour. A more detailed discussion of this is available in [Ka3].

Filtered Dieudonné modules arise naturally as the crystalline cohomology of algebraic varieties over $\mathbb{Z}_p$, while cyclotomic spectra appear as Topological Hochschild Homology spectra of ring spectra A . In view of the equivalence we established, there are many areas of intersection where one can compare the two constructions. We did not attempt to do so in this paper; we stick to pure linear algebra, and leave the geometric applications for future research. The only comparison result that we prove says that for profinitely complete cyclotomic complexes, the topological cyclic homology TC in fact coincides with the syntomic cohomology of [FM] familiar in the theory of Dieudonné modules.

The paper is organized as follows. To begin the story, we need some model for S^1 -equivariant spaces and their homology; for better or for worse, we have chosen to use the combinatorial approach using A. Connes’s category Λ . Section 1 contains necessary facts about the category Λ and its

various cousins. In Section 2, we construct cyclic Mackey functors. Section 3 deals with cyclotomic complexes. Section 4 is the technical heart of the paper: here we construct equivariant homology functors from S^1 -spectra to $\mathcal{DM}(\mathbb{Z})$ and from cyclotomic spectra to $\mathcal{DA}(\mathbb{Z})$. Then in Section 5, we forget all about topology and prove the comparison theorem between cyclotomic complexes and filtered Dieudonné modules. Finally, in Section 6 we briefly discuss topological cyclic homology, and prove the comparison theorems for TC. Appendix contains some technicalities, mostly from [Ka2].

Acknowledgements. Many discussions on the subject with G. Merzon were very inspirational and helpful. I owe a lot to L. Hesselholt for his patient explanation about topology (this goes both for this paper in particular, and for the whole project in general). I am grateful for V. Vologodsky for explanations about Dieudonné modules. It is a pleasure to thank A. Beilinson, V. Drinfeld, V. Ginzburg, D. Kazhdan, and J.P. May for their interest in this work. A part of the paper was finished at the Hebrew University of Jerusalem, and another part was done while visiting the University of Chicago; the hospitality of both places is gratefully acknowledged.

1 Cyclic categories.

1.1 Connes' cyclic category. Recall that A. Connes' *cyclic category* Λ is a small category whose objects $[n]$ are indexed by positive integers n , $n \geq 1$. Maps between $[n]$ and $[m]$ can be defined in various equivalent ways; for the convenience of the reader, we recall two of these descriptions.

Topological description. The object $[n]$ is thought of as a “wheel” – a cellular decomposition of the circle S^1 with n 0-cells, called *vertices*, and n 1-cells, called *edges*. A continuous map $f : S^1 \rightarrow S^1$ induces a map $\tilde{f} : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ of the universal covers; say that f is *monotonous* if $\tilde{f}(a) \geq \tilde{f}(b)$ for any $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, $a \geq b$. Then morphisms from $[n]$ to $[m]$ in the category Λ are homotopy classes of *monotonous continuous maps* $f : [n] \rightarrow [m]$ which have degree 1 and send vertices to vertices.

Combinatorial description. Consider the category Λ_{big} of totally ordered sets equipped with an order-preserving endomorphism τ . Let $[n] \in \Lambda_{big}$ be the set $\mathbb{Z}$ with the natural linear order and endomorphism $\tau : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$, $\tau(a) = a + n$. Let $\Lambda_\infty \subset \Lambda_{big}$ be the full subcategory spanned by $[n]$, $n \geq 1$. For any $[n], [m] \in \Lambda_\infty$, the set $\Lambda_\infty([n], [m])$ is acted upon by the endomorphism τ (on the left, or on the right, by definition it does not

matter). We define the set of maps $\Lambda([n], [m])$ in the category Λ by

$$(1.1) \quad \Lambda([n], [m]) = \Lambda_\infty([n], [m])/\tau.$$

Other descriptions are possible, see [L, Chapter 6] and Appendix to [FT].

For any $[n] \in \Lambda$, the set $V([n])$ of vertices of the corresponding decomposition of the circle can be naturally identified with the set $\Lambda([1], [n])$ of maps from $[1]$ to $[n]$, and the set $E([n])$ of edges can be identified with the set $\Lambda([n], [1])$ – in particular, $E(-)$ is a contravariant functor (geometrically, the preimage of an edge is contained in exactly one edge). The automorphism group $\text{Aut}([n])$ is the cyclic group $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ generated by the clockwise rotation; we will denote the generator by σ . In the combinatorial description, σ corresponds to the map $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$, $a \mapsto a + 1$.

Given an integer $p \geq 2$, one can define a category Λ_p by taking the same set of objects $[n]$, $n \geq 1$, and setting

$$\Lambda_p([n], [m]) = \Lambda_\infty([n], [m])/\tau^p.$$

The category Λ_p is intermediate between Λ_∞ and Λ ; in particular, the obvious projections $\Lambda_\infty([n], [m])/\tau^p \rightarrow \Lambda_\infty([n], [m])/\tau$ together define a functor

$$\pi_p : \Lambda_p \rightarrow \Lambda.$$

The functor π_p is a bifibration with fiber $\mathbf{pt}_p = [1/(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})]$, the groupoid with one object and automorphism group $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$. On the other hand, $\Lambda_p([n], [m])$ can be identified with the set of maps $f : [np] \rightarrow [mp]$ in Λ such that $f \circ \sigma^n = \sigma^m \circ f$; this gives a canonical functor

$$i_p : \Lambda_p \rightarrow \Lambda$$

such that on objects, we have $i_p([n]) = [np]$. Denote by

$$(1.2) \quad \Lambda I = \coprod_{p \geq 1} \Lambda_p$$

the disjoint union of all the categories Λ_p , $p \geq 1$. Then the functors i_p and π_p can be considered together as two functors

$$(1.3) \quad i, \pi : \Lambda I \rightarrow \Lambda.$$

The category Λ is self-dual: an equivalence $\Lambda \cong \Lambda^{opp}$ sends every object to itself, and a morphism $[n] \rightarrow [m]$ represented by a map $f : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ goes to the map represented by $f_\# : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$,

$$(1.4) \quad f_\#(a) = \max\{b \in \mathbb{Z} | f(b) \leq a\}.$$

In the topological description, the duality interchanged edges and vertices and corresponds to taking the dual cellular decomposition.

For any $[n], [m] \in \Lambda$, the set of maps $\Lambda([n], [m])$ is finite. The groups $\text{Aut}([n])$ and $\text{Aut}([m])$ act on $\Lambda([n], [m])$ by compositions, and both these actions are stabilizer-free. We will need the following slightly more general fact.

Lemma 1.1. *Assume given three integers m, n, l such that $m, l \geq 1, n \geq 2$, and a map $f : [nl] \rightarrow [m]$ in Λ such that*

$$f \circ \sigma^l = \sigma^{l_1} \circ f$$

for some integer $l_1, 0 \leq l_1 < m$. Then $m = nl_1$.

Proof. Use the combinatorial description of Λ . Then f is represented by an order-preserving map $\tilde{f} : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$(1.5) \quad \tilde{f}(a + nl) = \tilde{f}(a) + m, \quad \tilde{f}(a + l) = \tilde{f}(a) + l_1 + bm$$

for any $a \in \mathbb{Z}$, where b is a fixed integer independent of a . Since $a \leq a + l \leq a + nl$, this implies $0 \leq l_1 + bm \leq m$, so that either $l_1 = 0$ and $b = 1$, or $b = 0$. The first case is impossible since σ^l acts on $\Lambda([nl], [m])$ without fixed points. Thus $b = 0$, and (1.5) immediately implies the claim. $\square$

The category $\Lambda/[1]$ of objects $[n] \in \Lambda$ equipped with a map $[n] \rightarrow [1]$ is naturally equivalent to the category Δ of non-empty finite totally ordered sets: geometrically, $\Lambda/[1]$ is the category of wheels with a fixed edge, and removing this edge creates a canonical clockwise total order on the set of vertices of the wheel. We thus have a natural discrete fibration $\Delta \cong \Lambda/[1] \rightarrow \Lambda$ inducing a cofibration $j^o : \Delta^{opp} \rightarrow \Lambda^{opp}$. Dually, the category $[1] \backslash \Lambda$ of objects $[n] \in \Lambda$ equipped with a map $[1] \rightarrow [n]$ is equivalent to Δ^{opp} , so that we get a natural discrete fibration $j : \Delta \rightarrow \Lambda^{opp}$ (geometrically, Δ^{opp} is the category of wheels with a fixed vertex). The same constructions work for the categories $\Lambda_n, n \geq 2$. In particular, we obtain a canonical functor

$$j_n : \Delta \rightarrow \Lambda_n^{opp},$$

and we have $\pi \circ j_n = j$ for any $n \geq 2$. Let $\Delta_n \rightarrow \Delta$ be the bifibration obtained by the Cartesian square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Delta_n & \longrightarrow & \Lambda_n^{opp} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi_n \\ \Delta & \xrightarrow{j_n} & \Lambda^{opp}. \end{array}$$

Then the functor j_n gives a splitting $\Delta \rightarrow \Delta_n$ of this bifibration, so that we have $\Delta_n \cong \Delta \times \mathbf{pt}_n$. In more down-to-earth terms, this means that the group $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ acts on the functor j_n . We can also compose j_n with the embedding i_n ; this results in a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Delta & \xrightarrow{j_n} & \Lambda_n^{opp} \\ r_n \downarrow & & \downarrow i_n \\ \Delta & \xrightarrow{j} & \Lambda^{opp}, \end{array}$$

where $r_n : \Delta \rightarrow \Delta$ is the *edgewise subdivision functor* given by

$$(1.6) \quad r_n([m]) = [n] \times [m],$$

where $[m], [n]$ are totally ordered sets with m resp. n elements, and $[n] \times [m]$ is given the left-to-right lexicographical order.

1.2 Cyclotomic category. We now introduce the following definition based on the topological description of the category Λ .

Definition 1.2. The *cyclotomic category* ΛR is the small category with the same objects $[n]$, $n \geq 1$, as the category Λ . If we think of $[n]$ as a configuration of n marked points on a circle S^1 , then morphisms from $[n]$ to $[m]$ in the category ΛR are homotopy classes of monotonous continuous maps $f : [n] \rightarrow [m]$ which send marked points to marked points and have positive degree, $\deg f \geq 1$.

The only difference with the category Λ is that the maps are allowed to have degree bigger than 1. A typical new map is obtained as follows. For every configuration of n points on a circle and any positive integer $l \geq 1$, consider the l -fold étale cover $\pi_l : S^1 \rightarrow S^1$, and the configuration of nl preimages of n marked points. Then π gives a well-defined map $\pi_{n,l} : [nl] \rightarrow [n]$ in the category ΛR . Moreover, every map $f : [m] \rightarrow [n]$ of degree l in the category ΛR factors as $f = \pi_{n,l} \circ f'$ for some $f' : [m] \rightarrow [nl]$ of degree 1, and such a factorization is unique up to the action of the group $\mathbb{Z}/l\mathbb{Z}$ of deck transformations of the covering $\pi_l : S^1 \rightarrow S^1$. Thus the set $\Lambda R_l([m], [n])$ of degree- l maps from $[m]$ to $[n]$ is naturally identified with the quotient

$$(1.7) \quad \Lambda R_l([m], [n]) = \Lambda([m], [nl]) / (\mathbb{Z}/l\mathbb{Z})$$

by the action of the group $\mathbb{Z}/l\mathbb{Z}$ generated by $\sigma^n : [nl] \rightarrow [nl]$. In particular, $\Lambda R_l([m], [n])$ is finite for every $[m], [n]$ and l .

Definition 1.3. A map $f : [m] \rightarrow [n]$ in the category ΛR is *horizontal* if it is of degree 1. A map $f : [m] \rightarrow [n]$ of some degree $l \geq 1$ is *vertical* if the map $f' : [m] \rightarrow [nl]$ in the decomposition $f = \pi_{n,l} \circ f$ is invertible.

It follows from the discussion above that vertical and horizontal maps form a factorization system on ΛR in the sense of Definition A.2. The subcategory ΛR_h formed by horizontal maps is by definition equivalent to Λ . Moreover, for any group G , let O_G be the category of *finite G -orbits* – that is, finite sets equipped with a transitive G -action. Then the subcategory $\Lambda R_v \subset \Lambda R$ formed by vertical maps is obviously equivalent to the orbit category $O_{\mathbb{Z}}$ – the equivalence sends $[n] \in \Lambda R$ to the orbit $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ (all finite $\mathbb{Z}$ -orbits are of this form).

Lemma 1.4. *For any pair of a horizontal map $h : [m_1] \rightarrow [m]$ and a vertical map $v : [m_2] \rightarrow [m]$ in ΛR , there exists a Cartesian square*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} [m_{12}] & \xrightarrow{h_1} & [m_2] \\ v_1 \downarrow & & \downarrow v \\ [m_1] & \xrightarrow{h} & [m] \end{array}$$

with horizontal h_1 and vertical v_1 .

Proof. Clear. □

Composing the functors i and π of (1.3) with the natural embedding $\Lambda \cong \Lambda R_h \hookrightarrow \Lambda R$, we obtain functors

$$\widetilde{i}, \widetilde{\pi} : \Lambda I \rightarrow \Lambda R.$$

Moreover, the quotient maps $\pi_{n,l}$, $n, l \geq 1$ taken together define a vertical map

$$(1.8) \quad \widetilde{v} : \widetilde{i} \rightarrow \widetilde{\pi}.$$

Let $\widetilde{\Lambda I}$ be the category of vertical maps $v : [m] \rightarrow [m']$ in ΛR , with maps from $v_1 : [m_1] \rightarrow [m'_1]$ to $v_2 : [m_2] \rightarrow [m'_2]$ given by commutative squares

$$(1.9) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} [m_1] & \xrightarrow{f} & [m_2] \\ v_1 \downarrow & & \downarrow v_2 \\ [m'_1] & \xrightarrow{f'} & [m'_2] \end{array}$$

with horizontal f, f' . Then sending $a \in \Lambda I$ to $\tilde{v} : \tilde{i}(a) \rightarrow \tilde{\pi}(a)$ defines a functor

$$(1.10) \quad \Lambda I \rightarrow \widetilde{\Lambda I}.$$

Lemma 1.5. *The functor (1.10) is an equivalence of categories.*

Proof. Clear. □

Sending a wheel $[n] \in \Lambda R$ to the set $V([n])$ of its vertices defines a functor $\Lambda R \rightarrow \text{Sets}$. We let

$$(1.11) \quad \Delta R \xrightarrow{\tilde{j}} \Lambda R^{opp}$$

be the discrete fibration corresponding to V by the Grothendieck construction.

Lemma 1.6. *The functor $\delta = \deg \circ \tilde{j} : \Delta R \rightarrow [1/\mathbb{N}^*]$ is a cofibration, with fiber Δ , and transition functor r_m corresponding to $m \in \mathbb{N}^*$ given by the edgewise subdivision functor.*

Proof. By definition, ΔR is opposite to the full subcategory in the slice category $[1] \backslash \Lambda R$ spanned by horizontal maps $h : [1] \rightarrow [n]$, $[n] \in \Lambda R$. Moreover, it inherits from ΛR the vertical/horizontal factorization system. One now immediately deduces that vertical maps are Cartesian with respect to δ , while the fiber of δ is spanned by horizontal maps. □

1.3 Extended categories. Let $\mathbb{N}^*$ be the monoid of positive integers $l \geq 1$ with respect to multiplication, and let $[1/\mathbb{N}^*]$ be the category with one object 1 and

$$\text{Hom}_{[1/\mathbb{N}^*]}(1, 1) = \mathbb{N}^*.$$

Sending a map to its degree gives then a functor

$$(1.12) \quad \deg : \Lambda R \rightarrow [1/\mathbb{N}^*].$$

This functor has a section, the fully faithful embedding $\alpha : [1/\mathbb{N}^*] \rightarrow \Lambda R$ which sends 1 to $[1] \in \Lambda R$ (any map $[1] \rightarrow [1]$ is uniquely determined by its degree). Moreover, let

$$I = 1 \backslash [1/\mathbb{N}^*]$$

be the category of objects $a \in [1/\mathbb{N}^*]$ equipped with a map $1 \rightarrow a$ (the slice category). Equivalently, I is $\mathbb{N}^*$ considered as a partially ordered set with

order given by divisibility, and turned into a category in the standard way. Then we have a natural cofibration

$$(1.13) \quad I \rightarrow [1/\mathbb{N}^*]$$

whose fiber is the set $\mathbb{N}^*$ considered as a discrete category. By the Grothendieck construction, this corresponds to a functor $[1/\mathbb{N}^*] \rightarrow \text{Sets}$ sending 1 to $\mathbb{N}^*$, in other words, to an action of the monoid $\mathbb{N}^*$ on itself; the action is by right multiplication.

Let now $\mathbb{N}^*$ act on itself both on the right and on the left, and let $\mathbb{I}$ be corresponding category cofibered over $[1/\mathbb{N}^*] \times [1/\mathbb{N}^*]$ with fiber $\mathbb{N}^*$. Equivalently, $\mathbb{I}$ is obtained by the Cartesian square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{I} & \longrightarrow & I \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ [1/\mathbb{N}^*] \times [1/\mathbb{N}^*] & \longrightarrow & [1/\mathbb{N}^*], \end{array}$$

where the bottom map is induced by the product map $\mathbb{N}^* \times \mathbb{N}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{N}^*$. Composing the cofibration $\mathbb{I} \rightarrow [1/\mathbb{N}^*] \times [1/\mathbb{N}^*]$ with the projection onto the right multiple $[1/\mathbb{N}^*]$, we obtain a cofibration

$$(1.14) \quad \mathbb{I} \rightarrow [1/\mathbb{N}^*],$$

with the fiber I . We also have a natural Cartesian functor $I \rightarrow \mathbb{I}$; on fibers, it is given by the inclusion of the discrete category $\mathbb{N}^*$ into I (which is nothing but $\mathbb{N}^*$ considered as a partially ordered set). Explicitly, objects in $\mathbb{I}$ are positive integers $n \geq 1$, and morphisms are generated by morphisms

$$(1.15) \quad F_l, R_l : n \rightarrow nl$$

for any $n, l \geq 1$, subject to relations

$$F_n \circ F_m = F_{nm}, \quad R_n \circ R_m = R_{nm}, \quad F_n \circ R_m = R_m \circ F_n$$

for any $n, m \geq 1$. This is opposite to the category introduced by T. Goodwillie in [Good].

Definition 1.7. The *extended cyclic category* $\Lambda\mathbb{Z}$ is given by

$$\Lambda\mathbb{Z} = \Lambda R \times_{[1/\mathbb{N}^*]} I,$$

and the *extended cyclotomic category* $\widetilde{\Lambda R}$ is given by

$$\widetilde{\Lambda R} = \Lambda R \times_{[1/\mathbb{N}^*]} \mathbb{I},$$

where in both cases, $\Lambda R \rightarrow [1/\mathbb{N}^*]$ is the degree functor $\deg$ of (1.12), and $I \rightarrow [1/\mathbb{N}^*]$ resp. $\mathbb{I} \rightarrow [1/\mathbb{N}^*]$ are the cofibrations (1.13) resp. (1.14).

The section $\alpha : [1/\mathbb{N}^*] \rightarrow \Lambda R$ of the degree functor $\deg : \Lambda R \rightarrow [1/\mathbb{N}^*]$ induces a functor

$$(1.16) \quad \tilde{\alpha} : \mathbb{I} \rightarrow \widetilde{\Lambda R}.$$

By definition, we have cofibrations

$$(1.17) \quad \lambda : \Lambda \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \Lambda R, \quad \tilde{\lambda} : \widetilde{\Lambda R} \rightarrow \Lambda R,$$

with fibers identified with $\mathbb{N}^*$ resp. I . Explicitly, objects in either $\Lambda \mathbb{Z}$ or $\widetilde{\Lambda R}$ are given by pairs $\langle [n] \in \Lambda R, l \in \mathbb{N}^* \rangle$; we will denote such a pair by $[n|m]$. A map from $[n|m]$ to $[n', m']$ in $\Lambda \mathbb{Z}$ resp. $\widetilde{\Lambda R}$ is a map $f : [n] \rightarrow [n']$ in ΛR such that $m' = m \deg f$, resp. $m' = lm \deg f$ for some integer $l \geq 1$. The vertical/horizontal factorization system then induces an analogous vertical/horizontal factorization systems on $\Lambda \mathbb{Z}$ and $\widetilde{\Lambda R}$. Denote by $\Lambda \mathbb{Z}_v, \Lambda \mathbb{Z}_h \subset \Lambda \mathbb{Z}$, $\widetilde{\Lambda R}_h, \widetilde{\Lambda R}_v \subset \widetilde{\Lambda R}$ the subcategories spanned by vertical, resp. horizontal maps. Then $\Lambda \mathbb{Z}_h$ and $\widetilde{\Lambda R}_h$ decompose as

$$(1.18) \quad \Lambda \mathbb{Z}_h = \coprod_{m \geq 1} \Lambda \mathbb{Z}_h^m \cong \mathbb{N}^* \times \Lambda, \quad \widetilde{\Lambda R}_h = I \times \Lambda,$$

where $\Lambda \mathbb{Z}_h^m$ is the full subcategory spanned by the objects $[n|m]$, $n \geq 1$, and for every m , the category $\Lambda \mathbb{Z}_h^m$ is naturally equivalent to Λ . On the other hand, the category $\Lambda \mathbb{Z}_v$ decomposes as

$$(1.19) \quad \Lambda \mathbb{Z}_v = \coprod_{n \geq 1} \Lambda \mathbb{Z}_v^n \cong \coprod_{n \geq 1} O_{\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}},$$

where $\Lambda \mathbb{Z}_v^n$ is the full subcategory spanned by objects $[n'|m']$ with $n = n'm'$, and for every n , the category $\Lambda \mathbb{Z}_v^n$ is naturally equivalent to the category $O_{\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}}$. These decompositions are induced by the identifications $\Lambda R_h \cong \Lambda$, $\Lambda R_v \cong O_{\mathbb{Z}}$.

1.4 More on extended cyclic category. Here are some more simple properties of the category $\Lambda \mathbb{Z}$. By Yoneda, the category $\Lambda \mathbb{Z}$ is fully and faithfully embedded into the category $\text{Fun}(\Lambda \mathbb{Z}^{opp}, \text{Sets})$. Restricting to $\Lambda = \Lambda \mathbb{Z}_h^1 \subset \Lambda \mathbb{Z}$, we obtain a functor

$$Y : \Lambda \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\Lambda^{opp}, \text{Sets}).$$

This gives an alternative purely combinatorial description of the category $\Lambda \mathbb{Z}$, since we have the following result.

Lemma 1.8. *The functor Y is fully faithful.*

Proof. By definition, $Y([n|1])$ is the functor $h_{[n]} : \Lambda^{opp} \rightarrow \text{Sets}$ represented by $[n] \in \Lambda$, and by (1.7), $Y([n|m])$ for $m \geq 2$ is the quotient $h_{[nm]}/(\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})$ of $h_{[nm]}$ by the action of the cyclic group $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z} \subset \text{Aut}([nm])$. We then have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}(Y([n|m]), Y([n'|m'])) &= \text{Hom}(h_{[nm]}, h_{[n'm']}/(\mathbb{Z}/m'\mathbb{Z}))^{\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}} \\ &= (\Lambda([nm], [n'm'])/(\mathbb{Z}/m'\mathbb{Z}))^{\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}}, \end{aligned}$$

and by Lemma 1.1, this is non-empty only if $m' = lm$ for some l , and coincides with

$$\Lambda([n, n'l])/(\mathbb{Z}/l\mathbb{Z}).$$

Again by (1.7), this coincides with $\Lambda\mathbb{Z}([n|m], [n'|m']) = \Lambda R_l([n], [n'l])$. $\square$

Lemma 1.9. *For any pair of a horizontal map $h : [n_1|m] \rightarrow [n|m]$ and a vertical map $v : [n'|m'] \rightarrow [n|m]$, there exists a cartesian square*

$$(1.20) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} [n'_1|m'] & \xrightarrow{v'} & [n_1|m] \\ h' \downarrow & & \downarrow h \\ [n'|m'] & \xrightarrow{v} & [n|m] \end{array}$$

in $\Lambda\mathbb{Z}$ with horizontal h' and vertical v' .

Proof. Use Lemma 1.4 and notice that $\deg v_1 = \deg v$. $\square$

By virtue of this Lemma, we can define a new category $\widehat{\Lambda\mathbb{Z}}$ as follows: the objects are the same as in $\Lambda\mathbb{Z}$, morphisms from c to c' are given by isomorphism classes of diagrams

$$(1.21) \quad c \xleftarrow{v} c_1 \xrightarrow{h} c'$$

with vertical v and horizontal h . Composition is given by pullbacks. Note that a diagram (1.21) has no non-trivial automorphisms. Therefore $\widehat{\Lambda\mathbb{Z}}$ has a natural factorization system, with horizontal resp. vertical maps represented by a diagram with invertible v resp. h . As before, we denote the corresponding subcategories by $\widehat{\Lambda\mathbb{Z}}_h, \widehat{\Lambda\mathbb{Z}}_v \subset \widehat{\Lambda\mathbb{Z}}$. We have decomposition

$$\widehat{\Lambda\mathbb{Z}}_v = \coprod_{n \geq 1} O_{\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}}^{opp}, \quad \Lambda\mathbb{Z}_h = \mathbb{N}^* \times \Lambda$$

induced by (1.19) and (1.18), so that we have $\widehat{\Lambda\mathbb{Z}}_v \cong \Lambda\mathbb{Z}_v^{opp}$ and $\widehat{\Lambda\mathbb{Z}}_h \cong \Lambda\mathbb{Z}_h$. Note that the equivalence $\Lambda \cong \Lambda^{opp}$ of (1.4) gives an equivalence

$$(1.22) \quad \Lambda\mathbb{Z}_h \cong \mathbb{N}^* \times \Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda\mathbb{Z}_h^{opp} \cong \mathbb{N}^* \times \Lambda^{opp}.$$

Lemma 1.10. *There exists an equivalence of categories*

$$\widehat{\Lambda\mathbb{Z}} \cong \Lambda\mathbb{Z}^{opp}$$

which restricts to the identity functor $\widehat{\Lambda\mathbb{Z}}_v \cong \Lambda\mathbb{Z}_v^{opp} \rightarrow \Lambda\mathbb{Z}_v^{opp} \subset \Lambda\mathbb{Z}^{opp}$ and to the equivalence $\widehat{\Lambda\mathbb{Z}}_h \cong \Lambda\mathbb{Z}_h \rightarrow \Lambda\mathbb{Z}_h^{opp} \subset \Lambda\mathbb{Z}^{opp}$ of (1.22).

Proof. The shape of the equivalence $\widehat{\Lambda\mathbb{Z}} \cong \Lambda\mathbb{Z}^{opp}$ is prescribed by the conditions: it is identical on objects and on vertical morphisms, and it sends a horizontal morphism h in some $\Lambda\mathbb{Z}_h^m \subset \Lambda\mathbb{Z}$, $\Lambda\mathbb{Z}_h^m \cong \Lambda$ to $h_\#$. To see that this is consistent, we have to check that for any Cartesian square (1.20), the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} [n'_1|m'] & \xrightarrow{v'} & [n_1|m] \\ h'_\# \uparrow & & \uparrow h_\# \\ [n'|m'] & \xrightarrow{v} & [n|m] \end{array}$$

is commutative. This immediately follows from the construction: both maps h and h' can be represented by the same map $[n_1m] \rightarrow [nm]$. $\square$

1.5 Homological properties. The geometric realization $|\Lambda|$ of the nerve of the category Λ is homotopy equivalent to $BU(1)$, the classifying space of the unit circle group $U(1)$. In particular, the cohomology of the category $\Lambda \cong \Lambda^{opp}$ with coefficients in the constant functor $\mathbb{Z}$ is given by

$$H^\bullet(\Lambda^{opp}, \mathbb{Z}) = H^\bullet(\Lambda, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}[u],$$

where u is a generator of degree 2. To see $u \in H^2(\Lambda, \mathbb{Z})$ explicitly, associate to a wheel $[n] \in \Lambda$ its cellular cohomology complex $C^\bullet([n], \mathbb{Z})$. Since topologically, every wheel is the circle $S^1 \cong U(1)$, we have an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow C^0([n], \mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow C^1([n], \mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow 0$$

for any $[n] \in \Lambda$. This sequence depends functorially on $[n]$, so that we obtain an exact sequence

$$(1.23) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{b_0} j_*\mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{B} j_!^o\mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{b_1} \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow 0$$

of functors in $\text{Fun}(\Lambda^{opp}, \mathbb{Z})$, where we have identified

$$\begin{aligned} C^1([n], \mathbb{Z}) &\cong \mathbb{Z}[E([n])] \cong (j_!^o \mathbb{Z})([n]), \\ C^0([n], \mathbb{Z}) &\cong \mathbb{Z}[V([n])]^* \cong (j_* \mathbb{Z})([n]), \end{aligned}$$

with $j : \Delta \rightarrow \Lambda^{opp}$, $j^o : \Delta^{opp} \rightarrow \Lambda^{opp}$ as in Subsection 1.1. The exact sequence (1.23) represents by Yoneda an element $u \in \text{Ext}^2(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z})$; this is the generator of the polynomial algebra $\text{Ext}^\bullet(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}) = H^\bullet(\Lambda^{opp}, \mathbb{Z})$.

The cellular cohomology complexes are also functorial with respect to maps of higher degrees, so that the exact sequence (1.23) extends to the category $\text{Fun}(\Lambda R, \mathbb{Z})$. The extended sequence takes the form

$$(1.24) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{b_0} \tilde{j}_* \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{B} E \xrightarrow{b_1} \text{deg}^* \mathbb{Z}(1) \longrightarrow 0,$$

where $\tilde{j}$ is as in (1.11), $E \in \text{Fun}(\Lambda R^{opp}, \mathbb{Z})$ denotes the functor $[n] \mapsto C^1([n], \mathbb{Z})$, and $\mathbb{Z}(1) \in \text{Fun}([1/\mathbb{N}^*], \mathbb{Z})$ is the functor corresponding to $\mathbb{Z}$ with every $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ acting by multiplication by n .

Using the functors i, π of (1.3), we can pull back the sequence (1.23) to the category ΛI^{opp} in two ways, and the map (1.8) induces a map between these pullbacks. After fixing a positive integer $n \geq 2$ and restricting to $\Lambda_n^{opp} \subset \Lambda I^{opp}$, what we obtain is a commutative diagram

$$(1.25) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z} & \longrightarrow & i_n^* j_* \mathbb{Z} & \xrightarrow{i_n^* B} & i_n^* j_!^{opp} \mathbb{Z} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z} & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \text{id} \downarrow & & \downarrow v_n & & \downarrow v_n & & \downarrow n \text{id} & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z} & \longrightarrow & \pi_n^* j_* \mathbb{Z} & \xrightarrow{\pi_n^* B} & \pi_n^* j_!^{opp} \mathbb{Z} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z} & \longrightarrow & 0, \end{array}$$

where the vertical maps η_n are isomorphisms. The geometric realization $|\Lambda_n|$ of the category Λ_n has the same homotopy type as $|\Lambda|$, and the functor $i_n : \Lambda_n \rightarrow \Lambda$ induces a homotopy equivalence of the realizations. The first line in (1.25) represents by Yoneda the generator $u \in H^2(\Lambda_n^{opp}, \mathbb{Z})$ of the cohomology algebra

$$H^\bullet(\Lambda_n^{opp}, \mathbb{Z}) \cong H^\bullet(\Lambda^{opp}, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}[u].$$

On the other hand, the functor $\pi_n : \Lambda_n \rightarrow \Lambda$ does *not* induce a homotopy equivalence of realizations: on the level of realizations $|\Lambda_n| \cong |\Lambda| \cong BU(1)$, the map induced by π_n corresponds to the n -fold covering $U(1) \rightarrow U(1)$. the second line in (1.25) represents the element $\pi_n^* u = nu \in H^2(\Lambda_n^{opp}, \mathbb{Z})$.

1.6 Homological vanishing. We will also need some cohomological vanishing results on small categories ΔR and ΛR^{opp} . First recall that if we take any $p \geq 1$ and let $r_p : \Delta \rightarrow \Delta$ be the edgewise subdivision functor (1.6), then for any $M \in \mathcal{D}(\Delta, k)$, the natural map

$$H^\bullet(\Delta, r_p^* M) \rightarrow H^\bullet(\Delta, M)$$

is an isomorphism (see e.g. [Ka1, Lemma 1.14], but in fact the statement is very well-known). By adjunction, this means that the natural map

$$(1.26) \quad r_{p!} k \rightarrow k$$

is a quasiisomorphism for any $p \geq 1$ (here k denotes the constant functors).

Lemma 1.11. *Let $h : \Delta \cong \Delta R_h \rightarrow \Delta R$ be the natural embedding, and assume given an object $M \in \mathcal{D}(\Delta R^{opp}, \mathbb{Z})$ such that $H^\bullet(\Delta, M) = 0$. Then $H^\bullet(\Delta R, M) = 0$.*

Proof. Let $\delta : \Delta R \rightarrow [1/\mathbb{N}^*]$ be the cofibration of Lemma 1.6. It suffices to prove that $R^\bullet \delta_* M = 0$. Equivalently,

$$H^\bullet(\widetilde{\Delta R}, \kappa^* M) = 0,$$

where $\widetilde{\Delta R}$ is the category of objects $[n] \in \Delta R$ equipped with a map $\delta([n]) \rightarrow 1$ — in other words, a number $m \in \mathbb{N}^*$ — and $\kappa : \widetilde{\Delta R} \rightarrow \Delta R$ is the forgetful functor. For any $l \geq 1$, let $\iota_l : \Delta \rightarrow \widetilde{\Delta R}$ be the embedding sending $[n]$ to itself equipped with the number l . We also have the forgetful functor $\delta : \widetilde{\Delta R} \rightarrow I$ induced by $\delta : \Delta R \rightarrow [1/\mathbb{N}^*]$, and by Lemma 1.6, this is a cofibration with transition functors r_n . Therefore the functor $i_l^* i_{m!} : \mathcal{D}(\Delta, k) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(\Delta, k)$ is trivial unless $m = lp$ for some p , and isomorphic to $r_{p!}$ if this is the case. Then by (1.26), the adjunction map $i_{l!} k \rightarrow k$ is an isomorphism at $\iota_p(\Delta) \subset \widetilde{\Delta R}$ for all p dividing l . Therefore

$$k \cong \lim_{\rightarrow} i_{l!} k,$$

so that it suffices to prove that

$$\mathrm{RHom}^\bullet(i_{l!} k, \kappa^* M) = 0$$

for any l . By adjunction, this means $H^\bullet(\Delta, \iota_l^* \kappa^* M) = 0$, and we have $\kappa \circ \iota_l = \mathrm{id}$. $\square$

Corollary 1.12. *For any $M \in \text{Fun}(\Lambda R^{opp}, k)$, we have*

$$H^\bullet(\Lambda R^{opp}, M \otimes E) = 0,$$

where $E \in \text{Fun}(\Lambda R^{opp}, k)$ is as in (1.24).

Proof. As in [Ka1, Lemma 1.10], (1.24) implies by devissage that it suffices to prove that

$$H^\bullet(\Delta R, \tilde{j}^*(M \otimes E)) = 0,$$

and by Lemma 1.11, it suffices to prove that $H^\bullet(\Delta, j^*h^*(M \otimes E)) = 0$. This is dual to [Ka1, Lemma 1.10]. $\square$

By Corollary 1.12, (1.24) induces a long exact sequence of cohomology

$$(1.27) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} H^\bullet(\Lambda R^{opp}, M) & \longrightarrow & H^\bullet(\Delta R, \tilde{j}^* M) & \longrightarrow & \\ & & \longrightarrow & H^{\bullet-1}(\Lambda R^{opp}, M \otimes \deg^* \mathbb{Z}(1)) & \longrightarrow \end{array}$$

for any $M \in \text{Fun}(\Lambda R^{opp}, \mathbb{Z})$.

Proposition 1.13. *Assume given a functor $M \in \text{Fun}(\Lambda R^{opp}, \mathbb{Z})$, and assume further that M is profinitely complete. Then the natural map*

$$H^\bullet(\Lambda R^{opp}, M) \rightarrow H^\bullet(\Delta R, \tilde{j}^* M)$$

is an isomorphism.

Proof. By the projection formula, we have

$$H^\bullet(\Lambda R^{opp}, M \otimes \deg^* \mathbb{Z}(1)) \cong H^\bullet([1/\mathbb{N}^*], \mathbb{Z}(1) \otimes R^\bullet \deg_* M),$$

and by (1.27), we have to prove that this is tautologically 0. Since $R^\bullet \deg_*$ commutes with profinite completion, it suffices to prove the following.

Lemma 1.14. *For any profinitely complete $M \in \text{Fun}([1/\mathbb{N}^*], \mathbb{Z})$, we have*

$$H^\bullet([1/\mathbb{N}^*], M \otimes \mathbb{Z}(1)) = 0.$$

Proof. Every profinitely complete abelian group M decomposes as

$$M = \prod_p M_p,$$

where the product is over all primes p , and M_p is pro- p complete. Therefore we may assume that M is pro- p -complete for some prime p . Decompose the

monoid $\mathbb{N}^*$ into the product $\mathbb{N}^* = \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}_{\{p\}}^*$, where $\mathbb{N}_p \subset \mathbb{N}$ consists of all positive integers prime to p , and $\mathbb{N} \subset \mathbb{N}^*$ is the monoid of all power p^n , $n \geq 0$. Then by the Künneth formula, it suffices to prove that

$$H^\bullet([1/\mathbb{N}], \mathbb{Z}(1) \otimes M) = 0.$$

But for any $M' \in \text{Fun}([1/\mathbb{N}], \mathbb{Z})$, $H^\bullet([1/\mathbb{N}], M')$ can obviously be computed by the two-term complex

$$M' \xrightarrow{\text{id}-t} M',$$

where $t : M' \rightarrow M'$ is the action of the generator $1 \in \mathbb{N}$. In our case $M' = M \otimes \mathbb{Z}(1)$, M' is pro- p complete, and t is divisible by p . Therefore $\text{id} - t$ is invertible. $\square$

2 Cyclic Mackey functors.

2.1 The quotient category description. Consider the wreath product $\Lambda\mathbb{Z} \wr \Gamma$ of the enhanced cyclic category $\Lambda\mathbb{Z}$ with the category Γ of finite sets; explicitly, $\Lambda\mathbb{Z} \wr \Gamma$ can be identified with the full subcategory in $\text{Fun}(\Lambda^{opp}, \text{Sets})$ spanned by finite disjoint unions of objects $[n|m] \in \Lambda\mathbb{Z} \subset \text{Fun}(\Lambda^{opp}, \text{Sets})$ (in particular, we have a natural full embedding $\Lambda\mathbb{Z} \subset \Lambda\mathbb{Z} \wr \Gamma$). A map

$$\coprod_{s \in S} [n_s|m_s] \rightarrow \coprod_{s' \in S'} [n_{s'}|m_{s'}]$$

between two such unions indexed by finite sets S, S' consists of a map $f : S \rightarrow S'$ and a map $f_s : [n_s|m_s] \rightarrow [n_{f(s)}|m_{f(s)}]$ for any $s \in S$. Say that a map $\langle f, \{f_s\} \rangle$ is *vertical* if f_s is vertical for any s . Say that the map is *horizontal* if f is invertible, and f_s is horizontal for any s . Then vertical and horizontal maps obviously form a factorization system on $\Lambda\mathbb{Z} \wr \Gamma$, and we have the following.

Lemma 2.1. *For any vertical map $v : [a] \rightarrow [b]$ in $\Lambda\mathbb{Z} \wr \Gamma$ and any map $f : [b'] \rightarrow [b]$, there exists a Cartesian square*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} [a'] & \xrightarrow{v'} & [b'] \\ f' \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ [a] & \xrightarrow{v} & [b] \end{array}$$

with vertical v' .

Proof. Since vertical and horizontal maps form a factorization system on $\Lambda\mathbb{Z} \wr \Gamma$, we may assume that f is either horizontal or vertical. In the first case, the claim follows from Lemma 1.9. In the second case, it suffices to prove that the category $(\Lambda\mathbb{Z} \wr \Gamma)_v = \Lambda\mathbb{Z}_v \wr \Gamma$ has pullbacks. This follows from (1.19), since for every m , the category $O_{\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}} \wr \Gamma$ has pullbacks (it is equivalent to the category of finite sets equipped with an action of the group $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$). $\square$

Our definition of (derived) cyclic Mackey functors mimicks the definition of derived Mackey functors given in [Ka2, Section 3] (and more specifically, in Subsection 3.4). For any two objects $c, c' \in \Lambda\mathbb{Z}$, we let $Q^l \Lambda\mathbb{Z}(c, c')$ be the category of diagrams

$$(2.1) \quad c \xleftarrow{v} c_1 \xrightarrow{f} c'$$

in $\Lambda\mathbb{Z} \wr \Gamma$ with vertical v . Maps from a diagram $c \leftarrow c_1 \rightarrow c'$ to a diagram $c \leftarrow c_2 \rightarrow c'$ are given by such maps $g = \langle g, \{g_s\} \rangle : c_1 \rightarrow c_2$ that g commutes with f and with v , and each of the component maps g_s is invertible.

We obviously have $Q^l \Lambda\mathbb{Z}(c, c') = Q(\Lambda\mathbb{Z}(c, c')) \wr \Gamma$, where $Q\Lambda\mathbb{Z}(c, c') \subset Q^l \Lambda\mathbb{Z}(c, c')$ is the subcategory of diagrams (2.1) with $c_1 \in \Lambda\mathbb{Z} \subset \Lambda\mathbb{Z} \wr \Gamma$, and invertible maps between them. This identification gives the projection functor $\rho_{c, c'} : Q^l(c, c') \rightarrow \Gamma$ sending a diagram $c \leftarrow c_1 \rightarrow c'$ to the finite set S of components of the object $c_1 = \coprod_{s \in S} [n_s | m_s] \in \Lambda\mathbb{Z} \wr \Gamma$. We let

$$T_{c, c'} = \rho^* T \in \text{Fun}(Q^l(c, c'), \mathbb{Z})$$

be the functor from $Q^l(c, c')$ to the category of abelian groups obtained by pullback from the functor $T \in \text{Fun}(\Gamma, \mathbb{Z})$, $T(S) = \mathbb{Z}[S]$.

By Lemma 2.1, for any $c, c', c'' \in \Lambda\mathbb{Z}$ we have a natural functor

$$m_{c, c', c''} : Q^l(c, c') \times Q^l(c', c'') \rightarrow Q^l(c, c'')$$

given by pullback. This operation is associative, so that we have a 2-category $Q\Lambda\mathbb{Z}$ with the same objects as $\Lambda\mathbb{Z}$, and with morphism categories $Q^l(-, -)$. Analogously to [Ka2, (3.7)], we have natural maps

$$\mu_{c, c', c''} : T_{c, c'} \boxtimes T_{c', c''} \rightarrow m_{c, c', c''}^* T_{c, c''},$$

and these maps are associative on triple products. Therefore by [Ka2, Subsection 1.6], we have an A_∞ -category $\mathcal{B}_\bullet$ with the same objects as $\Lambda\mathbb{Z}$, with morphisms given by

$$\mathcal{B}_\bullet(c, c') = C_\bullet(Q^l(c, c'), T_{c, c'}),$$

the bar complex of the category $Q^l(c, c')$ with coefficients in the functor $T_{c, c'}$, and with the compositions induced by the functors $m_{c, c'}$ and the maps $\mu_{c, c', c''}$.

For any abelian category Ab , consider the derived category $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{B}_\bullet^{opp}, \text{Ab})$ of A_∞ -functors from the opposite category $\mathcal{B}_\bullet^{opp}$ to the category of complexes of objects in Ab . By definition, the category $\Lambda\mathbb{Z}$ is embedded into the 2-category $\mathcal{Q}\Lambda\mathbb{Z}$ — the embedding functor $q : \Lambda\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}\Lambda\mathbb{Z}$ is identical on objects, and sends a morphism into a diagram (2.1) with $v = \text{id}$. For any $c, c' \in \Lambda\mathbb{Z}$, the restriction $q^*T_{q(c), q(c')}$ is the constant functor $\mathbb{Z}$, so that by restriction, we obtain a natural functor

$$(2.2) \quad q^* : \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{B}_\bullet^{opp}, \text{Ab}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(\Lambda\mathbb{Z}^{opp}, \text{Ab}).$$

Let $h : \Lambda\mathbb{Z}_h \rightarrow \Lambda\mathbb{Z}$ be the natural embedding; composing q^* with h^* , we obtain a restriction functor

$$\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{B}_\bullet^{opp}, \text{Ab}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(\Lambda\mathbb{Z}_h^{opp}, \text{Ab}).$$

Definition 2.2. A *cyclic Mackey functor* with values in an abelian category Ab is an A_∞ -functor $M \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{B}_\bullet^{opp}, \text{Ab})$ whose restriction $h^*q^*M \in \mathcal{D}(\Lambda\mathbb{Z}_h^{opp}, \text{Ab})$ is locally constant in the sense of Definition A.1.

Cyclic Mackey functors form a full triangulated subcategory in the category $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{B}_\bullet^{opp}, \text{Ab})$ which we will denote by $\mathcal{DM}\Lambda(\text{Ab}) \subset \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{B}_\bullet^{opp}, \text{Ab})$. In fact, in this paper we will only need the case $\text{Ab} = k\text{-mod}$, the category of modules over a commutative ring k ; to simplify notation, we will denote $\mathcal{DM}\Lambda(k\text{-mod}) = \mathcal{DM}\Lambda(k)$.

By definition, for every positive integer $m \geq 1$, the embedding $O_{\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}} \cong \Lambda\mathbb{Z}_v^m \subset \Lambda\mathbb{Z}$ of (1.19) extends to a 2-functor

$$(2.3) \quad i_m : \overline{\mathcal{Q}}^l O_{\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}\Lambda\mathbb{Z},$$

where $\mathcal{Q}^l O_{\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}}$ is the 2-category of [Ka2, Subsection 3.4], and $\overline{\mathcal{Q}}^l O_{\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}} \subset \mathcal{Q}^l O_{\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}}$ is the full subcategory spanned by $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ -orbits. This 2-functor is compatible with the coefficients $T_{c, c'} \in \text{Fun}(\mathcal{Q}^l(c, c'), \mathbb{Z})$, so it extends to an A_∞ -functor

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}_\bullet^{O_{\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}}} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_\bullet,$$

where $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}_\bullet^{O_{\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}}}$ is as in [Ka2, Subsection 3.5]. The category $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}_\bullet^{O_{\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}}}$ is by definition self-dual; thus by restriction, for any commutative ring k we obtain a natural functor

$$i_m^* : \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{B}_\bullet^{opp}, k) \rightarrow \mathcal{DM}(\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}, k),$$

where $\mathcal{DM}(\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}, k)$ is the category of derived $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ -Mackey functors constructed in [Ka2]. We also have the left-adjoint functor

$$i_{m!} : \mathcal{DM}(\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}, k) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(B_{\bullet}^{opp}, k).$$

2.2 Geometric fixed points. Consider now the category $\widehat{\Lambda\mathbb{Z}}$ of Subsection 1.4. This category $\widehat{\Lambda\mathbb{Z}}$ is also embedded into $\mathcal{Q}\Lambda\mathbb{Z}$ — the embedding functor $\widehat{q} : \widehat{\Lambda\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}\Lambda\mathbb{Z}$ is identical on objects, and sends a diagram (1.21) to the corresponding diagram (2.1) (we recall that diagrams (1.21) have no automorphisms, so there are no choices involved in this construction). As in the case of the functor q of (2.2), the functor $\widehat{q}$ is compatible with the coefficients $T_{c,c'}$, so that we have the restriction functor

$$\widehat{q}^* : \mathcal{D}(B_{\bullet}^{opp}, k) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(\widehat{\Lambda\mathbb{Z}}^{opp}, k).$$

For any positive integer $m \geq 1$, the 2-functor i_m restricts to the embedding $O_{\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow \widehat{\Lambda\mathbb{Z}}$, so that we have a functorial isomorphism

$$(2.4) \quad i_m^* \circ \widehat{q}^* \cong \widehat{q}_m^* \circ i_m^*,$$

where $\widehat{q}_m^*$ is the restriction with respect to the 2-functor $\widehat{q}_m : O_{\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}^l(O_{\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}})$ considered in [Ka2, Subsection 5.3] (there denoted by q),

Recall now that we have the decompositions (1.19), (1.18), and the identification $\Lambda\mathbb{Z}_h \cong \widehat{\Lambda\mathbb{Z}}_h \cong \mathbb{N}^* \times \Lambda$. Define a functor

$$\nu : \text{Fun}(\Lambda\mathbb{Z}^{opp}, k) = \text{Fun}(\widehat{\Lambda\mathbb{Z}}_h^{opp}, k) \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\widehat{\Lambda\mathbb{Z}}^{opp}, k)$$

by setting $\nu(M)([n|m]) = M([n|m])$ for any $M \in \text{Fun}(\widehat{\Lambda\mathbb{Z}}_h^{opp}, k)$, $[n|m] \in \widehat{\Lambda\mathbb{Z}}$, with

$$(2.5) \quad \nu(M)(v \circ h) = \begin{cases} M(v \circ h), & v \text{ invertible,} \\ 0, & v \text{ not invertible,} \end{cases}$$

where $f = v \circ h$ is the horizontal/vertical factorization of a map f in $\widehat{\Lambda\mathbb{Z}}_h$. It is easy to see that this is well-defined, and gives an exact functor ν . By abuse of notation, we will denote by the same letter ν its extension to the derived categories. We have a left-adjoint functor $\varphi : \text{Fun}(\widehat{\Lambda\mathbb{Z}}^{opp}, k) \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\Lambda\mathbb{Z}_h^{opp}, k)$, and its derived functor

$$L^{\bullet}\varphi : \mathcal{D}(\widehat{\Lambda\mathbb{Z}}^{opp}, k) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(\Lambda\mathbb{Z}_h^{opp}, k)$$

is left-adjoint to $\nu : \mathcal{D}(\Lambda\mathbb{Z}_h^{opp}, k) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(\widehat{\Lambda\mathbb{Z}}^{opp}, k)$.

Definition 2.3. The *(geometric) fixed point functor*

$$\Phi : \mathcal{D}(B_{\bullet}^{opp}, k) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(\Lambda \mathbb{Z}_h^{opp}, k)$$

is given by

$$\Phi = L^{\bullet} \varphi \circ \hat{q}^*.$$

We note that by definition, the fixed points functor Φ actually splits into components

$$\Phi_m : \mathcal{D}(B_{\bullet}^{opp}, k) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}((\Lambda \mathbb{Z}_h^m)^{opp}, k) \cong \mathcal{D}(\Lambda^{opp}, k)$$

numbered by positive integers $m \geq 1$. We will need some compatibility results between Φ and geometric fixed point functors for derived Mackey functors constructed in [Ka2]. Namely, fix a positive integer $m \geq 1$, and consider the embedding i_m of (2.3) and the corresponding restriction functor i_m^* . Let $\overline{O}_{\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}}$ be the category of $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ -orbits and invertible maps between them, and define the functor

$$\nu_m : \text{Fun}(\overline{O}_{\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}}, k) \rightarrow \text{Fun}(O_{\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}}, k)$$

by the same formula (2.5) as the functor ν ($\nu_m(M)(v) = M(v)$ for invertible v , and 0 otherwise). Let $\varphi_m : \text{Fun}(O_{\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}}, k) \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\overline{O}_{\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}}, k)$ be its left-adjoint functor, with the derived functor $L^{\bullet} \varphi_m$. We then have an obvious isomorphism

$$(2.6) \quad i_m^* \circ \nu \cong \nu_m \circ i_m^*.$$

By adjunction, the isomorphisms (2.4) and (2.6) induce base change maps

$$(2.7) \quad i_m^* \circ L^{\bullet} \varphi \rightarrow L^{\bullet} \varphi_m \circ i_m^*, \quad i_{m!} \circ \hat{q}_m^* \rightarrow \hat{q}^* \circ i_{m!},$$

where by abuse of notation, i_m denotes both the 2-functor (2.3), and its restrictions

$$i_m : O_{\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow \widehat{\Lambda \mathbb{Z}}^{opp}, \quad i_m : \overline{O}_{\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow \widehat{\Lambda \mathbb{Z}_h}^{opp}$$

to $\hat{q}_m(O_{\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}})$ and $\hat{q}_m(\overline{O}_{\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}})$.

Lemma 2.4. *For any positive integer $m \geq 1$, the base change maps of (2.7) are isomorphisms.*

Proof. Let $\widehat{h} : \widehat{\Lambda\mathbb{Z}}_h^{opp} \rightarrow \widehat{\Lambda\mathbb{Z}}^{opp}$, $h_m : \overline{O_{\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}}} \rightarrow O_{\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}}$ be the tautological embeddings. Then we obviously have $\widehat{h}^* \circ \nu \cong \text{id}$, $h_m^* \circ \nu_m^* \cong \text{id}$, so that by adjunction,

$$L^\bullet \varphi \circ \widehat{h}_! \cong \text{id}, \quad L^\bullet \varphi_m \circ h_{m!} \cong \text{id}.$$

On the other hand, we have the horizontal/vertical factorization system on $\widehat{\Lambda\mathbb{Z}}^{opp} \cong \Lambda\mathbb{Z}$, and Lemma A.4 yields

$$i_m^* \circ \widehat{h}_! \cong h_{m!} \circ i_m^*.$$

Therefore the map

$$i_m^* \circ L^\bullet \varphi \circ \widehat{h}_! \rightarrow L^\bullet \varphi_m \circ i_m^* \circ \widehat{h}_!$$

is an isomorphism, so that the first of the maps (2.7) becomes an isomorphism after evaluating at any object $E \in \mathcal{D}(\widehat{\Lambda\mathbb{Z}}^{opp}, k)$ of the form $E = \widehat{h}_! E'$, $E' \in \mathcal{D}(\widehat{\Lambda\mathbb{Z}}_h^{opp}, k)$. Since the category $\mathcal{D}(\widehat{\Lambda\mathbb{Z}}^{opp}, k)$ is generated by objects of this form, the map $i_m^* \circ L^\bullet \varphi \rightarrow L^\bullet \varphi_m \circ i_m^*$ is itself an isomorphism.

For the second of the maps (2.7), let $\widehat{h}' = \widehat{q} \circ \widehat{h}$, $h'_m = \widehat{q}_m \circ h_m$. Then again, the horizontal/vertical factorization system on $\widehat{\Lambda\mathbb{Z}} \wr \Gamma$ shows that every diagram (2.1) decomposes as

$$c \xleftarrow{v} c_1 \xrightarrow{v_1} c_2 \xrightarrow{h} c'$$

with vertical v , v_1 and horizontal h , and this implies that

$$i_m^* \circ \widehat{h}'_* \cong h'_{m*} \circ i_m^*.$$

The proof is the same as in Lemma A.4, except that in (A.3), one replaces the Hom-sets $\widehat{\Lambda\mathbb{Z}}^{opp}(-, -)$, $\widehat{\Lambda\mathbb{Z}}_v^{opp}(-, -)$ with the Hom-categories $Q^l \widehat{\Lambda\mathbb{Z}}(-, -)$, resp. $Q^l(O_{\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}})(-, -)$. Therefore the base change map

$$i_m^*(\widehat{q}^* E) \rightarrow \widehat{q}_m^*(i_m^* E)$$

is an isomorphism for any $E \in \mathcal{D}(\widehat{\Lambda\mathbb{Z}}^{opp}, k)$ of the form $E = \widehat{h}'_* E'$, $E' \in \mathcal{D}(\widehat{\Lambda\mathbb{Z}}_h^{opp}, k)$. Objects of this form generate the derived category, so that $i_m^* \circ \widehat{q}^* \cong \widehat{q}_m^* \circ i_m^*$; by adjunction, this gives the claim. $\square$

Corollary 2.5. *For any $M \in \mathcal{D}(B_\bullet^{opp}, k)$ and any positive integers $m, n \geq 1$, we have a natural isomorphism*

$$\Phi_m(M)([n]) = \Phi(M)([n|m]) \cong \Phi^{[(\mathbb{Z}/nm\mathbb{Z})/(\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})]} i_{mn}^* M,$$

where $[(\mathbb{Z}/nm\mathbb{Z})/(\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})] \in O_{\mathbb{Z}/nm\mathbb{Z}}$ is understood as a $\mathbb{Z}/nm\mathbb{Z}$ -orbit, and Φ^c , $c \in O_{\mathbb{Z}/nm\mathbb{Z}}$ is the geometric fixed points functor of [Ka2, Subsection 5.1]. Moreover, for any derived Mackey functor $M \in \mathcal{DM}(\mathbb{Z}/mn\mathbb{Z}, k)$, we have a natural isomorphism

$$(2.8) \quad \Phi_m(i_{mn!}M) \cong i_{mn!}(\Phi^{[(\mathbb{Z}/nm\mathbb{Z})/(\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})]}M).$$

Proof. Immediately follows from Lemma 2.4 and [Ka2, Proposition 6.5]. $\square$

2.3 Coalgebra description. We will now adapt the constructions of [Ka2, Section 6] and use the fixed point functor Φ to obtain another description of the category $\mathcal{DMA}(k)$ of cyclic Mackey functors.

2.3.1 A_∞ -coalgebra. Consider the cyclotomic category ΛR of Subsection 1.2 with its vertical/horizontal factorization system. For any object $[m] \in \Lambda R$, let $[m] \backslash \Lambda R$ be the category of objects $[m'] \in \Lambda R$ equipped with a map $[m] \rightarrow [m']$. The factorization system on ΛR induces a factorization system on $[m] \backslash \Lambda R$ for any $[m]$.

Lemma 2.6. *For any vertical map $v : [a] \rightarrow [b]$ in $[m] \backslash \Lambda R$ and any map $f : [b'] \rightarrow [b]$, there exists a Cartesian square*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} [a'] & \xrightarrow{v'} & [b'] \\ f' \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ [a] & \xrightarrow{v} & [b] \end{array}$$

with vertical v' .

Proof. The same argument as in Lemma 2.1 shows that the wreath product category $\Lambda R \wr \Gamma$ has fibered products. Therefore so does the slice category $[m] \backslash (\Lambda R \wr \Gamma)$. It remains to notice that the embedding $[m] \backslash \Lambda R \rightarrow [m] \backslash (\Lambda R \wr \Gamma)$ has a left-adjoint (any $S \in \Lambda R \wr \Gamma$ is a disjoint union of objects in ΛR , and any map $[m] \rightarrow S$ factors uniquely through one of these objects). $\square$

Now, for any morphism $f : [m'] \rightarrow [m]$ in ΛR and for any integer $n \geq 0$, consider all diagrams

$$(2.9) \quad [m'] \xrightarrow{g} [m_0] \xrightarrow{v_0} \dots \xrightarrow{v_{n-1}} [m_n] \xrightarrow{v_n} [m]$$

in ΛR such v_i , $0 \leq i \leq n$ is vertical, v_n is not invertible, and $f = v_n \circ \dots \circ v_0 \circ g$. Let $V_n(f)$ be the groupoid of all such diagrams and isomorphisms between them. Since ΛR is small, $V_n(f)$ is small for any f, n .

Assume that $f = f^{(1)} \circ f^{(2)}$ for some $[m''] \in \Lambda R$ and some maps $f^{(1)} : [m''] \rightarrow [m]$, $f^{(2)} : [m'] \rightarrow [m'']$. Then for any $l \geq 1$ and any diagram $\alpha \in V_n(f)$ of the form (2.9), we can apply Lemma 2.6 and form a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} [m'] & \xrightarrow{g} & [m_0] & \xrightarrow{v_0} & \dots & \xrightarrow{v_{n-1}} & [m_n] & \xrightarrow{v_n} & [m] \\ \parallel & & f_0^{(1)} \uparrow & & & & f_n^{(1)} \uparrow & & f^{(1)} \uparrow \\ [m'] & \xrightarrow{g'} & [m'_0] & \xrightarrow{v'_0} & \dots & \xrightarrow{v'_{n-1}} & [m'_n] & \xrightarrow{v'_n} & [m''] \end{array}$$

where $f^{(2)} = v'_n \circ \dots \circ v'_0 \circ g'$, and all the commutative squares are Cartesian squares in the category $[m'] \backslash \Lambda R$. For any i , $0 \leq i \leq n$, we have a natural vertical map $\nu_i = v'_n \circ \dots \circ v_i : [m'_i] \rightarrow [m'']$. Take the minimal i such that ν_i is an isomorphism, let $\alpha^{(2)}$ be the diagram

$$[m'] \xrightarrow{g'} [m'_0] \xrightarrow{v'_0} \dots \xrightarrow{v'_{i-2}} [m'_{i-1}] \xrightarrow{\nu_i \circ v'_{i-1}} [m'']$$

in $V_i(f^{(2)})$, and let $\alpha^{(1)}$ be the diagram

$$[m''] \xrightarrow{f_i^{(1)} \circ \nu_i^{-1}} [m_i] \xrightarrow{v_i} \dots \xrightarrow{v_n} [m]$$

in $V_{n-i}(f^{(1)})$. Then sending α to $\alpha^{(1)} \times \alpha^{(2)}$ gives a well-defined functor

$$(2.10) \quad V_n(f) \rightarrow \coprod_{0 \leq i \leq n} V_i(f^{(1)}) \times V_{n-i}(f^{(2)}).$$

This construction is obviously associative: for any l -tuple $f_1, \dots, f_l$ of composable maps in ΛR , we can compose the functors (2.10) and obtain a functor

$$(2.11) \quad V_n(f_1 \circ \dots \circ f_l) \times I_l \rightarrow \coprod_{n_1 + \dots + n_l = n} V_{n_1}(f_1) \times \dots \times V_{n_l}(f_l),$$

where I_l is the l -th groupoid of the monoidal category operad of Definition A.8. These functors are compatible with the natural operad structure on $I_\bullet$ in the obvious sense.

For any i , $1 \leq i \leq n$, forgetting the object $[m_i]$ in a diagram (2.9) gives a functor $\delta_i : V_n(f) \rightarrow V_{n-1}(f)$, and these functors satisfy the relations between simplicial face maps (not only up to an isomorphism, but on the nose). Therefore we can define a bicomplex $\mathcal{T}_{\bullet,\bullet}(f)$ by setting

$$(2.12) \quad \mathcal{T}_{\bullet,\bullet}(f) = C_\bullet(V_\bullet(f), \mathbb{Z}),$$

the bar complex of the groupoid $V_\bullet(f)$ with coefficients in the constant functor $\mathbb{Z}$. One differential in the bicomplex (2.12) comes from the bar complex, and the other one is given by $d = d_1 - d_2 + \cdots \pm d_n$, where d_i is the map induced by the functor δ_i . The coproduct operations (2.11) strictly commute with the functors δ_i , so that we have canonical operations

$$C_\bullet(I_l, \mathbb{Z}) \otimes \mathcal{T}_\bullet(f_1 \circ \cdots \circ f_l) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_\bullet(f_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{T}_\bullet(f_n),$$

again compatible with the asymmetric operad structure on $C_\bullet(I_\bullet, \mathbb{Z})$. Fixing a map $\mathbf{Ass}_\infty \rightarrow C_\bullet(I_\bullet, \mathbb{Z})$, as in the Appendix, we equip the collection $\mathcal{T}_\bullet(-)$ with a structure of a ΛR -graded A_∞ -coalgebra in the sense of [Ka2, Subsection 1.5.4].

For future use, we record right away some elementary properties of the ΛR -graded A_∞ -coalgebra $\mathcal{T}_\bullet$.

Lemma 2.7. (i) *The A_∞ -coalgebra $\mathcal{T}_\bullet$ is augmented, and for any horizontal map f , we have $\mathcal{T}_l(f) = 0$ for $l \geq 1$.*

(ii) *For any composable maps f_1, f_2 such that f_1 is horizontal, the coproduct map*

$$b_2 : \mathcal{T}_\bullet(f_2 \circ f_1) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_\bullet(f_1) \otimes \mathcal{T}_\bullet(f_2) \cong \mathcal{T}_\bullet(f_2)$$

is an isomorphism.

(iii) *Assume given an n -tuple $f_1, \dots, f_n$ of composable maps in ΛR , and assume that f_i is horizontal for $i \geq 2$, and $n \geq 3$. Then the corresponding A_∞ -operation*

$$b_n : \mathcal{T}_\bullet(f_n \circ f_1) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_\bullet(f_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{T}_\bullet(f_n) \cong \mathcal{T}_\bullet(f_n)$$

is equal to 0.

Proof. (i) is obvious: for any f , $V_0(f)$ is by definition a single point, and if f is horizontal, $V_l(f)$ is empty for any $l \geq 1$. To see (ii), note that since f_1 is horizontal, the only non-trivial term in the coproduct (2.10) is a map

$$(2.13) \quad V_l(f_2 \circ f_1) \rightarrow V_l(f_2) \times V_0(f_1) = V_l(f_2),$$

and sending a diagram $\alpha \in V_n(f_2)$ of the form (2.9) to the diagram

$$[m'] \xrightarrow{g \circ f_1} [m_0] \xrightarrow{v_0} \cdots \xrightarrow{v_{n-1}} [m_n] \xrightarrow{v_n} [m]$$

defines a map $V_n(f_2) \rightarrow V_n(f_2 \circ f_1)$ which is strictly inverse to (2.13). Moreover, this inverse map construction is obviously strictly associative, so that

for any n -tuple $f_1, \dots, f_n$ of composable maps with horizontal $f_2, \dots, f_n$ and $n \geq 3$, we obtain a single map

$$V_l(f_n) \rightarrow V_n(f_n \circ \dots \circ f_1).$$

Therefore the coproduct map (2.10) is also strictly associative, so that the map (2.11) factors through the natural map $I_n \rightarrow \text{pt}$. This by definition means that the higher A_∞ -operation b_n vanishes, which proves (iii). $\square$

Lemma 2.8. *Let $h : \Lambda R_h \cong \Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda \mathbb{Z}$, $i : \Lambda R_v \cong O_{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow \Lambda \mathbb{Z}$ be the tautological embeddings. Then $h^* \mathcal{T}_\bullet$ is the trivial Λ -graded A_∞ -coalgebra, $h^* \mathcal{T}_\bullet(f) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ for any map f in Λ , while $i_m^* \mathcal{T}_\bullet$ is isomorphic to the $O_{\mathbb{Z}}$ -graded A_∞ -coalgebra of [Ka2, Subsection 6.3.3].*

Proof. The first claim immediately follows from Lemma 2.7. For the second claim, note that if f is vertical, all the diagrams (2.9) consist of vertical maps, thus coincide with the diagrams used in [Ka2, Subsection 6.3.3]. $\square$

2.3.2 The comparison theorem. Consider now the natural cobifibration $\lambda : \Lambda \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \Lambda R$ of (1.17), and let $\lambda^* \mathcal{T}_\bullet$ be the $\Lambda \mathbb{Z}$ -graded coalgebra obtained by pullback. For any ring k , consider the derived category $\mathcal{D}(\Lambda \mathbb{Z}, \lambda^* \mathcal{T}_\bullet, k)$ of A_∞ -comodules over $\lambda^* \mathcal{T}_\bullet$. By Lemma 2.8, the pullback $h^* \lambda^* \mathcal{T}_\bullet$ with respect to the tautological embedding $h : \Lambda \mathbb{Z}_h \rightarrow \Lambda \mathbb{Z}$ is the trivial $\Lambda \mathbb{Z}_h$ -graded A_∞ -coalgebra, so that we have a natural pullback functor

$$h^* : \mathcal{D}(\Lambda \mathbb{Z}, \lambda^* \mathcal{T}_\bullet, k) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(\Lambda \mathbb{Z}_h^{opp}, k).$$

Let $\mathcal{DM}\Lambda_{\mathcal{T}}(k) \subset \mathcal{D}(\Lambda \mathbb{Z}, \lambda^* \mathcal{T}_\bullet, k)$ be the full subcategory spanned by objects M whose restriction $h^* M$ is locally constant in the sense of Definition A.1. We want to show that the category $\mathcal{DM}\Lambda_{\mathcal{T}}(k)$ is naturally equivalent to the category $\mathcal{DM}\Lambda(k)$ of k -mod-valued cyclic Mackey functors.

To construct a comparison functor between these two categories, let $V_l([n|m]), [n|m] \in \Lambda \mathbb{Z}, l \geq 0$ be the groupoid of diagrams

$$[n_1|m_1] \xrightarrow{v_1} \dots \xrightarrow{v_{n-1}} [n_l|m_l] \xrightarrow{v_n} [n|m]$$

in $\Lambda \mathbb{Z}$ with vertical $v_1, \dots, v_n$ and non-invertible v_n . Let $\sigma_l : V_l([n|m]) \rightarrow \widehat{\Lambda \mathbb{Z}}$ be the functor which sends such a diagram to $[n_1|m_1] \in \widehat{\Lambda \mathbb{Z}}$, or to $[n|m]$ is $l = 0$. For any A_∞ -functor $E_\bullet$ from $\mathcal{B}_\bullet$ to the category of complexes of k -modules, let $\Phi_\bullet^{[n|m]}(E_\bullet)$ be the total complex of the triple complex

$$C_\bullet(V_\bullet([n|m]), \sigma_l^* \widehat{q}^* E_\bullet),$$

where two differentials are induced by the differentials in $E_\bullet$ and in the bar complex, and the third one is as in [Ka2, Subsection 6.3.1]. Then the same construction as in [Ka2, Subsection 6.3.2] shows that the collection $\Phi_\bullet^{[n|m]}(E_\bullet)$ has a natural structure of an A_∞ -comodule over $\lambda^*\mathcal{T}_\bullet$, so that we obtain a functor

$$(2.14) \quad \Phi_\bullet : \mathcal{D}(B_\bullet^{opp}, k) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(\Lambda\mathbb{Z}, \lambda^*\mathcal{T}_\bullet, k).$$

Choose an integer $m \geq 1$, and consider the embedding $i_m : O_{\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}} \cong \Lambda\mathbb{Z}_v^m \rightarrow \Lambda\mathbb{Z}$ for some $m \geq 1$. The composition $\lambda \circ i_m : O_{\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow \Lambda R$ factors through the natural embedding $O_{\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}} \subset O_{\mathbb{Z}} = \Lambda R_v \rightarrow \Lambda R$. Therefore by Lemma 2.8, the $O_{\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}}$ -graded A_∞ -coalgebra $i_m^* \lambda^* \mathcal{T}_\bullet$ is isomorphic to the A_∞ -coalgebra of [Ka2, Subsection 6.3.3], and we have a natural pullback functor

$$i_m^* : \mathcal{D}(\Lambda\mathbb{Z}, \lambda^*\mathcal{T}_\bullet, k) \rightarrow \mathcal{DM}(\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}, k),$$

where $\mathcal{DM}(\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}, k)$ is the category of k -valued derived Mackey functors of [Ka2] for the group $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$. We have an obvious isomorphism

$$(2.15) \quad i_m^* \circ h^* \cong h_m^* \circ i_m^*,$$

where $h_m : \overline{O}_{\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow O_{\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}}$ is the tautological embedding, and $h_m^* : \mathcal{DM}(\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}, k) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(\overline{O}_{\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}}, k)$ is the corresponding pullback functor. We note that by [Ka2, Lemma 6.18], the functor h_m^* admits a right-adjoint functor h_{m*} . Moreover, by construction, the comparison functor $\Phi_\bullet$ of (2.14) restricts to the corresponding functor for $O_{\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}}$ — that is, we have a canonical isomorphism

$$(2.16) \quad \Phi_\bullet^{[m]} \circ i_m^* E \cong i_m^* \circ \Phi_\bullet,$$

where on the left-hand side, $\Phi_\bullet^{[m]}$ is the functor $\Phi_\bullet$ of [Ka2, Theorem 6.17], for $\mathcal{C} = O_{\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}}$.

Lemma 2.9. *The functor $h^* : \mathcal{D}(\Lambda\mathbb{Z}, \lambda^*\mathcal{T}_\bullet, k) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(\Lambda\mathbb{Z}_h^{opp}, k)$ admits a right-adjoint*

$$h_* : \mathcal{D}(\Lambda\mathbb{Z}_h^{opp}, k) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(\Lambda\mathbb{Z}, \lambda^*\mathcal{T}_\bullet, k),$$

and for every $m \geq 1$, the base change map $i_m^ \circ h_* \rightarrow h_{m*} \circ i_m^*$ induced by (2.15) is an isomorphism.*

Proof. Immediately follows from Lemma A.6 and Lemma A.7. $\square$

Lemma 2.10. *Assume given a triangulated subcategory $\mathcal{D}'$ in the category $\mathcal{D}(\Lambda\mathbb{Z}, \lambda^*\mathcal{T}_\bullet, k)$ which is closed with respects to arbitrary products and contains all the objects h_*M , $M \in \mathcal{D}(\Lambda\mathbb{Z}_h^{opp}, k)$. Then $\mathcal{D}' = \mathcal{D}(\Lambda\mathbb{Z}, \lambda^*\mathcal{T}_\bullet, k)$.*

Proof. For any integer $n \geq 1$, let $h_n : \Lambda \cong \Lambda\mathbb{Z}_h^n \subset \Lambda\mathbb{Z}_h$ be the embedding of the n -th component of the decomposition (1.18). For any $M \in \mathcal{D}(\Lambda\mathbb{Z}_h^{opp}, k)$, let the support $\text{Supp}(M) \subset \mathbb{N}^*$ be the set of all such $n \geq 1$ that h_l^*M is non-zero for some l dividing n . For any $M \in \mathcal{D}(\Lambda\mathbb{Z}, \lambda^*\mathcal{T}_\bullet, k)$, let $\text{Supp } M = \text{Supp } h^*M$. Note that for any two integers $n, n' \geq 1$, $h_{n'}^* \circ h_* \circ h_{n*} = 0$ unless n divides n' , and $h_n^* \circ h_* \circ h_{n*} \cong \text{id}$ (by Lemma 2.9, we may check both statements after applying the functors i_m^* , $m \geq 1$, and then they immediately follow from [Ka2, Lemma 6.18]). Therefore in particular, for any $n \geq 1$ and any $M \in \mathcal{D}(\Lambda\mathbb{Z}, \lambda^*\mathcal{T}_\bullet, k)$, we have

$$\text{Supp}(h_*h_{n*}h_n^*h^*M) \subset \text{Supp}(M).$$

Moreover, given an object $M \in \mathcal{D}(\Lambda\mathbb{Z}, \lambda^*\mathcal{T}_\bullet, k)$, let $M^{[1]}$ be the cone of the adjunction map

$$M \rightarrow h_*(h^*M)_m,$$

where m is the smallest integer in $\text{Supp}(M)$. Then

$$\text{Supp}(M^{[1]}) = \text{Supp}(M) \setminus \{m\}.$$

By induction, let $M^{[n]} = (M^{[n-1]})^{[1]}$ for any $n > 1$. Then we have natural maps $M^{[n]} \rightarrow M$, and their cones $\widetilde{M}^{[n]}$ lie in $\mathcal{D}'$ and form an inverse system. We have a compatible system of maps $\eta_n : M \rightarrow \widetilde{M}^{[n]}$, $n \geq 1$. By induction, for every $n > n' \geq 1$, the transition map $\widetilde{M}^{[n+1]} \rightarrow \widetilde{M}^{[n]}$ becomes an isomorphism after applying $h_n^*h^*$, and so does the map η_n . Let

$$\widetilde{M} = \text{holim } \widetilde{M}^{[n]},$$

where holim is defined by the telescope construction, and let $\eta : M \rightarrow \widetilde{M}$ be the natural map. Then for every $n \geq 1$, the inverse system $h_n^*h^*\widetilde{M}^{[n']}$ stabilizes for $n' > n$, and $h_n^*h^*(\eta)$ is an isomorphism. Thus η itself is an isomorphism. But by construction, $\widetilde{M}$ lies in the category $\mathcal{D}'$. $\square$

Lemma 2.11. *The composition*

$$h^* \circ \Phi_\bullet : \mathcal{D}(B_\bullet^{opp}, k) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(\Lambda\mathbb{Z}_h^{opp}, k)$$

is isomorphic to the fixed points functor Φ of Definition 2.3.

Proof. By construction, $h^* \Phi_\bullet(E)$ for any $E \in \mathcal{D}(B_\bullet^{opp}, k)$ only depends on the restriction $\widehat{q}^* E \in \mathcal{D}(\widehat{\Lambda\mathbb{Z}}^{opp}, k)$ — the construction of the functor $\Phi_\bullet$ also gives a functor $\varphi_\bullet : \mathcal{D}(\widehat{\Lambda\mathbb{Z}}^{opp}, k) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(\Lambda\mathbb{Z}_h^{opp}, k)$, and we have $h^* \circ \Phi_\bullet \cong \varphi_\bullet \circ \widehat{q}^*$. For an object $E \in \text{Fun}(\widehat{\Lambda\mathbb{Z}}^{opp}, k)$, the degree-0 homology of the complex $\varphi_\bullet(E)$ is easily seen to be isomorphic to $\varphi(E)$, and this isomorphism is functorial in E . Thus by the universal property of the derived functor, it extends to a map

$$e : \varphi_\bullet \rightarrow L^\bullet \varphi.$$

We have to prove that $e : \varphi_\bullet(E) \rightarrow L^\bullet \varphi(E)$ is an isomorphism for any $E \in \mathcal{D}(\widehat{\Lambda\mathbb{Z}}^{opp}, k)$ of the form $E = \widehat{q}^* E'$, $E' \in \mathcal{D}(B_\bullet^{opp}, k)$. To do this, it suffices to prove that

$$i_m^*(e) : i_m^* \circ h^* \circ \Phi_\bullet \rightarrow i_m \circ \Phi$$

is an isomorphism for any $m \geq 1$. By (2.16) and (2.15), the left-hand side is isomorphic to $h_m^* \circ \Phi_\bullet^{[m]} \circ i_m^*$, and by [Ka2, Lemma 6.15], $h_m^* \circ \Phi_\bullet^{[m]}$ is isomorphic to the direct sum of the functors $\Phi_{[(\mathbb{Z}/nm\mathbb{Z})/(\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})]}$ of Corollary 2.5. To finish the proof, it suffices to invoke Corollary 2.5. $\square$

Proposition 2.12. *The functor $\Phi_\bullet$ of (2.14) is an equivalence of categories, and it identifies $\mathcal{DM}\Lambda(k) \subset \mathcal{D}(B_\bullet^{opp}, k)$ with $\mathcal{DM}\Lambda_{\mathcal{T}} \subset \mathcal{D}(\Lambda\mathbb{Z}, \lambda^* \mathcal{T}_\bullet, k)$*

Proof. As in Lemma A.6, let $\mathcal{D}' \subset \mathcal{D}(\Lambda\mathbb{Z}, \lambda^* \mathcal{T}_\bullet, k)$ be the subcategory of objects M in the category $\mathcal{D}(\Lambda\mathbb{Z}, \lambda^* \mathcal{T}_\bullet, k)$ such that the functor

$$\text{Hom}(\Phi_\bullet(-), M)$$

from $\mathcal{D}(B_\bullet^{opp}, k)$ to $\mathcal{D}(k)$ is representable. The geometric fixed points functor Φ of Subsection obviously has a right-adjoint. Therefore by Lemma 2.11, $\mathcal{D}'$ satisfies all the assumptions of Lemma 2.10. Thus $\mathcal{D}'$ is the whole category $\mathcal{D}(\Lambda\mathbb{Z}, \lambda^* \mathcal{T}_\bullet, k)$, and $\Phi_\bullet$ admits a right-adjoint functor

$$\Phi_\bullet^{-1} : \mathcal{D}(\Lambda\mathbb{Z}, \lambda^* \mathcal{T}_\bullet, k) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(B_\bullet^{opp}, k).$$

By Lemma 2.11, the composition $\Phi_\bullet^{-1} \circ h_*$ is right-adjoint to the geometric fixed points functor Φ of Definition 2.3. Moreover, for every $m \geq 1$, the functor $\Phi_\bullet^{[m]}$ of (2.16) is an equivalence of categories by [Ka2, Theorem 6.17]. Let Φ_m^{-1} be the inverse equivalence. Then by Corollary 2.5, the base change map

$$i_m^* \circ \Phi_\bullet^{-1} \circ h_* \rightarrow \Phi_m^{-1} \circ h_{m*} \circ i_m^*,$$

being adjoint to the direct product of isomorphisms (2.8), is itself an isomorphism. Then by Lemma 2.9, the base change map

$$i_m^*(\Phi_\bullet^{-1}(M)) \rightarrow \Phi_m^{-1}(i_m^*(M))$$

is an isomorphism for any $M \in \mathcal{D}(\Lambda\mathbb{Z}, \lambda^*\mathcal{T}_\bullet, k)$ of the form $M = h_*M'$, $M' \in \mathcal{D}(\Lambda\mathbb{Z}^{opp}, k)$, and by Lemma 2.10, this implies that it is an isomorphism for any M . Thus we have

$$i_m^* \circ \Phi_\bullet \circ \Phi^{-1} \cong \Phi^{[m]} \circ \Phi_m^{-1} \circ i_m^*, \quad i_m^* \circ \Phi^{-1} \circ \Phi_\bullet \cong \Phi_m^{-1} \circ \Phi^{[m]} \circ i_m^*$$

for any $m \geq 1$. Since $\Phi_\bullet^{[m]}$ and Φ_m^{-1} are mutually inverse equivalences of categories, this means that the adjunction maps $\text{Id} \rightarrow \Phi^{-1} \circ \Phi_\bullet$, $\Phi^{-1} \circ \Phi_\bullet \rightarrow \text{Id}$ become isomorphisms after restricting to $\Lambda\mathbb{Z}_v$. Since this restriction is obviously a conservative functor, we conclude that $\Phi_\bullet$ and Φ^{-1} are mutually inverse equivalences of categories. To prove that $\Phi_\bullet$ identifies $\mathcal{DM}\Lambda(k)$ and $\mathcal{DM}\Lambda_{\mathcal{T}}(k)$, note that $h^* \circ \Phi_\bullet \cong h^*$. $\square$

2.4 Restriction and corestriction. We will now make some additional observations on cyclic Mackey functors for future use. First of all, recall that we have 2-functors $q : \Lambda\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}\Lambda\mathbb{Z}$, $\widehat{q} : \widehat{\Lambda}\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}\Lambda\mathbb{Z}$, and the agree on horizontal maps, $q \circ h \cong \widehat{q} \circ \widehat{h}$, so that we have an isomorphism $h^* \circ q^* \cong \widehat{h}^* \circ \widehat{q}^*$.

Lemma 2.13. *The base change map*

$$\widehat{h}_! \circ h^* \rightarrow \widehat{q}^* \circ q_!$$

induced by the isomorphism $h^ \circ q^* \cong \widehat{h}^* \circ \widehat{q}^*$ is itself an isomorphism.*

Proof. As in the proof of Lemma 2.4, this follows by the same argument as in the proof of Lemma A.4. $\square$

In particular, this Lemma shows that we have natural identifications

$$h^* \circ \Phi_\bullet \circ q_! \cong \Phi \circ q_! \cong L^\bullet \varphi \circ \widehat{q}^* \circ q_! \cong L^\bullet \varphi \circ \widehat{h}_! \circ h^*,$$

and since $L^\bullet \varphi \circ \widehat{h}_!$ is adjoint to $\widehat{h}^* \circ \nu = \text{Id}$, the right-hand side is just h^* . In fact more is true: the composition

$$\Phi_\bullet \circ q_! : \mathcal{D}(\Lambda\mathbb{Z}^{opp}, k) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(\Lambda\mathbb{Z}, \lambda^*\mathcal{T}_\bullet, k)$$

is naturally isomorphic to the corestriction functor ξ^* with respect to the augmentation map of the augmented $\Lambda\mathbb{Z}$ -graded A_∞ -coalgebra $\lambda^*\mathcal{T}_\bullet$ (to construct an isomorphism, resolve a functor $E \in \text{Fun}(\Lambda\mathbb{Z}^{opp}, k)$ by functors

of the form $i_{m!}E_m$, $E_m \in \text{Fun}(O_{\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}}^{opp}, k)$ and apply [Ka2, Lemma 6.20]). Therefore the corestriction functor ξ^* has a right-adjoint functor ξ_* given by

$$\xi_* = q^* \circ \Phi_{\bullet}^{-1} : \mathcal{D}(\Lambda\mathbb{Z}, \lambda^*\mathcal{T}_{\bullet}, k) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(\Lambda\mathbb{Z}^{opp}, k).$$

We also have

$$(2.17) \quad h^* \circ \xi_* \circ \xi^* \cong h^* \circ q^* \circ q_! \cong \widehat{h}^* \circ \widehat{q}^* \circ q_! \cong \widehat{h}^* \circ \widehat{h}_! \circ h^*.$$

To compute the right-hand side more effectively, it is useful to consider the category $\Lambda I \cong \widetilde{\Lambda I}$ of Lemma 1.5. Consider also the product $\Lambda I \times \mathbb{N}^*$, and define the projections $i, \pi : \Lambda I \times \mathbb{N}^* \rightarrow \widehat{\Lambda\mathbb{Z}_h}^{opp} \cong \Lambda\mathbb{Z}_h \cong \Lambda \times \mathbb{N}^*$ by

$$(2.18) \quad i = i \times \text{id}, \quad \pi = \pi \times \rho_m \text{ on } \Lambda_m \times \mathbb{N}^* \subset \Lambda I \times \mathbb{N}^*,$$

where $\rho_m : \mathbb{N}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{N}^*$ is the map given by multiplication by the integer $m \geq 1$.

Lemma 2.14. *We have a natural isomorphism*

$$\widehat{h}^* \circ \widehat{h}_! \cong \pi_! \circ i^*.$$

Proof. Under the identification $\widehat{\Lambda\mathbb{Z}}^{opp} \cong \Lambda\mathbb{Z}$, $\widehat{h}$ goes to the tautological embedding $h : \Lambda\mathbb{Z}_h \rightarrow \Lambda\mathbb{Z}$. Let $\overline{\Lambda I}$ be the category of vertical maps $v : a \rightarrow a'$ in $\Lambda\mathbb{Z}$, with maps between them given by commutative squares

$$\begin{array}{ccc} a_1 & \xrightarrow{f} & a_2 \\ v_1 \downarrow & & \downarrow v_2 \\ a'_1 & \xrightarrow{f'} & a'_2 \end{array}$$

with horizontal f (and arbitrary f'). Let $s : \overline{\Lambda I} \rightarrow \Lambda\mathbb{Z}_h$, $t : \overline{\Lambda I} \rightarrow \Lambda\mathbb{Z}$ be the functors sending a map to its source resp. target. Then t is a cofibration, and s has a left adjoint $\iota : \Lambda\mathbb{Z}_h \rightarrow \overline{\Lambda I}$ sending $a \in \Lambda\mathbb{Z}$ to its identity map. Then $\widehat{h} = t \circ \iota$, so that

$$h_! \cong t_! \circ \iota_! \cong t_! \circ s^*.$$

It remains to notice that we have a natural Cartesian square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \widetilde{\Lambda I} \times \mathbb{N}^* & \xrightarrow{h} & \overline{\Lambda I} \\ \pi \downarrow & & \downarrow t \\ \Lambda\mathbb{Z}_h & \xrightarrow{h} & \Lambda\mathbb{Z}, \end{array}$$

so that $h^* \circ t_! \cong \pi_! \circ h^*$ by base change, and we have $s \circ h = i$. $\square$

3 Cyclotomic complexes.

We can now introduce the main subject of the paper, a cyclotomic complex. Fix a commutative ring k . Consider the cyclotomic category ΛR and the ΛR -graded A_∞ -coalgebra $\mathcal{T}_\bullet$ of Subsection 2.3. Let $\tilde{\lambda} : \widetilde{\Lambda R} \rightarrow \Lambda R$ be the cofibration of (1.17), and let $h : \widetilde{\Lambda R_h} \cong \Lambda \times I \rightarrow \widetilde{\Lambda R}$ be the natural embedding. By Lemma 2.7, the restriction $h^* \tilde{\lambda}^* \mathcal{T}_\bullet$ is the trivial $\widetilde{\Lambda R_h}$ -graded A_∞ -coalgebra, $h^* \tilde{\lambda}^* \mathcal{T}_\bullet(f) = \mathbb{Z}$ for any map f in $\widetilde{\Lambda R_h}$, so that we have a restriction functor

$$h^* : \mathcal{D}(\widetilde{\Lambda R}, \tilde{\lambda}^* \mathcal{T}_\bullet, k) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(\Lambda R_h, k).$$

Definition 3.1. A *cyclotomic complex* over k is an A_∞ -comodule $M_\bullet$ over $\tilde{\lambda}^* \mathcal{T}_\bullet$ with values in the category $k\text{-mod}$ such that $h^* M_\bullet \in \mathcal{D}(\Lambda R_h, k)$ is locally constant in the sense of Definition A.1.

The derived category of cyclotomic complexes over k will be denoted $\mathcal{DAR}(k)$.

3.1 Normalized ΛR -graded coalgebras. Definition 3.1 is short enough, but it is only as explicit and amenable to computations as the A_∞ -coalgebra $\mathcal{T}_\bullet$ (that is, not particularly). In this Section, we will provide more explicit descriptions of the categories $\mathcal{DAR}(k)$. In the process of doing so, we will also obtain a more convenient description of the categories $\mathcal{DMA}(k)$ of Section 2. We start with the following reduction similar to [Ka2, Subsection 7.5, 7.6].

Definition 3.2. Assume given a finite group G . A complex $E_\bullet$ of $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ -modules is *strongly acyclic* with respect to G if for any subgroup $H \subset G$, $H \neq G$, and any $\mathbb{Z}[H]$ -module V we have

$$\lim_{\substack{\rightarrow \\ n}} H^\bullet(H, V \otimes F^n E_\bullet) = 0,$$

where $F^\bullet E_\bullet$ is the stupid filtration on the complex $E_\bullet$. A map $f : E_\bullet \rightarrow E'_\bullet$ between $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ -modules is a *strong quasiisomorphism* with respect to G if its cone is strongly acyclic.

Definition 3.3. A ΛR -graded A_∞ -coalgebra $\mathcal{R}_\bullet$ is called *normalized* if has the properties (i)-(iii) of Lemma 2.7.

In particular, the coalgebra $\mathcal{T}_\bullet$ is normalized (by Lemma 2.7). For any normalized ΛR -graded A_∞ -colagebra $\mathcal{R}_\bullet$ and any map $f : [m] \rightarrow [m']$ is the category ΛR , the complex $\mathcal{R}_\bullet(f)$ is equipped, by definition, with an action of the cyclic group $\text{Aut}([m])$.

Definition 3.4. An A_∞ -map $\xi : \mathcal{R}_\bullet \rightarrow \mathcal{R}'_\bullet$ between normalized ΛR -graded A_∞ -coalgebras is a *strong quasiisomorphism* if for any map $f : [m] \rightarrow [m']$, the corresponding map $\xi : \mathcal{R}_\bullet(f) \rightarrow \mathcal{R}'_\bullet(f)$ is a strong quasiisomorphism with respect to the subgroup $\text{Aut}(f) \subset \text{Aut}([m])$ consisting of such $g \in \text{Aut}([m])$ that $f \circ g = f$.

Proposition 3.5. *For any strong A_∞ -quasiisomorphism $\xi : \mathcal{R}_\bullet \rightarrow \mathcal{R}'_\bullet$ between normalized ΛR -graded A_∞ -coalgebras and any commutative ring k , the corestriction functors*

$$\begin{aligned}\xi^* : \mathcal{D}(\widetilde{\Lambda R}, \widetilde{\lambda^* \mathcal{R}_\bullet}, k) &\rightarrow \mathcal{D}(\widetilde{\Lambda R}, \widetilde{\lambda^* \mathcal{R}'_\bullet}, k), \\ \xi^* : \mathcal{D}(\Lambda \mathbb{Z}, \lambda^* \mathcal{R}_\bullet, k) &\rightarrow \mathcal{D}(\Lambda \mathbb{Z}, \lambda^* \mathcal{R}'_\bullet, k)\end{aligned}$$

between the derived categories of A_∞ -comodules is an equivalence of categories.

Proof. Both Lemma 2.9 and Lemma 2.10 hold for any normalized ΛR -graded A_∞ -coalgebra instead of $\mathcal{T}_\bullet$, with the same proof. Moreover, they also hold for $\widetilde{\Lambda R}$ instead of $\Lambda \mathbb{Z}$ (again with the same proof). Thus as in the proof of Proposition 2.12, the functors ξ^* admits a right-adjoint functors

$$\begin{aligned}\xi_* : \mathcal{D}(\widetilde{\Lambda R}, \widetilde{\lambda^* \mathcal{R}'_\bullet}, k) &\rightarrow \mathcal{D}(\widetilde{\Lambda R}, \widetilde{\lambda^* \mathcal{R}_\bullet}, k), \\ \xi_* : \mathcal{D}(\Lambda \mathbb{Z}, \lambda^* \mathcal{R}'_\bullet, k) &\rightarrow \mathcal{D}(\Lambda \mathbb{Z}, \lambda^* \mathcal{R}_\bullet, k).\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, for any integer $m \geq 1$, we have a natural embedding $i_m : O_{\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}} \cong \Lambda R_v^m \rightarrow \Lambda R$ and the corresponding restriction functors

$$\begin{aligned}i_m^* : \mathcal{D}(\widetilde{\Lambda R}, \widetilde{\lambda^* \mathcal{R}_\bullet}, k) &\rightarrow \mathcal{D}(O_{\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}}, i_m^* \widetilde{\lambda^* \mathcal{R}_\bullet}, k), \\ i_m^* : \mathcal{D}(\widetilde{\Lambda R}, \widetilde{\lambda^* \mathcal{R}'_\bullet}, k) &\rightarrow \mathcal{D}(O_{\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}}, i_m^* \widetilde{\lambda^* \mathcal{R}'_\bullet}, k).\end{aligned}$$

For $\Lambda \mathbb{Z}$, these functors were already considered in Section 2. For either $\Lambda \mathbb{Z}$ or $\widetilde{\Lambda R}$, we have an obvious isomorphism $\xi_m^* \circ i_m^* \cong i_m^* \circ \xi^*$, where $\xi_m = i_m^*(\xi)$, and the corresponding base change map $i_m^* \circ \xi_* \rightarrow \xi_{m*} \circ i_m^*$ is also an isomorphism, as in Lemma 2.9. Thus it suffices to prove that for every m , ξ_m^* and ξ_{m*} are mutually inverse equivalences of categories. This is [Ka2, Lemma 7.14]. $\square$

3.2 Reduced ΛR -graded coalgebras. The first corollary of Proposition 3.5 is analogous to the reduction done in [Ka2, Subsection 7.5].

Definition 3.6. A normalized ΛR -graded A_∞ -coalgebra $\mathcal{R}_\bullet$ is called *reduced* if for any map f in ΛR of degree $n > 1$, $\mathcal{R}(f) = 0$ unless n is prime. For a normalized ΛR -graded A_∞ -coalgebra $\mathcal{R}_\bullet$, its *reduction* $\mathcal{R}_\bullet^{red}$ is defined by setting

$$\mathcal{R}_\bullet^{red}(f) = \begin{cases} \mathcal{R}_\bullet(f) & \text{if the degree of } f \text{ is 1 or a prime number,} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

with the A_∞ -operations being the same as in $\mathcal{R}_\bullet$ when it makes sense, and 0 otherwise.

For any normalized ΛR -graded A_∞ -coalgebra $\mathcal{R}_\bullet$, we obviously have a canonical map $\mathcal{R}_\bullet^{red} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_\bullet$.

Lemma 3.7. *For any normalized ΛR -graded A_∞ -coalgebra $\mathcal{R}_\bullet$, the canonical map $\mathcal{R}_\bullet^{red} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_\bullet$ induces equivalences of categories*

$$\mathcal{D}(\widetilde{\Lambda R}, \widetilde{\lambda^* \mathcal{R}_\bullet^{red}}, k) \cong \mathcal{D}(\widetilde{\Lambda R}, \widetilde{\lambda^* \mathcal{R}_\bullet}, k), \quad \mathcal{D}(\Lambda \mathbb{Z}, \lambda^* \mathcal{R}_\bullet^{red}, k) \cong \mathcal{D}(\Lambda \mathbb{Z}, \lambda^* \mathcal{R}_\bullet, k).$$

Proof. By [Ka2, Lemma 7.15 (ii)], the map $\mathcal{R}_\bullet^{red} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_\bullet$ is a strong quasi-isomorphism in the sense of Definition 3.4; the claim then follows from Proposition 3.5. $\square$

We now observe that a reduced ΛR -graded A_∞ -coalgebra is essentially a linear object: all the potentially non-linear comultiplication maps are 0 by definition. To make this precise, let

$$\Lambda I_{red} = \coprod_{p \text{ prime}} \Lambda_p \subset \Lambda I,$$

where ΛI is as in (1.2).

Definition 3.8. An A_∞ -functor from a small category $\mathcal{C}$ to some abelian category Ab is *normalized* if for any n -tuple $f_1, \dots, f_n$ of composable invertible maps $f_1, \dots, f_n$ in $\mathcal{C}$, $n \geq 3$, the corresponding A_∞ operation b_n is equal to 0.

Lemma 3.9. *The category of reduced normalized ΛR -graded A_∞ -coalgebras and A_∞ -maps between them is equivalent to the category of normalized A_∞ -functors from ΛI_{red}^{opp} to $\mathbb{Z}\text{-mod}$ and A_∞ -maps between them.*

Proof. Assume given a reduced normalized ΛR -graded A_∞ -coalgebra $\mathcal{R}_\bullet$. For any object $[m] \in \Lambda R$ we have the slice category $\Lambda R_h/[m]$ of horizontal maps $f : [n] \rightarrow [m]$, $[n] \in \Lambda R$. Since $\mathcal{R}_\bullet$ is normalized, for any map $g : [m] \rightarrow [m']$ we can define a functor $\mathcal{R}_\bullet^g$ from $(\Lambda R_h/[m])^{opp}$ to complexes of abelian groups by setting

$$\mathcal{R}_\bullet^g(f) = \mathcal{R}_\bullet(g \circ f).$$

This functor is constant (all transition maps are isomorphisms). Let

$$P_\bullet(g) = \lim_{\leftarrow} \mathcal{R}_\bullet^g(f),$$

where the limit is taken over the category $(\Lambda R_h/[m])^{opp}$. Moreover, any horizontal map $h : [m] \rightarrow [m']$ induces a functor $\Lambda R_h/[m] \rightarrow \Lambda R_h/[m']$, $f \mapsto h \circ f$; for any map $g : [m'] \rightarrow [m'']$, restriction with respect to this functors gives a natural map

$$h^* : P_\bullet(g) \rightarrow P_\bullet(g \circ h),$$

and this is associative in the obvious sense.

Recall that we have the equivalence (1.10), and restrict it to $\Lambda I_{red} \subset \Lambda I$. For every object $a \in \Lambda I_{red}$, let

$$P_\bullet(a) = P_\bullet(v(a)).$$

Then $P_\bullet(-)$ has a natural structure of a normalized A_∞ -functor from ΛI_{red}^{opp} to complexes of abelian groups: for every n -tuple

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} i_p(a_0) & \xrightarrow{f_1} & i_p(a_1) & \xrightarrow{f_2} & \dots & \xrightarrow{f_n} & i_p(a_n) \\ v_0 \downarrow & & v_1 \downarrow & & & & \downarrow v_n \\ \pi_p(a_0) & \xrightarrow{f'_1} & \pi_p(a_1) & \xrightarrow{f'_2} & \dots & \xrightarrow{f'_n} & \pi_p(a_n) \end{array}$$

of maps of the form (1.9), the A_∞ operation

$$P_\bullet(a_n) \rightarrow P_\bullet(a_0)$$

is the composition of the map

$$(f_n \circ \dots \circ f_1)^* : P_\bullet(a_n) \rightarrow P_\bullet(v_n \circ f_n \circ \dots \circ f_1) = P_\bullet(f'_n \circ \dots \circ f'_1 \circ v_0)$$

and the map

$$P_\bullet(f'_n \circ \dots \circ f'_1 \circ v_0) \rightarrow P_\bullet(v_0) = P_\bullet(a_0)$$

induced by A_∞ -operation on $\mathcal{R}_\bullet$.

Conversely, assume given a normalized A_∞ -functor $P_\bullet$ from ΛI_{red}^{opp} to complexes of abelian groups. For any map $f : [m] \rightarrow [n]$ of prime degree in ΛR , let $\mathcal{C}(f)$ be the category of diagrams

$$[m] \xrightarrow{h} [m'] \xrightarrow{v} [n]$$

with vertical v , horizontal h , and $f = v \circ h$. Then sending such a diagram to $P_\bullet(v)$ gives a functor $P_\bullet(f)$ from $\mathcal{C}(f)$ to complexes of abelian groups, and we set

$$\mathcal{R}_\bullet(f) = \lim_{\leftarrow} P_\bullet(f),$$

where the limit is taken over $\mathcal{C}_f$. We leave it to the reader to check that the A_∞ -functor structure on $P_\bullet$ induces a reduced normalized A_∞ -coalgebra structure on $\mathcal{R}_\bullet(-)$, and that both constructions are mutually inverse. $\square$

Now we can make our final reduction. Say that a normalized A_∞ -functor $M_\bullet$ from ΛI_{red}^{opp} to $\mathbb{Z}$ -mod is *admissible* if for any object $[a] \in \Lambda_p \subset \Lambda I_{red}$, we have

$$M_i([a]) = \begin{cases} 0, & i < 0, \\ \mathbb{Z}, & i = 0, \end{cases}$$

and $M_i([a])$ is a free $\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}]$ -module for $i \geq 1$. We will say that a reduced normalized ΛR -graded A_∞ -coalgebra $\mathcal{R}_\bullet$ is *admissible* if so is the A_∞ functor $E_\bullet$ corresponding to $\mathcal{R}_\bullet$ under the equivalence of Lemma 3.9. Note that the A_∞ -coalgebra $\mathcal{T}_\bullet$ is admissible by [Ka2, Proposition 7.8].

Lemma 3.10. *For any two admissible reduced normalized ΛR -graded A_∞ -coalgebras $\mathcal{R}_\bullet$, $\mathcal{R}'_\bullet$, and ring k , we have canonical equivalences*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}(\widetilde{\Lambda R}, \widetilde{\lambda}^* \mathcal{R}'_\bullet, k) &\cong \mathcal{D}(\widetilde{\Lambda R}, \widetilde{\lambda}^* \mathcal{R}_\bullet, k), \\ \mathcal{D}(\Lambda \mathbb{Z}, \lambda^* \mathcal{R}'_\bullet, k) &\cong \mathcal{D}(\Lambda \mathbb{Z}, \lambda^* \mathcal{R}_\bullet, k). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Choose a projective resolution $\widetilde{P}_\bullet$ of the constant functor $\mathbb{Z} \in \text{Fun}(\Lambda I_{red}^{opp}, \mathbb{Z})$, and let $P_0 = \mathbb{Z}$, $P_i = \widetilde{P}_{i-1}$ for $i \geq 1$. Then $P_\bullet$ is an admissible normalized A_∞ -functor from ΛI_{red}^{opp} to $\mathbb{Z}$ -mod, and $\widetilde{P}_\bullet$ is h -projective by Lemma A.5. Therefore for any other admissible normalized A_∞ -functor $P'_\bullet$, we have an A_∞ -map

$$\xi : P_\bullet \rightarrow P'_\bullet.$$

Moreover, for every $[m] \in \Lambda_p \subset \Lambda I_{red}$, the map $\xi([m])$ is a strong quasi-isomorphism with respect to $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \subset \text{Aut}([m])$. Let $P'_\bullet$ be the A_∞ -functor

corresponding to the A_∞ -coalgebra $R_\bullet$, and let $\widehat{\mathcal{R}}_\bullet$ be the A_∞ -coalgebra corresponding to the A_∞ -functor $P_\bullet$. Then ξ induces a map

$$\xi : \widehat{\mathcal{R}}_\bullet \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_\bullet,$$

and this map is a strong quasiisomorphism in the sense of Definition 3.4. Therefore by Proposition 3.5, the corestriction functors corresponding to $\widetilde{\lambda}^*\xi$, resp. $\lambda^*\xi$ are equivalences of categories. Analogously for $\mathcal{R}'_\bullet$. $\square$

3.3 Comodules. Consider now the category $\widetilde{\Lambda R}_h \cong \Lambda \times I$ and the products $\Lambda I_{red} \times \mathbb{N}^* \subset \Lambda I_{red} \times I$. Define the functors

$$i, \pi : \Lambda I_{red} \times I \rightarrow \widetilde{\Lambda R}_h$$

by the same formula as in (2.18). Moreover, let $\tau : \Lambda I_{red} \times I \rightarrow \Lambda I_{red}$ be the tautological projection.

Any complex $P_\bullet$ of functors in $\text{Fun}(\Lambda I_{red}^{opp}, \mathbb{Z})$ is in particular a normalized A_∞ -functor from ΛI_{red}^{opp} to $\mathbb{Z}\text{-mod}$. Fix such a complex $P_\bullet$ so that it is admissible in the sense of Lemma 3.10. For any commutative ring k , consider the category of pairs $\langle V_\bullet, \varphi \rangle$ of complexes $V_\bullet$ in $\text{Fun}(\widetilde{\Lambda R}_h^{opp}, k)$ equipped with a map

$$\varphi : \pi^* V_\bullet \rightarrow i^* V_\bullet \otimes \tau^* P_\bullet.$$

Inverting quasiisomorphisms in this category, we obtain a triangulated category denoted by $\mathcal{D}(\widetilde{\Lambda R}_h, P_\bullet, k)$. Forgetting the map φ gives a functor

$$\widetilde{h}^* : \mathcal{D}(\widetilde{\Lambda R}_h, P_\bullet, k) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(\widetilde{\Lambda R}_h^{opp}, k).$$

Analogously, let $\mathcal{D}(\Lambda \mathbb{Z}, P_\bullet, k)$ be the category of pairs $\langle V_\bullet, \varphi \rangle$ of complexes $V_\bullet$ in $\text{Fun}(\Lambda \mathbb{Z}_h^{opp}, k)$ equipped with a map

$$\varphi : \pi^* V_\bullet \rightarrow i^* V_\bullet \otimes \tau^* P_\bullet,$$

with inverted quasiisomorphisms, where i and π are as in (2.18). Restricting from $\widetilde{\Lambda R}_h$ to $\Lambda \mathbb{Z}_h$ gives a forgetful functor

$$(3.1) \quad \mathcal{D}(\widetilde{\Lambda R}_h, P_\bullet, k) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(\Lambda \mathbb{Z}_h, P_\bullet, k),$$

and we have the restriction functor

$$h^* : \mathcal{D}(\Lambda \mathbb{Z}_h, P_\bullet, k) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(\Lambda \mathbb{Z}_h^{opp}, k).$$

Let $\mathcal{R}_\bullet$ be the reduced normalized $\widetilde{\Lambda R}$ -graded A_∞ -coalgebra corresponding to $P_\bullet$ under the equivalence of Lemma 3.9, and consider the derived categories $\mathcal{D}(\Lambda \mathbb{Z}, \widetilde{\lambda}^* \mathcal{R}_\bullet, k)$, $\mathcal{D}(\widetilde{\Lambda R}, \widetilde{\lambda}^* \mathcal{R}_\bullet, k)$.

Lemma 3.11. *There exists a canonical equivalences of categories*

$$\mathcal{D}(\Lambda\mathbb{Z}_h, P_\bullet, k) \cong \mathcal{D}(\Lambda\mathbb{Z}, \lambda^*\mathcal{R}_\bullet, k), \quad \mathcal{D}(\widetilde{\Lambda R}_h, P_\bullet, k) \cong \mathcal{D}(\widetilde{\Lambda R}, \widetilde{\lambda}^*\mathcal{R}_\bullet, k)$$

commuting with the restriction functors h^ , resp. $\widetilde{h}^*$.*

Proof. By Lemma A.5, we may modify the definition of the derived category $\mathcal{D}(\widetilde{\Lambda R}_h, P_\bullet, k)$ by replacing complexes in $\text{Fun}(\widetilde{\Lambda R}_h^{opp}, k)$ with A_∞ -comodules over the trivial $\widetilde{\Lambda R}_h^{opp}$ -graded A_∞ -coalgebra, and analogously for $\Lambda\mathbb{Z}$. Then the resulting category of complexes is tautologically equivalent the category of A_∞ -comodules over $\widetilde{\lambda}^*\mathcal{R}_\bullet$, resp. $\lambda^*\mathcal{R}_\bullet$, and the equivalence commutes with $\widetilde{h}^*$, resp. h^* . In particular, it preserves quasiisomorphisms, hence descends to the derived categories. $\square$

Let now $\mathcal{D}_c(\widetilde{\Lambda R}_h, P_\bullet, k) \subset \mathcal{D}(\widetilde{\Lambda R}_h, P_\bullet, k)$ be the full subcategory spanned by such $M \in \mathcal{D}(\widetilde{\Lambda R}_h, P_\bullet, k)$ that $h^*M \in \mathcal{D}(\widetilde{\Lambda R}_h^{opp}, k)$ is locally constant in the sense of Definition A.1, and let $\mathcal{D}_c(\Lambda\mathbb{Z}_h, P_\bullet, k) \subset \mathcal{D}(\Lambda\mathbb{Z}_h, P_\bullet, k)$ be the full subcategory spanned by $M \in \mathcal{D}(\Lambda\mathbb{Z}_h, P_\bullet, k)$ with locally constant h^*M . Then combining Lemma 3.11 with Lemma 3.7 and Lemma 3.10, we obtain the following effective description of the categories $\mathcal{DM}\Lambda(k)$, $\mathcal{DAR}(k)$ of k -valued cyclic Mackey functors and k -valued cyclotomic complexes.

Proposition 3.12. *For any admissible complex $P_\bullet$ of functors from ΛI_{red} to $\mathbb{Z}\text{-mod}$, there exist canonical equivalences*

$$\mathcal{DM}\Lambda(k) \cong \mathcal{D}_c(\Lambda\mathbb{Z}_h, P_\bullet, k), \quad \mathcal{DAR}(k) \cong \mathcal{D}_c(\widetilde{\Lambda R}_h, P_\bullet, k)$$

of triangulated categories. $\square$

Moreover, restricting from $\widetilde{\Lambda R}$ to $\Lambda\mathbb{Z}$ as in (3.1), we obtain restriction functors

$$h^* : \mathcal{D}(\widetilde{\Lambda R}^{opp}, k) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(\Lambda\mathbb{Z}^{opp}, k), \quad h^* : \mathcal{D}(\widetilde{\Lambda R}_h, P_\bullet, k) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(\Lambda\mathbb{Z}^{opp}, k).$$

Let $\mathcal{D}_w(\widetilde{\Lambda R}_h^{opp}, k) \subset \mathcal{D}(\widetilde{\Lambda R}_h^{opp}, k)$, $\mathcal{D}_w(\widetilde{\Lambda R}_h, P_\bullet, k) \subset \mathcal{D}(\widetilde{\Lambda R}_h, P_\bullet, k)$ be the full subcategories spanned by such M that $h^*M \in \mathcal{D}(\Lambda\mathbb{Z}^{opp}, k)$ is locally constant.

Proposition 3.13. (i) *The restriction functor $\widetilde{h}^*$ has a right-adjoint*

$$\widetilde{h}_* : \mathcal{D}(\widetilde{\Lambda R}_h^{opp}, k) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(\widetilde{\Lambda R}_h, P_\bullet, k),$$

and it sends the subcategory $\mathcal{D}_w(\widetilde{\Lambda R}_h^{opp}, k) \subset \mathcal{D}(\widetilde{\Lambda R}_h^{opp}, k)$ into the subcategory $\mathcal{D}_w(\widetilde{\Lambda R}_h, P_\bullet, k) \subset \mathcal{D}(\widetilde{\Lambda R}_h, P_\bullet, k)$.

- (ii) Assume given a triangulated subcategory $\mathcal{D}' \subset \mathcal{D}_w(\widetilde{\Lambda R_h}, P_\bullet, k)$ which is closed with respects to arbitrary products and contains all the objects h_*M , $M \in \mathcal{D}(\Lambda \mathbb{Z}_h^{opp}, k)$. Then $\mathcal{D}' = \mathcal{D}_w(\widetilde{\Lambda R_h}, P_\bullet, k)$.

Proof. On the level of categories of complexes, the forgetful functor $\widetilde{h}^*$ has an obvious adjoint given by

$$\widetilde{h}_*V_\bullet = \pi_*(i^*V_\bullet \otimes \tau^*P_\bullet),$$

with the tautological map φ . Since every complex in $\text{Fun}(\Lambda R_h^{opp}, k)$ has an h -injective replacement, this descends to the derived categories.

Lemma 3.14. *For any $l \geq 0$, let $F^l P_\bullet \subset P_\bullet$ be the l -term of the stupid filtration on $P_\bullet$ (that is, $(F^l P_\bullet)_m = P_m$ for $m \leq l$, and 0 otherwise). Then for every h -injective complex $V_\bullet$ in $\text{Fun}(\widetilde{\Lambda R_h^{opp}}, k)$, the natural map*

$$(3.2) \quad \pi_*(i^*V_\bullet \otimes \tau^*P_\bullet) \rightarrow \lim_{\substack{\rightarrow \\ \downarrow}} R^\bullet \pi_*(i^*V_\bullet \otimes \tau^*F^l P_\bullet)$$

is a quasiisomorphism.

Proof. We obviously have

$$i^*V_\bullet \otimes \tau^*P_\bullet \cong \lim_{\substack{\rightarrow \\ \downarrow}} i^*V_\bullet \otimes \tau^*F^l P_\bullet,$$

so that it suffices to prove that the map

$$\pi_*(i^*V_\bullet \otimes \tau^*F^l P_\bullet) \rightarrow R^\bullet \pi_*(i^*V_\bullet \otimes \tau^*F^l P_\bullet)$$

is a quasiisomorphism for any $l \geq 0$. By induction, this reduces to proving that for any integers $m, l \geq 0, n \geq 1$, we have

$$R^n \pi_*(i^*V_m \otimes \tau^*P_l) = 0.$$

This can be checked after evaluating at any object $a \in \widetilde{\Lambda R_h}$; by base change, we have to show that

$$H^n(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}, i^*V_m(b) \otimes P_l(\tau(b))) = 0$$

for every $b \in \Lambda_p \times I \subset \Lambda I_{red} \times I$. But since $V_\bullet$ is h -injective, $V_\bullet(c)$ is an h -injective complex of $\mathbb{Z}[\text{Aut}(c)]$ -modules for any $c \in \widetilde{\Lambda R_h}$, so that $i^*V_m(b)$ is a free $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ -module. Hence so is the product $i^*V_m(b) \otimes \tau^*P_l(b)$. $\square$

Now, by Proposition 3.12, the category $\mathcal{D}(\widetilde{\Lambda R_h}, P_\bullet, k)$ does not depend on the choice of an admissible complex $P_\bullet$. Choose $P_\bullet$ so that $F^l P_\bullet$ is quasiisomorphic to the shift $\mathbb{Z}[l]$ of the constant functor $\mathbb{Z} \in \text{Fun}(\Lambda I_{red}^{opp}, k)$ for every even integer $l \geq 0$. Let $\bar{\pi} : \Lambda I_{red} \times \mathbb{N}^* \rightarrow \Lambda \mathbb{Z}_h$ be the restriction of the functor π to $\Lambda I_{red} \times \mathbb{N}^*$. Then $h^* \circ R^\bullet \pi_* \cong R^\bullet \bar{\pi}_* \circ h^*$ by base change, and

$$R^\bullet \bar{\pi}_* : \mathcal{D}(\Lambda I_{red}^{opp}, k) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(\Lambda \mathbb{Z}_h^{opp}, k)$$

obviously sends $\mathcal{D}_c(\Lambda I_{red}^{opp}, k)$ into $\mathcal{D}_c(\Lambda \mathbb{Z}_h^{opp}, k)$. Thus restricting to even l in the right-hand side of (3.2), we see that for every $V_\bullet \in \mathcal{D}_w(\widetilde{\Lambda R_h}^{opp}, k)$,

$$\widetilde{h^*} \widetilde{h_*} V_\bullet \cong \lim_{\substack{\longrightarrow \\ \downarrow}} R^\bullet \pi_* i^* V_\bullet[2l]$$

indeed lies in $\mathcal{D}_w(\widetilde{\Lambda R_h}^{opp}, k)$. This finishes the proof of (i). (ii) now follows by exactly the same argument as in Lemma 2.10. $\square$

4 Equivariant homology.

4.1 Generalities on equivariant homotopy. To fix notation, we start by recalling some general fact from equivariant stable homotopy theory. The standard reference here is [LMS]; we mostly follow the exposition in [HM] which contains in a concise form everything we will need.

Let G be a compact Lie group. A G -CW complex X is a pointed CW complex X equipped with a continuous fixed-point-preserving G -action such that for any $g \in G$, the fixed-points subset $X^g \subset X$ is a subcomplex. Consider the category of pointed G -topological spaces and G -equivariant maps between them considered up to a G -equivariant homotopy, and let $G\text{-Top}$ be the full subcategory spanned by spaces homotopy equivalent to G -CW complexes. We note that for every closed Lie subgroup $H \subset G$, sending X to the fixed points subset $X^H \subset X$ gives a well-defined functor

$$G\text{-Top} \rightarrow W_H\text{-Top},$$

where $W_H = N_H/H$, and $N_H \subset G$ is the normalizer of the subgroup H .

Given a finite-dimensional representation V of the group G over $\mathbb{R}$, we will denote by S^V the one-point compactification of V , with infinity being the fixed point. For any $X \in G\text{-Top}$, we will denote $\Sigma^V X = X \wedge S^V$, and we will denote by $\Omega^V X$ the space of based continuous maps from S^V to X . The functors $\Sigma^V, \Omega^V : G\text{-Top} \rightarrow G\text{-Top}$ are obviously adjoint.

A G -universe is an $\mathbb{R}$ -vector space U equipped with a continuous linear G -action and a G -invariant positive-definite inner product. For any G -universe U , a G -prespectrum X indexed on U is a collection of G -CW complexes $X(V)$, one for each finite-dimensional G -invariant subspace $V \subset U$, and G -equivariant continuous maps

$$(4.1) \quad X(V) \rightarrow \Omega^W X(V \oplus W),$$

one for every pair of transversal mutually orthogonal finite-dimensional G -invariant subspaces $V, W \subset U$, subject to an obvious associativity condition. The category of G -spectra indexed on U and homotopy classes of maps between them will be denoted $G\text{-sp}(U)$.

A G -prespectrum is a *spectrum* if the maps (4.1) are homeomorphisms. The category of G -spectra indexed on U and homotopy classes of maps between them will be denoted $G\text{-Sp}(U)$. We have the tautological embedding $G\text{-Sp}(U) \rightarrow G\text{-sp}(U)$, and it admits a left-adjoint *spectrification functor* L given by

$$(4.2) \quad Lt(V) = \lim_{\substack{\longrightarrow \\ W}} \Omega^W \Sigma^W X(V \oplus W),$$

where the limit is taken over all finite dimensional G -invariant subspaces $W \subset U$ orthogonal to V .

For any inclusion $u : U_1 \subset U_2$ of G -universes, we have an obvious restriction functor $\rho^\#(u) : G\text{-sp}(U_2) \rightarrow G\text{-sp}(U_1)$, called *change of universe*, and this functor has a left-adjoint $\rho_\#(u) : G\text{-sp}(U_1) \rightarrow G\text{-sp}(U_2)$ given by

$$\tilde{\rho}_\#(u)(t)(V) = \Sigma^{V-(V \cap u(U_1))} t(u^{-1}(V)),$$

where $V - (V \cap u(U_1)) \subset V$ is the orthogonal to the intersection $V \cap u(U_1) \subset V$. The functor $\rho^\#(u)$ sends spectra to spectra; the corresponding functor $\rho^\#(u) : G\text{-Sp}(U_2) \rightarrow G\text{-Sp}(U_1)$ has a left-adjoint $\rho_\# : G\text{-Sp}(U_1) \rightarrow G\text{-Sp}(U_2)$ given by

$$\rho_\#(u) = L\tilde{\rho}_\#(u),$$

where L is the spectrification functor (4.2).

In particular, spectra indexed on a trivial universe $U = 0$ are just G -spaces, and the restriction $G\text{-Sp} \rightarrow G\text{-Top}$ with respect to the embedding $0 \hookrightarrow U$ is the forgetful functor sending a G -spectrum to its value at 0. Its right-adjoint is called the *suspension spectrum functor* and denoted $\Sigma^\infty : G\text{-Top} \rightarrow G\text{-Sp}(U)$. Explicitly, $\Sigma^\infty X = L\tilde{\Sigma}^\infty X$, where $\tilde{\Sigma}^\infty X \in G\text{-sp}(U)$ is given by

$$\tilde{\Sigma}^\infty X(V) = \Sigma^V X.$$

Of the non-trivial G -universes, those of two particular types are important.

- (i) $U = \mathbb{R}^\infty$ with the trivial G -action (where ∞ is assumed to be countable). Then G -spectra indexed on U are called *naive* equivariant G -spectra; we denote the category $G\text{-Sp}(U)$ by $G\text{-Sp}^{\text{naive}}$.
- (ii) A G -universe is *complete* if every finite-dimensional representation V of the compact Lie group G appears in U a countable number of times. All complete G -universes are obviously isomorphic. A G -spectrum indexed on a complete G -universe U is known as a *genuine* equivariant G -spectrum; we will denote $G\text{-Sp}(U)$ simply by $G\text{-Sp}$.

We note that both $G\text{-Sp}^{\text{naive}}$ and $G\text{-Sp}$ are triangulated categories, with shifts given $X[n](W) = X(W \oplus \mathbb{R}^n)$. In addition, $G\text{-Sp}$ has an autoequivalence $\Sigma^V : G\text{-Sp} \rightarrow G\text{-Sp}$ for every finite-dimensional representation V , given by $\Sigma^V X(W) = X(W \oplus V)$ (to make this precise, one has to fix an isomorphism $U \oplus V \cong U$, or $U \oplus \mathbb{R}^n \cong U$ in the naive case, and apply the change of universes functor).

Assume given a closed Lie subgroup $H \subset G$. Then for any G -universe U , U^H is a W_H -universe, complete if U was complete. For any G -spectrum $X \in G\text{-Sp}(U)$, the *Lewis-May fixed points spectrum* $X^H \in W_H\text{-Sp}(U^H)$ is given by

$$X^H(V) = X(V)^H$$

for any finite-dimensional $V \subset U^H \subset U$. There is a second fixed points functor $\Phi^H : G\text{-Sp}(U) \rightarrow H\text{-Sp}(U^H)$ called the *geometric fixed points functor*. To define it, one chooses a finite-dimensional G -invariant subspace $W(V) \subset U$ for every finite-dimensional W_H -invariant $V \subset U^H$ such that $V = W(V)^H$ and

$$\bigcup_{V \subset U^H} W(V) = U,$$

and sets

$$(4.3) \quad \varphi^H t(V) = t(W(V))^H$$

for any $t \in G\text{-sp}(U)$, and

$$\Phi^H X = L\varphi^H X$$

for any G -spectrum $X \in G\text{-Sp}(U)$. Here φ^H depends of the choice of the subspaces $W(V)$, but the spectrification Φ^H does not (for a more invariant description of the functor Φ^H which make this explicit, see [HM, Lemma 1.1]). For any $X \in G\text{-Sp}$, there is a natural map

$$X^H \rightarrow \Phi^H X,$$

and this map is functorial in X .

For naive G -spectra, both fixed points functors obviously coincide. In the genuine case, let us fix a complete G -universe U . Then $U^G \subset U$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^\infty$, so that $G\text{-Sp}(U^G)$ is $G\text{-Sp}^{naive}$, and the inclusion $u : U^G \rightarrow U$ induces a pair of adjoint functors $\rho^\#(u) : G\text{-Sp} \rightarrow G\text{-Sp}^{naive}$, $\rho_\#(u) : G\text{-Sp}^{naive} \rightarrow G\text{-Sp}$. We then have commutative diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} G\text{-Top} & \xrightarrow{\Sigma^\infty} & G\text{-Sp}^{naive} & \xrightarrow{\rho_\#(u)} & G\text{-Sp} \\ (-)^H \downarrow & & (-)^H \downarrow & & \downarrow \Phi^H \\ W_H\text{-Top} & \xrightarrow{\Sigma^\infty} & W_H\text{-Sp}^{naive} & \xrightarrow{\rho_\#(u')} & W_H\text{-Sp} \end{array}$$

and

$$(4.4) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} G\text{-Sp}^{naive} & \xleftarrow{\rho^\#(u)} & G\text{-Sp} \\ (-)^H \downarrow & & \downarrow (-)^H \\ W_H\text{-Sp}^{naive} & \xleftarrow{\rho^\#(u')} & W_H\text{-Sp}, \end{array}$$

where u' is the embedding $U^G \subset U^H$ (and the W_H -universe U^H is obviously complete).

4.2 Cyclic sets. From now on, we let $G = S^1 = U(1)$, the unit circle. Then it is well-known that G -spaces are related to cyclic sets. Let us recall the relation (for details and references, see e.g. [L]).

For any object $[n] \in \Lambda$, let $||[n]||$ be its topological realization: the union of points numbered by vertices $v \in V([n])$ and open intervals I_e numbered by edges $e \in E([n])$, with the natural topology making $||[n]||$ into a circle. For any function $a : E([n]) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $a > 0$, we can make $||[n]||$ into a metric space $||[n]||^o(a)$ by assigning length $a(e)$ to the interval I_e . Let $R([n])^o$ be the space of pairs $\langle a, b \rangle$ of a function $a : E([n]) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $a > 0$, and a metric-preserving monotonous continuous map $b : ||[n]||^o(a) \rightarrow S^1$ to the unit circle $S^1 \subset \mathbb{C}$. Such a map b exists if and only if $a_1 + \dots + a_n = 2\pi$, and the space of all such maps is non-canonically identified with S^1 , so that we have a non-canonical homeomorphism

$$R([n])^o \cong S^1 \times T_{n-1}^o,$$

where for any $m \geq 0$, $T_m^o \subset T_m$ is the interior of the standard m -simplex T_m . Embed $R([n])^o$ into a compact space $R([n])$ by allowing a to take zero

values (and degenerate metrics on $[[n]]$). We then have

$$\mathbf{R}([n]) \cong S^1 \times \mathbf{T}_{n-1}.$$

This decomposition is not canonical, but the space $\mathbf{R}([n])$ itself is completely canonical, and by construction, it carries a continuous G -action.

Moreover, for any map $f : [n] \rightarrow [m]$ and a pair $\langle a, b \rangle \in \mathbf{R}([m])$, let

$$f(a)(e) = \sum_{f(e')=e} s(e'),$$

so that we have an obvious metric-preserving map $[[n]](f(a)) \rightarrow [[m]](a)$, and let $f(b)$ be the composition of this map with b . This makes $\mathbf{R}$ into a contravariant functor from Λ to $G\text{-Top}$. Turn it into a covariant functor by applying the duality $\Lambda^{opp} \cong \Lambda$. The result is a functor

$$(4.5) \quad \mathbf{R} : \Lambda \rightarrow G\text{-Top}.$$

By the standard Kan extension procedure, it extends uniquely to a colimit-preserving *realization functor*

$$\mathbf{Real} : \Lambda^{opp} \mathbf{Sets} \rightarrow G\text{-Top}$$

such that $\mathbf{Real} \circ Y \cong \mathbf{R}$, where $Y : \Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda^{opp} \mathbf{Sets}$ is the Yoneda embedding. We also the right-adjoint functor

$$S : G\text{-Top} \rightarrow \Lambda^{opp} \mathbf{Sets}$$

such that

$$S(X)([n]) = \mathbf{Maps}_G(\mathbf{R}([n]), X),$$

for any $X \in G\text{-Top}$, where $\mathbf{Maps}_G(-, -)$ stands for the set of G -equivariant unbased maps.

It is well known that for any $A \in \Lambda^{opp} \mathbf{Sets}$, the realization $\mathbf{Real}(A)$ is homeomorphic to the usual geometric realization of the simplicial set $j^* A \in \Delta^{opp} \mathbf{Sets}$, and for any $X \in G\text{-Top}$, the adjunction map

$$\mathbf{Real}(S(X)) \rightarrow X$$

is a homotopy equivalence for every $X \in G\text{-Top}$ (see [L], or [Dri] for a modern treatment). In particular, if for any set A we denote by $\mathbb{Z}[A]$ the free abelian group spanned by A , and apply this pointwise to $S(X) : \Lambda \rightarrow \mathbf{Sets}$, then we have

$$(4.6) \quad H_*(\Lambda^{opp}, \mathbb{Z}[S(X)]) \cong H_*(X_{hG}, \mathbb{Z}),$$

where X_{hG} is the homotopy quotient of X by the G -action.

However, for our applications the functors $\mathbb{Z}[S(X)] \in \text{Fun}(\Lambda^{opp}, \mathbb{Z})$ are inconvenient because they are not locally constant in the sense of Definition A.1. To remedy this, we note that the sets $S(X)([n])$ all carry a natural topology.

Definition 4.1. For any $X \in G\text{-Top}$, its *chain complex* $C_\bullet(X)$ is a complex in $\text{Fun}(\Lambda^{opp}, \mathbb{Z})$ given by

$$C_\bullet(X)([n]) = C_\bullet(S(X)([n]), \mathbb{Z}),$$

where $C_\bullet(-, \mathbb{Z})$ is the normalized singular chain homology complex with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}$.

Since all the maps $R([n]) \rightarrow R([n'])$ are homotopy equivalences, $C_\bullet(X)$, unlike $\mathbb{Z}[S(X)]$, is locally constant in the sense of Definition A.1. More explicitly, $C_\bullet(X)$ is obtained as follows. We consider the functor $\tilde{R} : \Lambda \times \Delta \rightarrow G\text{-Top}$ given by

$$\tilde{R}([n] \times [m]) = R([n]) \times T_{m-1},$$

where $[m] \in \Delta$ is the totally ordered set with m elements. The functor $\tilde{R}$ also extends to a pair of adjoint functors

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{\text{Real}} : \text{Fun}(\Lambda^{opp} \times \Delta^{opp}, \text{Sets}) &\rightarrow G\text{-Top}, \\ \tilde{S} : G\text{-Top} &\rightarrow \text{Fun}(\Lambda^{opp} \times \Delta^{opp}, \text{Sets}). \end{aligned}$$

to obtain $C_\bullet(S(X))$, we take $\mathbb{Z}[\tilde{S}(X)] \in \text{Fun}(\Lambda^{opp} \times \Delta^{opp}, \mathbb{Z})$, and apply the normalized chain complex construction fiberwise with respect to the projection $\tau : \Lambda \times \Delta \rightarrow \Lambda$.

To explain the relation between $\mathbb{Z}[S(X)]$ and $C_\bullet(X)$, let $\iota_m : \Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda \times \Delta$ be the embedding given by $\iota([n]) = [n] \times [m]$, for any $m \geq 1$. Then ι_1 is adjoint to τ , so that for any $A \in \text{Fun}(\Lambda^{opp} \times \Delta^{opp}, \text{Sets})$, we have a natural adjunction map

$$(4.7) \quad \tau^* \iota_1^* A \rightarrow A.$$

Apply this to $\tilde{S}(X)$ for some $X \in G\text{-Top}$. By definition, we have $\iota_1^* \tilde{S}(X) \cong S(X)$; taking free abelian groups and passing to normalized chain complexes, we obtain a natural map

$$(4.8) \quad \mathbb{Z}[S(X)] \rightarrow C_\bullet(X).$$

Lemma 4.2. *Assme given $A \in \text{Fun}(\Lambda^{opp} \times \Delta^{opp}, \text{Sets})$ such that for any map $f : [m] \rightarrow [n]$ in Δ , the corresponding map*

$$\iota_n^* A \rightarrow \iota_m^* A$$

induces a homotopy equivalence of geometric realizations. Then the map

$$\text{Real}(\iota^* A) \cong \widetilde{\text{Real}}(\tau^* \iota^* A) \rightarrow \widetilde{\text{Real}}(A)$$

induced by (4.7) is a homotopy equivalence.

Proof. Let

$$\text{Real}_\Lambda : \text{Fun}(\Lambda^{opp} \times \Delta^{opp}, \text{Sets}) \rightarrow \Delta^{opp}\text{-Top}$$

be the fiberwise geometric realization functor with respect to the projection $\Lambda \times \Delta \rightarrow \Delta$. Then the assumption on A means that (4.7) becomes a homotopy equivalence already after applying Real_Λ . $\square$

For any $X \in G\text{-Top}$, we have $\iota_m^* \tilde{S}(X) \cong S(\text{Maps}(\Delta_{m-1}, X))$, so that $\tilde{S}(X)$ automatically satisfies the conditions of Lemma 4.2. Thus in particular, the natural map $\text{Real}(S(X)) \rightarrow \widetilde{\text{Real}}(\tilde{S}(X))$ is a homotopy equivalence, and the map

$$(4.9) \quad H_*(\Lambda, \mathbb{Z}[S(X)]) \rightarrow H_*(\Lambda, C_*(X))$$

induced by (4.8) is an isomorphism. More generally, if we denote by $C : \mathcal{D}(\Lambda, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_c(\Lambda, \mathbb{Z})$ the left-adjoint functor to the embedding $\mathcal{D}_c(\Lambda, \mathbb{Z}) \subset \mathcal{D}(\Lambda, \mathbb{Z})$, then $C(\mathbb{Z}[S(X)]) \cong C_*(X)$.

The complexes $C_*(X)$ are usually rather big. We will need smaller models for the standard G -orbit spaces G/C_n , where $C_n = \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \subset G$ is the group of n -th roots of unity. To obtain such models, consider the functors $j_n : \Delta \rightarrow \Lambda_n^{opp}$ of Subsection 1.1, with the natural C_n -actions on them. Define functors $J_n : \Lambda^{opp} \times \Delta^{opp} \rightarrow \text{Sets}$ by setting

$$(4.10) \quad J_n([m] \times [l]) = \Lambda(i_n j_n([l]), [m])/C_n,$$

where C_n acts through its action on j_n .

Lemma 4.3. *For any $n \geq 1$, we have a natural homotopy equivalence*

$$\widetilde{\text{Real}}(J_n) \cong G/C_n.$$

Proof. By Lemma 4.2, it suffices to construct equivalences

$$\text{Real}(\iota_l^* J_n) \cong G/C_n$$

for any $[l] \in \Delta$. By definition, we have

$$\iota_l^* J_n \cong Y(j_n([l]))/C_n = Y([nl])/C_n,$$

where $Y([nl]) \subset \Lambda^{opp} \text{Sets}$ is the Yoneda image of $[nl] \in \Lambda$. Since Real is colimit-preserving, we have homeomorphisms

$$\text{Real}(Y([nl])/C_n) \cong \text{Real}(Y([nl]))/C_n \cong R([nl])/C_n,$$

and $R([nl])$ is indeed homotopy-equivalent to G . $\square$

We will now introduce the fixed points subsets into the picture. By Lemma 1.8, the functor R of (4.5) extends to a functor

$$R = \text{Real} \circ Y : \Lambda\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow G\text{-Top},$$

so that we obtain an adjoint pair of functors

$$\text{Real} : \text{Fun}(\Lambda\mathbb{Z}^{opp}, \text{Sets}) \rightarrow G\text{-Top}, \quad S : G\text{-Top} \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\Lambda\mathbb{Z}^{opp}, \text{Sets}).$$

We keep the same notation because the functors are direct extensions of the functors Real and S of Subsection 4.2. In effect, the restriction functor $\Lambda^{opp} \text{Sets} \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\Lambda\mathbb{Z}^{opp}, \text{Sets})$ admits a fully faithful left-adjoint

$$L : \Lambda^{opp} \text{Sets} \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\Lambda\mathbb{Z}^{opp}, \text{Sets}),$$

and we have $S \cong L \circ S$, $\text{Real} \cong \text{Real} \circ L$. Explicitly, if we restrict $L(A)$ to $\Lambda \cong \Lambda\mathbb{Z}_h^m \subset \Lambda\mathbb{Z}$, we have

$$(4.11) \quad L(A)|_{\Lambda\mathbb{Z}_h^m} = \pi_{m*} i_m^* A,$$

where the adjoint $\pi_{m*} : \Lambda_m^{opp} \text{Sets} \rightarrow \Lambda^{opp} \text{Sets}$ to the pullback functor π_m^* is obtained by taking $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ -fixed points fiberwise, and the vertical maps in $\Lambda\mathbb{Z}$ act by natural inclusions of fixed points.

As in Subsection 4.2, we extend the functors S and Real to the product category $\Lambda\mathbb{Z} \times \Delta$. For any $X \in G\text{-Top}$, we define its *extended chain complex* $\tilde{C}_\bullet(X)$ of functors in $\text{Fun}(\Lambda\mathbb{Z}^{opp}, \mathbb{Z})$ by setting

$$\tilde{C}_\bullet(X) = C_\bullet(S(X)) = N(\mathbb{Z}[\tilde{S}(X)]),$$

where N is the normalized chain complex functor applied fiberwise to the projection $\Lambda\mathbb{Z} \times \Delta \rightarrow \Lambda\mathbb{Z}$. Moreover, define the *reduced chain complex* $\overline{C}_\bullet(X)$ as

$$\overline{C}_\bullet(X)([n|m]) = \tilde{C}_\bullet(X)([n|m])/\mathbb{Z}[o],$$

where $o \in \text{Maps}_{S^1}(\mathbb{R}([m|n]), X)$ is the distinguished point. Then the standard shuffle map induces a natural quasiisomorphism

$$(4.12) \quad \overline{C}_\bullet(X) \otimes \overline{C}_\bullet(Y) \rightarrow \overline{C}_\bullet(X \wedge Y)$$

for any $X, Y \in G\text{-Top}$, where $X \wedge Y$ is the smash product, and the tensor product in the right-hand side is the pointwise tensor product in the category $\text{Fun}(\Lambda\mathbb{Z}^{opp}, \mathbb{Z})$.

The reduced chain complex functor $\overline{C}_\bullet(-)$ easily extends to the category $G\text{-Sp}^{naive}$ of naive G -equivariant spectra. Namely, for any $X \in G\text{-Sp}^{naive}$ and integers $i, j \geq 0$, the transition maps (4.1) induce by adjunction maps

$$\Sigma^i X(\mathbb{R}^{\oplus j}) \rightarrow X(\mathbb{R}^{\oplus i+j}),$$

which give rise to maps

$$(4.13) \quad \overline{C}_\bullet(X(\mathbb{R}^{\oplus i}))[-i] \cong \overline{C}_\bullet(\Sigma^i X(\mathbb{R}^{\oplus j})) \rightarrow \overline{C}_\bullet(X(\mathbb{R}^{\oplus i+j})).$$

Definition 4.4. The *equivariant homology complex* $C_\bullet^{naive}(X)$ of a naive G -spectrum $X \in G\text{-Sp}^{naive}$ is given by

$$C_\bullet^{naive}(X) = \lim_{\substack{\rightarrow \\ i}} \overline{C}_\bullet(X(\mathbb{R}^{\oplus i}))[i] \in \mathcal{D}(\Lambda\mathbb{Z}^{opp}, \mathbb{Z}),$$

where the limit is taken with respect to the maps (4.13).

4.3 Cyclic Mackey functors. To extend the equivariant homology complex of Definition 4.4 to genuine G -spectra, we need to pass to the category $\mathcal{DMA}(\mathbb{Z})$ of cyclic Mackey functors of Section 2. We will use the model of Proposition 3.12, with an appropriately chosen complex $P_\bullet$.

We begin with the following observation. For any $n \geq 1$, let $P_\bullet^n$ be the complex in $\text{Fun}(\Lambda\mathbb{Z}^{opp}, \mathbb{Z})$ obtained as the cone of the natural augmentation map

$$N(L(J_n)) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z},$$

where $\mathbb{Z} \in \text{Fun}(\Lambda\mathbb{Z}^{opp}, \mathbb{Z})$ is the constant functor, and $J_n : \Lambda^{opp} \times \Delta^{opp} \rightarrow \text{Sets}$ is as in (4.10). We note that for any $[m|l] \in \Lambda\mathbb{Z}$, $P_\bullet^n([m|l])$ is a finite-length complex of finitely generated free abelian groups. Now, let $\mathbb{C}(1)$ be

the standard complex representation of the group $G = U(1)$, and let $\mathbb{C}(n) = \mathbb{C}(1)^{\otimes n}$. Treat $\mathbb{C}(n)$ as a 2-dimensional real representation by restriction of scalars, and denote

$$\Sigma_n = \Sigma^{\mathbb{C}(n)} : G\text{-Top} \rightarrow G\text{-Top}.$$

Lemma 4.5. *For any $X \in G\text{-Top}$, we have a natural functorial quasiisomorphism*

$$P_{\bullet}^n \otimes \overline{C}_{\bullet}(X) \rightarrow \overline{C}_{\bullet}(\Sigma_n X).$$

Proof. We have $S^{\mathbb{C}(n)} \cong \Sigma(G/C_n)$, the non-equivariant suspension of the standard orbit G/C_n . The homotopy equivalence of Lemma 4.3 induces by adjunction a map

$$J_n \rightarrow \tilde{S}(G/C_n),$$

and this map induces a quasiisomorphism

$$P_{\bullet}^n \rightarrow \overline{C}_{\bullet}(S^{\mathbb{C}(n)}).$$

Combining this with (4.12), we get the claim. $\square$

Now let us fix a complete G -universe U , by taking

$$(4.14) \quad U = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \mathbb{C}(n)^{\infty},$$

where ∞ means the sum of a countable number of copies (this is complete, since $\mathcal{C}(-n) \cong \mathcal{C}(n)$ as real representations).

Say that a map $\nu : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ is admissible if $\nu(i) \neq 0$ for at most a finite number of i . For any admissible sequence ν , let

$$V(\nu) = \bigoplus_i \mathbb{C}(i)^{\oplus \nu(i)} \subset U,$$

and let

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma_{\nu} &= \Sigma^{V(\nu)} = \Sigma_{i_1}^{\nu(i_1)} \circ \dots \circ \Sigma_{i_n}^{\nu(i_n)}, \\ P_{\bullet}^{\nu} &= \bigotimes_{1 \leq l \leq n} (P_{\bullet}^{i_l})^{\otimes \nu(i_l)}, \end{aligned}$$

where $i_1 \leq \dots \leq i_n$ are all integers such that $\nu(i_l) \neq 0$. Then Lemma 4.5 immediately gives canonical quasiisomorphisms

$$(4.15) \quad P_{\bullet}^{\nu} \otimes \overline{C}_{\bullet}(X) \rightarrow \overline{C}_{\bullet}(\Sigma_{\nu}(X)).$$

We will call the subspaces $V(\nu) \subset U$ *cellular*; the collection of all cellular subspaces is obviously cofinal in the collection of all finite-dimensional G -invariant subspaces $V \subset U$.

We are now ready to define our complex $P_\bullet$ in $\text{Fun}(\Lambda I_{red}^{opp}, \mathbb{Z})$. Note that for any $m, l \geq 1$, the natural C_m -action on $i_m^* J_n([l]) = J_n([ml])$ factors through a free action of the quotient $C_m/(C_m \cap C_n)$. Therefore for any vertical map $v : a \rightarrow b$ in $\Lambda\mathbb{Z}$, the corresponding map

$$v : P_\bullet^n(b) \rightarrow P_\bullet^n(a)$$

is an isomorphism if $\deg(v)$ divides n , and the natural inclusion $\mathbb{Z} = P_0^n(b) \rightarrow P_\bullet^n(a)$ otherwise. Moreover, for any prime p not dividing n , the restriction

$$i_p^*(P_\bullet^n)$$

with respect to the functor $i_p : \Lambda_p \rightarrow \Lambda \cong \Lambda\mathbb{Z}_h^1 \subset \Lambda\mathbb{Z}$ is the constant functor $\mathbb{Z}$ in degree 0, while $i_p^* P_i^n([m])$ is a free $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ -module for any $[m] \in \Lambda_p$ and any $i \geq 1$. We now define a complex $P_\bullet$ of functors in $\text{Fun}(\Lambda I_{red}^{opp}, \mathbb{Z})$ by setting

$$P_\bullet = \varinjlim i_p^* P_\bullet^\nu \text{ on } \Lambda_p \subset \Lambda I_{red},$$

where the limit with respect to the natural inclusions $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow P_\bullet^n$ is taken over all admissible sequences ν such that $\nu(pl) = 0$, $l \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $P_\bullet$ is admissible in the sense of Proposition 3.12, so that we have a canonical equivalence

$$\mathcal{DM}\Lambda(\mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathcal{D}(\Lambda\mathbb{Z}_h, P_\bullet, \mathbb{Z}).$$

Remark 4.6. To help the reader visualize the complex $P_\bullet$, we note that on $\Lambda_p \subset \Lambda I_{red}$, it is essentially given by

$$i_p^* \lim_{\substack{\rightarrow \\ V}} \overline{C}_\bullet(S^V),$$

where the limit is taken over all cellular subspaces in U orthogonal to $U^{C_p} \subset U$. The only difference is in that we use more economical simplicial models for the spheres.

By definition, $P_\bullet^\nu$ for any n gives an object in the category $\mathcal{D}(\Lambda\mathbb{Z}_h, P_\bullet, \mathbb{Z})$. The corresponding complex is

$$h^* P_\bullet^\nu,$$

the restriction with respect to the embedding $\Lambda\mathbb{Z}_h \rightarrow \Lambda\mathbb{Z}$, and the map $\varphi : \pi^* h^* P_\bullet^\nu \rightarrow i^* h^* P_\bullet^\nu$ is induced by the action of the vertical maps on $P_\bullet^{nu}$. Explicitly, denote by ν_p the map given by

$$\nu_p(m) = \begin{cases} \nu(m), & m = pl, l \geq 1, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then we have $P_\bullet^\nu = P_\bullet^{\nu_p} \otimes P_\bullet^{\nu-\nu_p}$, and the Λ_p -component φ_p of the map φ is the product of an isomorphism

$$\pi_p^* h^* P_\bullet^{\nu_p} \cong i_p^* h^* P_\bullet^{\nu_p}$$

and the natural inclusion

$$\mathbb{Z} \cong \pi_p^* h^* P_\bullet^{\nu-\nu_p} \rightarrow i_p^* h^* P_\bullet^{\nu-\nu_p}.$$

If we treat the complexes $P_\bullet^n$ up to a quasiisomorphism, then on $\Lambda\mathbb{Z}_h^m \subset \Lambda\mathbb{Z}_h$, the restriction $h^* P_\bullet^n$ is given by

$$\begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}[2], & n = ml, l \geq 1, \\ \mathbb{Z}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let us now fix a projective resolution $Q_\bullet$ of the constant functor $\mathbb{Z} \in \text{Fun}(\Lambda\mathbb{Z}_h^{opp}, \mathbb{Z})$, and let us define a complex $Q_\bullet^n$ by setting

$$Q_\bullet^n = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}[-2], & n = ml, l \geq 1, \\ \mathbb{Z}, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

on $\Lambda\mathbb{Z}_h^m \subset \Lambda\mathbb{Z}_h$. Then $Q_\bullet^n \otimes h^* P_\bullet^n$ is quasiisomorphic to the constant functor $\mathbb{Z}$, and since $Q_\bullet$ is projective, this can be realized by an actual quasiisomorphism

$$\varepsilon_n : Q_\bullet \rightarrow Q_\bullet^n \otimes h^* P_\bullet^n.$$

Moreover, for any admissible $\nu : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ and any $m \geq 1$, let

$$d(m, \nu) = \sum_{l \geq 1} \nu(ml),$$

and let $Q_\bullet^\nu$ be a complex in $\text{Fun}(\Lambda\mathbb{Z}_h^{opp}, \mathbb{Z})$ given by $Q_\bullet[-2d(m, \nu)]$ on $\Lambda\mathbb{Z}_h^m \subset \Lambda\mathbb{Z}^h$. Then taking tensor product of the maps ε_n , we obtain a system of quasiisomorphisms

$$(4.16) \quad \varepsilon_\nu : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow Q_\bullet^\nu \otimes h^* P_\bullet^\nu$$

for all admissible ν . For any prime p , we have a natural map

$$\varepsilon_{\nu-\nu_p} : \pi_p^* Q_\bullet^\nu \rightarrow i_p^*(Q_\bullet^\nu \otimes P_\bullet^{\nu-\nu_p}),$$

and composing these maps with the natural embeddings $i_p^* P_\bullet^{\nu-\nu_p} \rightarrow P_\bullet$, we equip $Q_\bullet^\nu$ with a natural structure of an object in $\mathcal{D}(\Lambda\mathbb{Z}_h, P_\bullet, \mathbb{Z})$.

We are now ready to define our equivariant homology functor. Assume given a prespectrum $t \in G\text{-sp}(U)$. For any two admissible $\nu, \nu' : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$, we have a transition map

$$\Sigma_{\nu'} t(V(\nu)) \rightarrow t(V(\nu + \nu'))$$

adjoint to the map (4.1). By (4.15), these maps induce canonical maps

$$P_\bullet^{\nu'} \otimes \overline{C}_\bullet(t(V(\nu))) \rightarrow \overline{C}_\bullet(V(\nu + \nu')).$$

Let now $\xi^* : \mathcal{D}(\Lambda\mathbb{Z}^{opp}, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(\Lambda\mathbb{Z}, \lambda^* \mathcal{T}_\bullet, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathcal{DM}\Lambda(\mathbb{Z})$ be the corestriction functor with respect to the augmentation map of the A_∞ -coalgebra $\lambda^* \mathcal{T}_\bullet$. Then these maps composed with the maps (4.16) induce transition maps

$$(4.17) \quad \begin{aligned} \xi^* \overline{C}_\bullet(t(V(\nu))) &\rightarrow Q_\bullet^\nu \otimes \xi^* P_\bullet^{\nu'} \otimes \xi^* \overline{C}_\bullet(t(V(\nu))) \rightarrow \\ &\rightarrow Q_\bullet^\nu \otimes \xi^* \overline{C}_\bullet(t(V(\nu + \nu'))) \end{aligned}$$

Definition 4.7. The *equivariant chain complex* $C_\bullet(X) \in \mathcal{DM}\Lambda(\mathbb{Z})$ of a G -prespectrum $t \in G\text{-sp}(U)$ is given by

$$(4.18) \quad C_\bullet(t) = \lim_{\substack{\rightarrow \\ \nu}} Q_\bullet^\nu \otimes \xi^* \overline{C}_\bullet(X(V(\nu))),$$

where the limit is taken over all the admissible maps $\nu : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ with respect to the transition maps (4.17).

Lemma 4.8. For any $t \in G\text{-sp}(U)$ with spectrification Lt , the adjunction map $t \rightarrow Lt$ induces an isomorphism

$$C_\bullet(t) \rightarrow C_\bullet(Lt).$$

Proof. Since cellular subspaces are cofinal, in it suffices to take the limit over cellular subspaces in (4.2). Then substituting (4.2) into (4.18), we see that $C_\bullet(Lt)$ is given by

$$\lim_{\substack{\rightarrow \\ \nu \leq \nu'}} Q_\bullet^\nu \otimes \xi^* \overline{C}_\bullet(\Omega^{V(\nu'-\nu)} t(V(\nu'))),$$

where the limit is taken over all pairs $\nu \leq \nu'$ of admissible maps $\nu, \nu' : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$. The subset of all pairs with $\nu = \nu'$ is cofinal in this set, so it suffices to take the limit over such pairs. This is exactly $C_\bullet(t)$. $\square$

Corollary 4.9. *For any $X \in G\text{-Top}$, we have*

$$C_*(\Sigma^\infty X) \cong \xi^* \overline{C}(X),$$

and for any $X \in G\text{-Sp}^{naive}$, we have

$$(4.19) \quad C_*(\rho_\#(u)X) \cong C_*(X),$$

where $\rho_\#(u)$ is the change-of-universe functor associated to the embedding $\mathbb{R}^{\oplus\infty} = U^G \subset U$.

Proof. By Lemma 4.8, we can replace Σ^∞ with $\tilde{\Sigma}^\infty$ and $\rho_\#(u)$ with $\tilde{\rho}_\#(u)$. Then for $t = \tilde{\Sigma}^\infty X$, all the transition maps in the filtered limit of (4.18) are quasiisomorphisms, and for $t = \tilde{\rho}_\#(u)X$, the only possibly non-trivial transition maps are the those of (4.13). $\square$

4.4 Cyclotomic complexes. Now for any $m \geq 1$, let $C_m = \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z} \subset G = U(1)$ be the group of the m -th roots of unity. The m -power map gives an isomorphism $p_m : G/C_m \rightarrow G$, and we have $p_m \circ p_n = p_{nm}$, $m, n \geq 1$. We have obvious canonical G -equivariant isomorphisms

$$u_m : U^{C_m} \cong U,$$

where U is the complete G -universe (4.14), and we have $u_m \circ u_n = u_{nm}$, $n, m \geq 1$.

Definition 4.10. For any $X \in G\text{-Sp} = G\text{-Sp}(U)$ and any $m \geq 1$, the *extended geometric fixed points spectrum* $\hat{\Phi}^m(X) \in G\text{-Sp}$ is given by

$$\hat{\Phi}^m(X) = \rho_\#(u_m)\Phi^{C_m}(X),$$

and the *extended Lewis-May fixed points spectrum* $\hat{\Psi}^m(X) \in G\text{-Sp}$ is given by

$$\hat{\Psi}^m(X) = \rho_\#(u_m)X^{C_m}.$$

For any $m \geq 1$, let $\rho_m : I \rightarrow I$ be the multiplication by m , as in (2.18). It commutes with the $\mathbb{N}^*$ -action, hence induces an endofunctor

$$\tilde{\rho}_m : \Lambda\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \Lambda\mathbb{Z}$$

commuting with the projection $\lambda : \Lambda\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \Lambda R$. By (4.11), we have

$$\tilde{\rho}_m^* LA \cong LA^{C_m}$$

for any $A \in \Lambda^{opp} \text{Sets}$. In particular, for every $X \in G\text{-Top}$ we have

$$(4.20) \quad \tilde{\rho}_m^* \overline{C}_\bullet(X) \cong \overline{C}_\bullet(X^{C_m}),$$

and by (4.13), these quasiisomorphisms induce quasiisomorphisms

$$(4.21) \quad \tilde{\rho}_m^* C_\bullet(X) \cong C_\bullet(X^{C_m})$$

for every naive G -spectrum $X \in G\text{-Sp}^{naive}$. We want to obtain a version of this for genuine G -spectra. Since $\lambda \circ \tilde{\rho}_m = \lambda$, we tautologically have $\tilde{\rho}^* \lambda^* \mathcal{T}_\bullet \cong \lambda^* \mathcal{T}_\bullet$, so that we have a pullback functor

$$\tilde{\rho}_m^* : \mathcal{DM}\Lambda(Z) \rightarrow \mathcal{DM}\Lambda(Z).$$

Lemma 4.11. *For any $m \geq 1$ and any $X \in G\text{-Sp}$, we have a natural functorial isomorphism*

$$C_\bullet(\hat{\Phi}^m(X)) \cong \tilde{\rho}_m^* C_\bullet(X).$$

Proof. For any map $\nu : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$, let $r(\nu) : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ be given by $r(\nu)(ma + b) = \nu(a)$, $a \in \mathbb{Z}$, $0 \leq b < n$. If ν is admissible, then so is $r(\nu)$.

By Lemma 4.8, we may replace $\hat{\Phi}^m$ with the functor $\varphi^m = \tilde{\rho}_\#(u_m) \circ \varphi^{C_m}$. Choose the subspaces $W(V) \subset U$ so that $W(V(\nu)) = V(r(\nu))$ for any admissible $\nu : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$. Then $C_\bullet(\varphi^m X)$ is given by

$$(4.22) \quad \lim_{\substack{\rightarrow \\ \nu}} \mathcal{Q}_\bullet^\nu \otimes \xi^* \overline{C}_\bullet(X(V(r\nu))^{C_m}),$$

and since the sequences $r(\nu)$ are cofinal in the set of all admissible sequences, $\tilde{\rho}_m^* C_\bullet(X)$ is given by

$$(4.23) \quad \lim_{\substack{\rightarrow \\ \nu}} \tilde{\rho}_m^* \mathcal{Q}_\bullet^{r(\nu)} \xi^* \overline{C}_\bullet(X(V(r\nu))).$$

By definition, the pullback functor commutes with corestriction, $\tilde{\rho}_m^* \circ \xi^* \cong \xi^* \circ \tilde{\rho}_m^*$, and we have the isomorphisms (4.20). It remains to notice that by definition, we have

$$\tilde{\rho}_m^* \mathcal{Q}_\bullet^{r(\nu)} \cong \mathcal{Q}_\bullet^\nu$$

for any admissible ν . □

We now consider the right-adjoint $\xi_* : \mathcal{DM}\Lambda(\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(\Lambda\mathbb{Z}^{opp}, \mathbb{Z})$ to the corestriction ξ^* , as in Subsection 2.4. The isomorphism (4.19) then induces a base change map

$$(4.24) \quad C_\bullet(\rho^\#(u)(X)) \rightarrow \xi_* C_\bullet(X)$$

for any $X \in G\text{-Sp}$. By virtue of (4.21) and (4.4), we have a natural isomorphism

$$\widehat{\rho}_m^* C_*(\rho^\#(u)(X)) \cong C_*(\widehat{\Psi}^m(X))$$

for any genuine G -spectrum $X \in G\text{-Sp}$ and any $m \geq 1$.

Lemma 4.12. *The base change map (4.24) is an isomorphism for any $X \in G\text{-Sp}$, so that*

$$C_*(\widehat{\Psi}^m(X)) \cong \widehat{\rho}_m^* \xi_* C_*(X)$$

for any $m \geq 1$.

Proof. It suffices to prove that the map (4.24) becomes an isomorphism after evaluating at any object $[n|m] \in \Lambda\mathbb{Z}$. By (4.4) and the definition of the equivariant homology complex $C_*(-)$ of a naive G -spectrum, we have a natural quasiisomorphism

$$C_*(\rho^\#(u)(X))([n|m]) \cong C_*(X^{C_m}),$$

where X^{C_m} is treated as a non-equivariant spectrum, and $C_*(-)$ in the right-hand side is obtained by applying the limit of Definition 4.4 to the usual non-equivariant reduced singular chain complex functor $\overline{C}_*(-, \mathbb{Z})$ (n is irrelevant since the chain complexes of Definition 4.1 are locally constant). Thus we have to prove that for any $n, m \geq 1$, the natural map

$$C_*(X^{C_m}) \rightarrow (\xi_* C_*(X))([n|m])$$

induced by (4.24) is an isomorphism.

On the other hand, by the definition of the functor $C_* : G\text{-Sp} \rightarrow \mathcal{DM}\Lambda(\mathbb{Z})$, we have an isomorphism

$$\Sigma^V \circ C_* \cong C_* \circ \Sigma^V$$

for any $V = V(\nu) \subset U$, and the base change map

$$C_* \circ (\Sigma^V)^{-1} \rightarrow (\Sigma^V)^{-1} \circ C_*$$

is also an isomorphism. Therefore (4.24) is an isomorphism for some $X \in G\text{-Sp}$ if and only if it is an isomorphism for $\Sigma^V X$. Since every $X \in G\text{-Sp}$ is a filtered colimit of spectra of the form $(\Sigma^V)^{-1} \Sigma^\infty Y$, $Y \in G\text{-Top}$, it suffices to prove that (4.24) is an isomorphism for suspension spectra $\Sigma^\infty Y$. By Corollary 4.9, we have a quasiisomorphism

$$C_*(\Sigma^\infty Y) \cong \xi^* \overline{C}_*(Y);$$

thus what we have to prove is that for any $Y \in G\text{-Top}$ and any $[n|m] \in \Lambda\mathbb{Z}$, the natural map

$$C_{\bullet}((\Sigma^{\infty}Y)^{C_m}) \rightarrow (\xi_*\xi^*\overline{C}_{\bullet}(Y))([n|m])$$

induced by (4.24) is a quasiisomorphism. The right-hand side can be computed by (2.17) and Lemma 2.14; it is given by

$$\bigoplus_{l,p \geq 1, lp=m} C_{\bullet}(\mathbb{Z}/l\mathbb{Z}, \overline{C}_{\bullet}(Y)([nl|p]),$$

where by definition, we have

$$\overline{C}_{\bullet}(Y)([nl|p]) \cong \overline{C}_{\bullet}(Y^{C_p}, \mathbb{Z}),$$

the reduced chain complex of the fixed-points set Y^{C_p} . The desired isomorphism then becomes the tom Dieck-Segal splitting [HM, Section 1]. $\square$

We now return to topology, and recall the following fundamental notion (we again follow [HM], and refer to that paper for further information and references).

Definition 4.13. A *cyclotomic structure* on a genuine G -spectrum $T \in G\text{-Sp}$ is given by a collection of homotopy equivalences

$$r_m : \widehat{\Phi}^m T \cong T,$$

one for each integer $m \geq 1$, such that $r_1 = \text{id}$ and $r_n \circ r_m = r_{nm}$ for any two integer $n, m > 1$.

Example 4.14. Assume given a pointed CW complex X , and let $LX = \text{Maps}(S^1, X)$ be its free loop space. Then for any finite subgroup $C \subset S^1$, the isomorphism $S^1 \cong S^1/C$ induces a homeomorphism

$$\text{Maps}(S^1, X)^C = \text{Maps}(S^1/C, X) \cong \text{Maps}(S^1, X),$$

and these homeomorphism provide a canonical cyclotomic structure on the suspension spectrum $\Sigma^{\infty}LX$.

Then by Lemma 4.11, a cyclotomic structure $\{r_m\}$ on T induces a collection of quasiisomorphisms

$$r_m : \widehat{\rho}_m^* C_{\bullet}(T) \rightarrow C_{\bullet}(T), \quad m \geq 1$$

such that $r_m \circ r_n = r_{nm}$. If we treat the equivariant chain complex $C_\bullet(T)$ as an object $\langle h^*C_\bullet(T), \varphi \rangle$ in the category $\mathcal{D}(\Lambda\mathbb{Z}_h, P_\bullet, \mathbb{Z})$, then the maps r_m extend $h^*C_\bullet(T)$ to a complex in the category $\text{Fun}(\widetilde{\Lambda R}^{opp}, \mathbb{Z})$, and this extension is compatible with the map φ . Therefore $C_\bullet(T)$ canonically defines an object $\widetilde{C}_\bullet(T)$ in the derived category $\mathcal{D}(\widetilde{\Lambda R}_h, P_\bullet, \mathbb{Z})$. By Proposition 3.12, this turns $C_\bullet(T)$ into a cyclotomic complex. Thus we can finally justify our terminology by introducing the following definition.

Definition 4.15. The cyclotomic complex $\widetilde{C}_\bullet(T) \in \mathcal{DAR}(\mathbb{Z})$ is called the *equivariant chain complex* of the cyclotomic spectrum T .

5 Filtered Dieudonné modules.

5.1 Definitions. We now want to compare cyclotomic complexes to a different and much simpler algebraic notion which appeared earlier in a different context – the notion of a filtered Dieudonné module.

Definition 5.1. Let k be a finite field of characteristic p , with its Frobenius map, and let W be its ring of Witt vectors, with its canonical lifting of the Frobenius map. A *filtered Dieudonné module* over W is a finitely generated W -module M equipped with a decreasing filtration $F^\bullet M$, indexed by all integers, and a collection of Frobenius-semilinear maps $\varphi_i : F^i M \rightarrow M$, one for each integer i , such that

- (i) $\varphi_i|_{F^{i+1}M} = p\varphi^{i+1}$, and
- (ii) the map

$$\sum \varphi_i : \bigoplus_i F^i M \rightarrow M$$

is surjective.

This definition was introduced by Fontaine and Lafaille [FL] as a p -adic analog of the notion of a Hodge structure. Under certain assumptions, the de Rham cohomology $H_{DR}^\bullet(X)$ of a smooth compact algebraic variety X/W has a natural filtered Dieudonné module structure, with $F^\bullet$ being the Hodge filtration and the maps $\varphi^\bullet$ induced by the Frobenius endomorphism of the special fiber $X_k = X \otimes_W k$.

The category of filtered Dieudonné modules is obviously additive, but there is more: just as for mixed Hodge structures, a small miracle happens, and the category is actually abelian. For this, the normalization condition

(ii) of Definition 5.1 plays the crucial role. If one is prepared to work with non-abelian additive categories, this condition can be dropped. For the purposes of present paper, the following notion will be convenient.

Definition 5.2. A *generalized filtered Dieudonné module* (gFDM for short) is an abelian group M equipped with

- (i) a decreasing filtration $F^\bullet M$, indexed by all integers and such that $M = \bigcup F^i M$, and
- (ii) for each integer i , each positive integer $j \geq 1$, and each prime p , a map $\varphi_{i,j}^p : F^i M \rightarrow M/p^j M$,

such that $\varphi_{i,j+1}^p = \varphi_{i,j}^p \bmod p^j$, and $\varphi_{i,j}^p = p\varphi_{i+1,j}^p$ on $F^{i+1}M \subset F^i M$.

This differs from Definition 5.1 in that we no longer require the normalization condition (ii), we restrict our attention to prime fields rather than finite fields, and we collect together the structures for all primes. Note, however, that if M is finitely generated over $\mathbb{Z}_p$, then all other primes act on M by invertible maps, and the extra maps $\varphi_{\bullet,\bullet}^l$ for $l \neq p$ are all 0. In effect, for every prime p and every integer i , we can collect all the maps $\varphi_{i,\bullet}^p$ into a single map

$$\varphi_i^p : F^i M \rightarrow (\widehat{M})_p,$$

where $(\widehat{M})_p$ means the pro- p completion of the abelian group M . If M is finitely generated over $\mathbb{Z}_p$, we have $(\widehat{M})_p \cong M$ and $(\widehat{M})_l = 0$ for $l \neq p$.

Complexes of gFDMs are defined in the obvious way. A map between such complexes is a quasiisomorphism if it induces a quasiisomorphism of the associated graded quotients gr^F . Inverting such quasiisomorphisms, we obtain a triangulated “derived category of gFDMs” which we will denote by $\mathcal{FDM}$.

For every gFDM M and any integer i , we will denote by $M(i)$ the same M with the filtration $F^\bullet$ twisted by i – that is, we set $F^j M(i) = F^{j-i} M$. Under this convention, we introduce the following “twisted 2-periodic” version of the category $\mathcal{FDM}$.

Definition 5.3. The triangulated category $\mathcal{FDM}^{per}$ is obtained by inverting quasiisomorphisms in the category of complexes of gFDMs $M_\bullet$ equipped with an isomorphism $M \cong M[2](1)$.

We can now formulate the main result of this Section.

Theorem 5.4. *There is a natural equivalence*

$$\mathcal{FDM}^{per} \cong \mathcal{DAR}(\mathbb{Z})$$

between the twisted 2-periodic derived category of $gFDMs$, on one hand, and the derived category of cyclotomic complexes of abelian groups in the sense of Definition 3.1, on the other hand.

We will prove this in Subsection 5.5, in the more precise form of Proposition 5.17, after finishing the necessary preliminaries. We start with some generalities on filtered objects.

5.2 Filtered objects. By a *filtered object* in an abelian category Ab we will understand an object $E \in \text{Ab}$ equipped with a decreasing filtration $F^\bullet$ numbered by all integers. Maps and complexes of filtered objects are defined in the obvious way.

Definition 5.5. A map $f : E_\bullet \rightarrow E'_\bullet$ between two filtered complexes of objects in Ab is a *filtered quasiisomorphism* if the induced map

$$f : F^i E_\bullet / F^{i+1} E_\bullet \rightarrow F^i E'_\bullet / F^{i+1} E'_\bullet$$

is a quasiisomorphism for every integer i .

Remark 5.6. We do not require that a filtered quasiisomorphism induces a quasiisomorphism of the underlying complexes $E_\bullet, E'_\bullet$ of objects in Ab , nor of the individual pieces $F^i E_\bullet, F^i E'_\bullet$ of the filtrations.

The *filtered derived category* $\mathcal{DF}(\text{Ab})$ is obtained by inverting filtered quasiisomorphisms in the category of filtered complexes and filtered maps. The *periodic filtered derived category* $\mathcal{DF}^{per}(\text{Ab})$ is similarly obtained from the category of complexes of filtered objects $V_\bullet$ in Ab equipped with an isomorphism

$$u : V_\bullet \cong V_{\bullet-2}(1),$$

where $V(1)$ means a twist of filtration. Explicitly, such a complex is given by the two filtered objects V_0, V_1 , and the two filtered maps

$$d_1 : V_1 \rightarrow V_0, \quad d_0 : V_0 \rightarrow V_1(1) \cong V_{-1}$$

such that $d_1 \circ d_0 = 0 = d_0 \circ d_1$; the other terms in the complex are then given by $V_{2\bullet} = V_0, V_{2\bullet+1} = V_1$ with the same differentials d_0, d_1 .

If $\mathbf{Ab}$ is the category of abelian groups, we obtain the periodic filtered derived category $\mathcal{DF}^{per}(\mathbb{Z})$ of filtered abelian groups. For any integer n , let $\mathbb{Z}(n) \in \mathcal{DF}^{per}$ be the object V given by $V_0 = \mathbb{Z}$, $v_1 = 0$, with the filtration $F^n V_0 = V_0$ and $F^{n+1} V_0 = 0$. Then the objects $\mathbb{Z}(n)$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ generate the category $\mathcal{DF}^{per}(\mathbb{Z})$ in the following sense.

Lemma 5.7. *Any triangulated subcategory $\mathcal{D}' \subset \mathcal{DF}^{per}(\mathbb{Z})$ closed under arbitrary sums and products and containing $\mathbb{Z}$ with the trivial filtration $F^0 \mathbb{Z} = \mathbb{Z}$, $F^1 \mathbb{Z} = 0$ is equal to the whole $\mathcal{DF}^{per}(\mathbb{Z})$.*

Proof. Since $\mathcal{D}'$ is closed under taking cones, it obviously contains any filtered complex $\langle V_\bullet, F^\bullet \rangle$ with bounded filtration $F^\bullet$ (that is, $F^i V_\bullet = 0$, $F^j V_\bullet = V_\bullet$ for some integers i, j). Assume given an arbitrary filtered complex $\langle V_\bullet, F^\bullet \rangle$. Then the natural maps

$$(5.1) \quad V_\bullet \longleftarrow \lim_{\substack{\longrightarrow \\ i}} F^{-i} V_\bullet \longrightarrow \lim_{\substack{\longrightarrow \\ i}} \lim_{\substack{\longleftarrow \\ j}} F^{-i} V_\bullet / F^j V_\bullet$$

induce isomorphisms on gr^F , thus become isomorphisms in $\mathcal{DF}^{per}(\mathbb{Z})$. Thus we may replace $V_\bullet$ with the double limit in the right-hand side of (5.1). The direct limit can be computed by the telescope construction. Moreover, for any i , the inverse system $F^i V_\bullet / F^\bullet V_\bullet$ satisfies the Mittag-Leffler condition, so that the inverse limit can also be computed by the telescope construction. Since $\mathcal{D}'$ is closed under products and sums, it is also closed under telescopes, so that it must contain $V_\bullet$. $\square$

We note that by (5.1), we may represent any object in $\mathcal{DF}^{per}(\mathbb{Z})$ by a filtered complex $\langle V_\bullet, F^\bullet \rangle$ which is *admissible* in the following sense: both natural maps

$$\lim_{\substack{\longrightarrow \\ i}} F^{-i} V_\bullet \rightarrow V_\bullet, \quad V_\bullet \rightarrow \lim_{\substack{\longleftarrow \\ i}} V_\bullet / F^i V_\bullet$$

are isomorphisms of complexes.

To work with filtered abelian groups, it is convenient to use Rees objects. Consider the algebra $\mathbb{Z}[t]$ of polynomials in one variable t . Say that a module M over $\mathbb{Z}[t]$ is *t-adically complete* if the natural map

$$M \rightarrow \lim_{\substack{\longleftarrow \\ i}} M/t^i M$$

is an isomorphism (thus in our terminology, “complete” includes “separated”). We turn $\mathbb{Z}[t]$ into a graded ring by assigning degree -1 to the generator t .

Lemma 5.8. *The filtered derived category $\mathcal{DF}(\mathbb{Z})$ is equivalent to the full subcategory in the derived category of the abelian category of $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded $\mathbb{Z}[t]$ -modules $M_\bullet$ spanned by t -adically complete modules.*

Proof. For any filtered abelian group $\langle M, F^\bullet \rangle$, the corresponding graded $\mathbb{Z}[t]$ -module $\widetilde{M}_\bullet$ called the *Rees object* of $M_\bullet$ is given by

$$\widetilde{M}_\bullet = \bigoplus \lim_{\substack{\rightarrow \\ i}} F^\bullet M / F^{\bullet+i} M,$$

with t induced by the natural embeddings $F^\bullet M \rightarrow F^{\bullet-1} M$. We note that $\widetilde{M}_\bullet$ is automatically t -adically complete, and a filtered quasiisomorphism of complexes of abelian groups induces a quasiisomorphism of Rees objects.

To get the inverse correspondence, note that every graded $\mathbb{Z}[t]$ -module $M_\bullet$ has a finite resolution by modules with no t -torsion, so that it is enough to consider graded modules $M_\bullet$ with injective map t . Such a module $M_\bullet$ is sent to

$$\widetilde{M} = \lim_{\substack{\rightarrow \\ t}} M_\bullet,$$

with $F^i \widetilde{M} \subset \widetilde{M}$ being the image of the natural embedding $M_i \rightarrow \widetilde{M}$ for any integer i . $\square$

The equivalence of Lemma 5.8 has an obvious periodic version: the Rees object model of the category $\mathcal{DF}^{per}(\mathbb{Z})$ is obtained by inverting quasiisomorphisms in the category of pairs $\langle \widetilde{M}_{\bullet,\bullet}, u \rangle$ of a complex $\widetilde{M}_{\bullet,\bullet}$ of graded t -adically complete $\mathbb{Z}[t]$ -modules $M_\bullet$ and an isomorphism

$$(5.2) \quad \widetilde{u} : \widetilde{M}_{\bullet,\bullet} \cong \widetilde{M}_{\bullet+1,\bullet-2}.$$

5.3 Cyclic expansion and subdivision. Now let $\text{Ab} = \text{Fun}(\Lambda^{opp}, \mathbb{Z})$ be the category of cyclic abelian groups. Let $I_0 = j_!^o \mathbb{Z}$, $I_1 = j_* \mathbb{Z}$ be as in (1.23), and let $d_1 = B : I_1 \rightarrow I_0$, $d_0 = b_0 \circ b_1 : I_0 \rightarrow I_1$, where b_0 , b_1 and B are again as in (1.23). Then since (1.23) is exact, we have $d_1 \circ d_0 = 0 = d_0 \circ d_1$. Moreover, if we define filtrations $F^\bullet$ on I_0 and I_1 by setting $F^0 I_l = I_l$, $F^1 I_l = 0$, $l = 0, 1$, then both d_0 and d_1 are filtered maps, so that we have a periodic filtered complex $I_\bullet$ of objects in $\text{Fun}(\Lambda^{opp}, \mathbb{Z})$.

Definition 5.9. For any object in $\mathcal{DF}^{per}(\mathbb{Z})$ represented by a periodic complex $V_\bullet$ of admissible filtered abelian groups, its *cyclic expansion* $\text{Exp}(V_\bullet)$ is a complex of cyclic abelian groups given by

$$\text{Exp}(V_\bullet) = F^0(V_\bullet \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[u]} I_\bullet),$$

where u is the periodicity map on $V_\bullet$ and $I_\bullet$, and F^0 is taken with respect to the product filtration.

In terms of the corresponding peridoc complex $\tilde{V}_{\bullet,\bullet}$ of Rees objects, cyclic expansion is given by

$$(5.3) \quad \text{Exp}(V_\bullet)_i = (\tilde{V}_{0,\bullet} \otimes I_1)[1] \oplus (\tilde{V}_{0,\bullet} \otimes I_0),$$

with the differential $d = d_V \otimes \text{id} + d_I$, where d_V is the differential on $\tilde{V}_{0,\bullet}$, and d_I is equal to $\text{id} \otimes d_1$ on the first summand, and to $t\tilde{u} \otimes d_0$ on the second one, where $\tilde{u} : \tilde{V}_{\bullet,\bullet} \cong \tilde{V}_{\bullet+1,\bullet-2}$ is as in (5.2). In particular, for every object $[n] \in \Lambda$, $\text{Exp}(V_\bullet)([n])$ is the sum of a finite number of copies of $\tilde{V}_{0,\bullet}$ and its shift $\tilde{V}_{0,\bullet}[1]$; therefore cyclic expansion commutes with arbitrary sums and arbitrary products.

Lemma 5.10. *Cyclic expansion induces an equivalence of categories*

$$\text{Exp} : \mathcal{DF}^{\text{per}}(\mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathcal{D}_c(\Lambda^{\text{opp}}, \mathbb{Z})$$

between $\mathcal{DF}^{\text{per}}(\mathbb{Z})$ and the full subcategory $\mathcal{D}_c(\Lambda^{\text{opp}}, \mathbb{Z}) \subset \mathcal{D}(\Lambda^{\text{opp}}, \mathbb{Z})$ spanned by objects which are locally constant in the sense of Definition A.1.

Proof. Since (1.23) is exact, $F^1 I_\bullet = I_{<0}$ is a resolution of the constant functor $\mathbb{Z} \in \text{Fun}(\Lambda, \mathbb{Z})$. By induction, this immediately implies that for any periodic filtered complex $V_\bullet$, the homology functors $\mathcal{H}_\bullet(\text{Exp}(V_\bullet)) \in \text{Fun}(\Lambda^{\text{opp}}, \mathbb{Z})$ are given by

$$\mathcal{H}_i(\text{Exp}(V_\bullet)) \cong (F^0 V_i / F^1 V_i) \otimes \mathbb{Z}.$$

In particular, a filtered quasiisomorphism of periodic filtered complexes induces a quasiisomorphism of their cyclic expansions, so that Exp induces a well-defined triangulated functor from the category $\mathcal{DF}^{\text{per}}(\mathbb{Z})$ to the full subcategory $\mathcal{D}_c(\Lambda^{\text{opp}}, \mathbb{Z}) \subset \mathcal{D}(\Lambda^{\text{opp}}, \mathbb{Z})$. Moreover, for any integer n , we have

$$\text{Exp}(\mathbb{Z}(n)) \cong \mathbb{Z}[2n].$$

Since the fundamental group $\pi_1(|\Lambda|) \cong \pi_1(BU(1))$ is trivial, every locally constant functor $\Lambda^{\text{opp}} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}\text{-mod}$ must be constant; therefore $\mathbb{Z}$ generates the triangulated category $\mathcal{D}_c(\Lambda^{\text{opp}}, \mathbb{Z})$ in the same sense as in Lemma 5.7. Since Exp commutes with arbitrary sums and arbitrary products, it therefore suffices to prove that Exp is fully faithful on the objects $\mathbb{Z}(n)$ – that is, the natural map

$$\text{Exp} : \text{RHom}_{\mathcal{DF}^{\text{per}}(\mathbb{Z})}^\bullet(\mathbb{Z}(n), \mathbb{Z}(m)) \rightarrow \text{RHom}_{\mathcal{D}(\Lambda^{\text{opp}}, \mathbb{Z})}^\bullet(\mathbb{Z}[2n], \mathbb{Z}[2m])$$

is a quasiisomorphism for any two integers n, m . This immediately follows from the isomorphism $H^\bullet(\Lambda^{opp}, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}[u]$. $\square$

Now assume given a positive integer $n \geq 1$, and let $\delta^n : \mathbb{Z}[t] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[t]$ be the map which sends t to nt . Since the ideal $(nt) \subset \mathbb{Z}[t]$ lies inside $(t) \subset \mathbb{Z}[t]$, the direct image $\delta^n_* M$ of a t -adically complete $\mathbb{Z}[t]$ -module M is automatically t -adically complete.

Definition 5.11. For any positive integer $n \geq 1$ and a filtered abelian group M with the corresponding admissible Rees object $M_\bullet$ as in Lemma 5.8, the n -th subdivision $\text{Div}_n(M)$ is the filtered abelian group corresponding to the graded abelian group $\delta^n_* M_\bullet$.

In other words, $M_\bullet$ remains the same as a graded abelian group, but the map t is replaced by its multiple nt . We note that the underlying filtered abelian group M itself might change under subdivision: for example, if $M = \mathbb{Z}(0) = \mathbb{Z}$, then

$$\text{Div}_n(M) \cong \mathbb{Q},$$

with the filtration given by $F^1 \mathbb{Q} = 0$, $F^i \mathbb{Q} = n^i \mathbb{Z} \subset \mathbb{Q}$ for $i \leq 0$.

For any n , Div_n is obviously an endofunctor of the category of filtered abelian groups, and it descends to an endofunctor

$$\text{Div}_n : \mathcal{DF}^{per}(\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathcal{DF}^{per}(\mathbb{Z})$$

of the periodic derived category $\mathcal{DF}^{per}(\mathbb{Z})$.

Fix an integer $n \geq 1$, and recall the two functors $i_n, \pi_n : \Lambda_n \rightarrow \Lambda$ of Subsection 1.1.

Lemma 5.12. *For any periodic complex $V_\bullet$ of admissible filtered abelian groups, we have a functorial isomorphism*

$$\pi_{n*} i_n^* \text{Exp}(V_\bullet) \cong \text{Exp}(\text{Div}_n(V_\bullet)).$$

Proof. Let $I'_l = \pi_{n*} i_n^* I_l$, $d'_l = \pi_{n*} i_n^* d_l$, $l = 0, 1$. Applying the functor $\pi_{n*} i_n^*$ to (5.3), we see that the complex $\pi_{n*} i_n^* \text{Exp}(V_\bullet)$ is given by

$$\pi_{n*} i_n^* \text{Exp}(V_\bullet)_i = (\tilde{V}_{0,\bullet} \otimes I_1)[1] \oplus (\tilde{V}_{0,\bullet} \otimes I_0),$$

with the differential $d = d_V \otimes \text{id} + d_I$, where d_V is the differential on $\tilde{V}_{0,\bullet}$, and d_I is equal to $\text{id} \otimes d'_1$ on the first summand, and to $\tilde{t}\tilde{u} \otimes d'_0$ on the second one. By (1.25), we have canonical isomorphisms $I'_0 \cong I_0$, $I'_1 \cong I_1$, and under these isomorphisms, we have $d'_1 = d_1$ and $d'_0 = nd_0$. Thus $\tilde{t}\tilde{u} \otimes d'_0 = nt\tilde{u} \otimes d_0$, and $\pi_{n*} i_n^* \text{Exp}(V_\bullet)$ is exactly isomorphic to the expression (5.3) for the complex $\text{Exp}(\text{Div}_n(V_\bullet))$. $\square$

5.4 Stabilization. For any filtered abelian group M with the corresponding admissible Rees object $M_\bullet$, we have a tautological map $M \rightarrow M(1)$; applying the subdivision functor Div_n , we obtain a natural map

$$(5.4) \quad \text{Div}_n(M) \rightarrow \text{Div}_n(M(1)).$$

Definition 5.13. In the situation of Definition 5.11, the *stabilized n -th subdivision* $\text{Stab}_n(M)$ is given by

$$\text{Stab}_n(M) = \lim_{\substack{\rightarrow \\ l}} \text{Div}_n(M(l)),$$

where the limit is taken with respect to the tautological maps (5.4).

Lemma 5.14. *For any filtered abelian group M which is admissible in the sense of Subsection 5.2 and any prime $p \geq 2$, we have*

$$\text{Stab}_p(M) \cong \widehat{M}_p \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathcal{Q}_p,$$

with the filtration given by

$$F^l \widehat{M}_p = p^l \widehat{M}_p,$$

where $\widehat{M}_p = \varprojlim_l M/p^l M$ denotes the pro- p completion of the group M .

Proof. On the level of Rees objects,

$$M_\bullet = \lim_{\rightarrow} \text{Div}_p(M).$$

is isomorphic to M in every degree l , $M_l \cong M$, with $t \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$ acting by multiplication by p . However, this is not t -adically complete. Thus when we apply the equivalence of Lemma 5.8, the result is

$$\lim_{\overleftarrow{l}} M/t^l M_\bullet \cong \widehat{M}_p,$$

as required. □

As a corollary, we see that the periodic derived category $\mathcal{FDM}^{per}$ of generalized filtered Dieudonné modules of Subsection 5.1 is equivalent to the category of filtered periodic complexes $V_\bullet$ of abelian groups equipped with a map

$$\varphi_p : V_\bullet \rightarrow \text{Stab}_p(V_\bullet)$$

for any prime $p \geq 2$.

Now let $I_\bullet$ be the periodic complex of functors in $\text{Fun}(\Lambda, \mathbb{Z})$ of Subsection 5.3, and let $P_\bullet \subset I_\bullet$ be its canonical truncation at 0. Explicitly, we have $P_0 = \mathbb{Z}$, the constant functor, and

$$P_{2l-1} = I^1, \quad P_{2l} = I^0$$

for any $l \geq 1$. The complex $P_\bullet$ is acyclic. Moreover, the pullback $i^*P_\bullet$ with respect to the functor $i : \Lambda I_{red} \rightarrow \Lambda$ of Subsection 3.3 is admissible in the sense of Lemma 3.10.

Let $\eta^+ : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow F^0 I_\bullet$, $\eta^- : \mathbb{Z} \cong P_0 \rightarrow P_\bullet$ be the natural embeddings, and define a map

$$\eta : I_\bullet \rightarrow P_\bullet \otimes (F^0 I_\bullet)$$

by

$$(5.5) \quad \eta = \begin{cases} \eta^- \otimes \text{id}, & \text{on } I_l, l \leq 0, \\ \text{id} \otimes \eta^+, & \text{on } I_l, l \geq 0. \end{cases}$$

Let $F^l P_\bullet$, $l \geq 0$ be the stupid filtration on the complex $P_\bullet$. Then for any $l \geq 0$, the map η induces a map

$$(5.6) \quad \eta : F^{-l} I_\bullet \rightarrow F^0 I_\bullet \otimes F^{2l} P_\bullet,$$

and this map is a quasiisomorphism (both sides are quasiisomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}[2l]$).

Lemma 5.15. *For any $n \geq 1$, $l \geq 0$, and any complex $V \in \text{Fun}(\Lambda_n^{opp}, \mathbb{Z})$, the natural map*

$$(5.7) \quad \eta : \pi_{n*}(V \otimes i_n^* F^{-l} I_\bullet) \rightarrow \pi_{n*}(V \otimes i_n^* F^0 I_\bullet \otimes i_n^* F^{2l} P_\bullet)$$

is a quasiisomorphism.

Proof. Both sides are finite-length complexes in $\text{Fun}(\Lambda_n^{opp}, \mathbb{Z})$, and after evaluating at an object $[m] \in \Lambda_n$, both sides give complexes of free $\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}]$ -modules. Therefore we may replace π_{n*} with its derived functor $R^\bullet \pi_{n*}$. Then the claim immediately follows from the fact that (5.6) is a quasiisomorphism. $\square$

Corollary 5.16. *For any integer $n \geq 1$ and any twisted periodic complex $V_\bullet$ of filtered abelian groups, the map η of (5.5) induces a quasiisomorphism*

$$\text{Exp}(\text{Stab}_n(V_\bullet)) \xrightarrow[\rightarrow]{\sim} \lim_{\rightarrow} \pi_{n*} i_n^* (\text{Exp}(V_\bullet) \otimes F^l P_\bullet).$$

Proof. By Lemma 5.12 and Definition 5.13, we have

$$\mathrm{Exp}(\mathrm{Stab}_n(V_\bullet)) \cong \lim_{\substack{\rightarrow \\ l}} \pi_{n*} i_n^* \mathrm{Exp}(V_\bullet(l)),$$

and by definition, we have

$$\mathrm{Exp}(V_\bullet(l)) = F^0(V_\bullet \otimes F^{-l} I_\bullet)$$

for any integer $l \geq 0$. □

5.5 Comparison. Consider now the category $\mathcal{D}(\Lambda R_h, i^* P_\bullet, k)$ of Subsection 3.3, with the specific choice of the complex $P_\bullet$ made in Subsection 5.4. Let $\tau : \Lambda R_h \cong \Lambda \times I \rightarrow \Lambda$ be the tautological projection. Then for any twisted periodic complex $V_\bullet$ of abelian groups, a collection of maps $\varphi_p : V_\bullet \rightarrow \mathrm{Stab}_p(V_\bullet)$ for all prime p induces a map

$$\eta \circ \tau^* \mathrm{Exp}(\varphi) : \tau^* \mathrm{Exp}(V_\bullet) \rightarrow \pi_* i^* \tau^* (\mathrm{Exp}(V_\bullet) \otimes P_\bullet),$$

which gives by adjunction a map

$$\tilde{\varphi} : \pi^* \tau^* \mathrm{Exp}(V_\bullet) \rightarrow i^* (\tau^* \mathrm{Exp}(V_\bullet) \otimes i^* P_\bullet).$$

Sending $\langle V_\bullet, \{\varphi_p\} \rangle$ to $\langle \tau^* \mathrm{Exp}(V_\bullet), \tilde{\varphi} \rangle$ then defines a comparison functor

$$(5.8) \quad \mathrm{Exp} : \mathcal{FDM}^{per} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_c(\Lambda R_h, P_\bullet, \mathbb{Z}).$$

By Proposition 3.12, the following result immediately yields Theorem 5.4.

Proposition 5.17. *The functor Exp of (5.8) is an equivalence of categories.*

To prove this result, we need to generalize it. Consider the twisted periodic filtered derived category $\mathcal{DF}^{per}(I^{opp}, \mathbb{Z})$ of functors from I^{opp} to abelian groups. The cyclic expansion functor gives a functor

$$(5.9) \quad \mathrm{Exp} : \mathcal{DF}^{per}(I^{opp}, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_w(\Lambda R_h^{opp}, \mathbb{Z}),$$

where $\mathcal{D}_w(\Lambda R_h^{opp}, \mathbb{Z}) \subset \mathcal{D}(\Lambda R_h^{opp}, \mathbb{Z})$ is as in Proposition 3.13. Lemma 5.10 immediately shows that this functor Exp is an equivalence of categories. Moreover, for any $n \geq 1$, let $\nu_n : I \rightarrow I$ be the functor given by multiplication by n , as in (2.18), and for any twisted periodic filtered complex $M \in \mathcal{DF}^{per}(I^{opp}, \mathbb{Z})$, let

$$\widetilde{\mathrm{Stab}}_n(M) = \nu_n^* \mathrm{Stab}_n(M).$$

Let $\widetilde{\mathcal{FDM}}^{per}$ be the derived category of such complexes M equipped with a collection of maps

$$\varphi_p : M \rightarrow \widetilde{\text{Stab}}_p(M)$$

for all primes p . Denote by $\widetilde{h}^* : \widetilde{\mathcal{FDM}}^{per} \rightarrow \mathcal{DF}^{per}(I^{opp}, \mathbb{Z})$ the forgetful functor. It has an obvious right-adjoint $\widetilde{h}_*$ given by

$$(5.10) \quad \widetilde{h}_*(M)(n) = M \oplus \prod_{p|n} \text{Stab}_p(M),$$

where the product is taken over all prime divisors of the integer $n \in I$. Moreover, the construction of the functor Exp of (5.8) also gives a functor

$$(5.11) \quad \widetilde{\text{Exp}} : \widetilde{\mathcal{FDM}}^{per} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_w(\Lambda R_h, i^* P_\bullet, \mathbb{Z}),$$

and by construction, we have

$$(5.12) \quad \widetilde{h}^* \circ \widetilde{\text{Exp}} \cong \text{Exp} \circ \widetilde{h}^*,$$

where $\widetilde{h}^*$ in the left-hand side is the restriction functor of Proposition 3.13.

Proposition 5.18. *The functor (5.11) is an equivalence of categories.*

Proof. As in the proof of Proposition 2.12, Proposition 3.13 (ii) implies that the functor $\widetilde{\text{Exp}}$ has a right-adjoint functor

$$K : \mathcal{D}_w(\Lambda R_h, i^* P_\bullet, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathcal{FDM}}^{per}.$$

Moreover, by (5.10), Corollary 5.16 and Lemma 3.14, the base change map

$$\widetilde{\text{Exp}} \circ \widetilde{h}_* \rightarrow \widetilde{h}_* \circ \text{Exp}$$

induced by (5.12) is an isomorphism. Therefore by adjunction,

$$\widetilde{h}^* \circ K \cong \text{Exp}^{-1} \circ \widehat{t}^*,$$

where Exp^{-1} is the equivalence inverse to Exp . We conclude that

$$\widetilde{h}^* \circ K \circ \widetilde{\text{Exp}} \cong \text{Exp}^{-1} \circ \text{Exp} \circ \widetilde{h}^*, \quad \widetilde{h}^* \circ \widetilde{\text{Exp}} \circ K \cong \text{Exp}^{-1} \circ \text{Exp} \circ \widetilde{h}^*.$$

As in the proof of Proposition 2.12, the functor $\widetilde{h}^*$ is conservative, and Exp and Exp^{-1} are mutually inverse equivalences of categories. Therefore so are the functors $\widetilde{\text{Exp}}$ and K . $\square$

Proof of Proposition 5.17. The tautological projection $\tau : I \rightarrow \mathbf{pt}$ induces a functor

$$\tau^* : \mathcal{DF}^{per}(\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathcal{DF}^{per}(I^{opp}, \mathbb{Z}).$$

This is a full embedding onto the full subcategory spanned by the locally constant functors; the adjoint functor is given by

$$\tau_* = R^{\bullet} \lim_{I^{opp} \leftarrow}.$$

By Lemma 5.14, stabilized subdivision commutes with arbitrary products, so that $\tau_* \circ \widetilde{\mathbf{Stab}}_p \cong \mathbf{Stab}_p \circ \tau_*$. Therefore τ^* and τ_* extend to an adjoint pair of functors between $\mathcal{FDM}^{per}$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{FDM}}^{per}$, so that $\mathcal{FDM}^{per}$ is identified with the full subcategory in $\widetilde{\mathcal{FDM}}^{per}$ spanned by objects M with locally constant $\tilde{h}^*M$. By (5.12), the equivalence of Proposition 5.18 identifies this subcategory with $\mathcal{DAR}(\mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathcal{D}_c(\Lambda R_h, i^*P_*, \mathbb{Z}) \subset \mathcal{D}_w(\Lambda R_h, i^*P_*, \mathbb{Z})$. $\square$

6 Topological cyclic homology.

We finish the paper with a brief discussion of topological cyclic homology (we again follow [HM]).

Fix the G -universe U as in Subsection 4.4, and recall that for any $m \geq 1$, we have canonical functors $\widehat{\Psi}^m, \widehat{\Phi}^m$ endofunctors of the category $G\text{-Sp} = G\text{-Sp}(U)$. We also have natural maps $\text{can} : \widehat{\Psi}^m \rightarrow \widehat{\Phi}^m$. For any $T \in G\text{-Sp}$ and a pair of integers $r, s > 1$, one has a natural non-equivariant map

$$F_{r,s} : T^{C_{rs}} \rightarrow T^{C_r}.$$

On the other hand, assume that T is equipped with a cyclotomic structure. Then we have a natural map

$$R_{r,s} : T^{C_{rs}} \cong (\widehat{\Psi}^s(T))^{C_r} \xrightarrow{\text{can}} (\widehat{\Phi}^s(T))^{C_r} \xrightarrow{r_s} T^{C_r},$$

where r_s comes from the cyclotomic structure on T . Taken together, the maps $F_{r,s}$ and $R_{r,s}$ define a functor $I(T)$ from the category $\mathbb{I}^{opp}$ of Subsection 1.3 to the category of non-equivariant spectra: we let $I(T)(n) = T^{C_n}$ for any $n \in \mathbb{I}$, and we let the morphisms $F_r, R_r : s \rightarrow rs$ act by the maps $F_{r,s}$ resp. $R_{r,s}$.

Definition 6.1. The *topological cyclic homology* $\text{TC}(T)$ of a cyclotomic spectrum T is a non-equivariant spectrum given by

$$\text{TC}(T) = \text{holim}_{\mathbb{I}^{opp}} I(T).$$

Assume now given a cyclotomic complex $M \in \mathcal{DAR}(\mathbb{Z})$, and consider the functor $\tilde{\alpha} : \mathbb{I} \rightarrow \widetilde{\Lambda R}$ of (1.16).

Definition 6.2. The *topological cyclic homology* $\mathrm{TC}_\bullet(M)$ of a cyclotomic complex $M \in \mathcal{DAR}(\mathbb{Z})$ is given by

$$\mathrm{TC}_\bullet = H^\bullet(\mathbb{I}^{opp}, \tilde{\alpha}^* \xi_* M),$$

where $\xi_* : \mathcal{DAR}(\mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathcal{D}_c(\widetilde{\Lambda R}, \tilde{\lambda}^* \mathcal{T}_\bullet, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_c(\widetilde{\Lambda R}^{opp}, \mathbb{Z})$ is the right-adjoint to the corestriction functor.

In particular, assume given a G -spectrum T , and consider its equivariant chain complex $C_\bullet(T) \in \mathcal{DMA}(\mathbb{Z})$ of Subsection 4.3.

Proposition 6.3. *For any cyclotomic spectrum T , we have a natural isomorphism*

$$\mathrm{TC}_\bullet(C_\bullet(T)) \cong H_\bullet(\mathrm{TC}(T), \mathbb{Z}),$$

where $H_\bullet(-, \mathbb{Z})$ denotes the homology of a non-equivariant spectrum with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. By Lemma 4.12, we have

$$\tilde{\alpha}^* \xi_* C_\bullet(T)(m) \cong C_\bullet(T^{C_m})$$

for any $m \in \mathbb{I}$, so that $\tilde{\alpha}^* \xi_* C_\bullet(T) \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{I}^{opp}, \mathbb{Z})$ is isomorphic to $C_\bullet(I(T), \mathbb{Z})$, the non-equivariant chain homology complex of the system of spectra $I(T)$ used in Definition 6.1. $\square$

In view of the equivalence of Theorem 5.4, it would be desirable to express the topological cyclic homology functor $\mathrm{TC}_\bullet$ of Definition 6.2 in terms of filtered Dieudonné modules. A natural notion of homology for filtered Dieudonné modules is the following.

Definition 6.4. *Syntomic cohomology* of a generalized filtered Dieudonné module $M \in \mathcal{FDM}^{per}(\mathbb{Z})$ is given by

$$\mathrm{RHom}^\bullet(\mathbb{Z}, M),$$

where $\mathbb{Z} \in \mathcal{FDM}^{per}$ is the trivial filtered Dieudonné module.

In general, syntomic cohomology and topological cyclic homology are different. However, to have the following comparison result.

Theorem 6.5. *Assume that $M \in \mathcal{D}\Lambda R(\mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathcal{FDM}^{per}(\mathbb{Z})$ is profinitely complete. Then we have a natural isomorphism*

$$\mathrm{TC}_\bullet(M) \cong \mathrm{RHom}^\bullet(\mathbb{Z}, M).$$

Proof. In term of cyclotomic complexes, the trivial Dieudonné module $\mathbb{Z}$ corresponds to the corestriction $\tilde{\xi}^*\mathbb{Z}$ of the constant functor $\mathbb{Z} \in \mathrm{Fun}(\widetilde{\Lambda R}^{opp}, \mathbb{Z})$. Then by adjunction, we have

$$\mathrm{RHom}^\bullet(\mathbb{Z}, M) \cong H^\bullet(\widetilde{\Lambda R}^{opp}, \xi_* M).$$

Thus it suffices to prove that for any profinitely complete $M \in \mathcal{D}(\widetilde{\Lambda R}^{opp}, \mathbb{Z})$, the natural map

$$H^\bullet(\mathbb{I}^{opp}, \tilde{\alpha}^* M) \rightarrow H^\bullet(\widetilde{\Lambda R}^{opp}, M)$$

is an isomorphism. Equivalently, we have to prove that the map

$$H^\bullet([1/\mathbb{N}^*], \tilde{\lambda}_* \tilde{\alpha}^* M) \rightarrow H^\bullet(\Lambda R^{opp}, \tilde{\lambda}_* M)$$

is an isomorphism. By base change, $\tilde{\lambda}_* \tilde{\alpha}^* \cong \alpha^* \tilde{\lambda}_*$, and since right-derived Kan extensions commute with profinite completions, we apply Proposition 1.13 and replace ΛR with ΔR . But we know that $\tilde{j}^* \lambda_* M$ becomes locally constant after restricting to $\Delta \subset \Delta R$, and we claim that for any $M' \in \mathcal{D}(\Delta R, \mathbb{Z})$ with locally constant $h^* M' \in \mathcal{D}(\Delta, k)$, the map

$$H^\bullet([1/\mathbb{N}^*], \alpha^* M') \rightarrow H^\bullet(\Delta R, M')$$

is an isomorphism. Indeed, by Lemma 1.6, we can compute the direct image $\delta_! \delta^* M'$ fiberwise, and since $h^* M'$ is locally constant, the adjunction map

$$\delta^* \delta_! M' \rightarrow M'$$

is an isomorphism. Then

$$H^\bullet(\Delta R, M') \cong H^\bullet(\Delta R, \delta^* \delta_! M') \cong H^\bullet([1/\mathbb{N}^*], \delta_! M'),$$

and since $\delta \circ \alpha = \mathrm{id}$, the right-hand side is exactly $H^\bullet([1/\mathbb{N}^*], \alpha^* M')$. $\square$

In conclusion, let me say that to obtain an analogous comparison isomorphism for an arbitrary cyclotomic spectrum T , one has to modify the definition of topological cyclic homology $\mathrm{TC}(T)$ by replacing the fixed-points functors T^{C_m} in the system $I(T)$ with their homotopy fixed points

$$(T^{C_m})^{hG}$$

with respect to the residual action of the group $G = U(1)$ (this should be related to the usual TC by a cofiber sequence analogous to (1.27)). I do not know whether it makes sense to do it from the topological point of view. I am very grateful to L. Hesselholt for explaining to me why it does not matter in the profinitely complete case.

7 Appendix.

In this Appendix, we collect some facts used throughout the paper; most of the facts are well-known, but our terminology may be non-standard.

First of all, a piece of general nonsense. Assume given a square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{b} & B \\ a \downarrow & & \downarrow c \\ C & \xrightarrow{d} & D, \end{array}$$

and assume that a and c admit left-adjoint functors $a_!, c_!$. Then an isomorphism $d \circ a \cong c \circ b$ induces by adjunction a map

$$c_! \circ b \rightarrow a_! \circ b.$$

We call this map and its various adjoints the *base change map* induced by the isomorphism $d \circ a \cong c \circ b$.

For any category $\mathcal{C}$ with objects $c, c' \in \mathcal{C}$, we denote by $\mathcal{C}(c, c')$ the set of maps from c to c' , and we denote by $\mathcal{C}^{opp}$ the opposite category, $\mathcal{C}^{opp}(c, c') = \mathcal{C}(c', c)$. For a small category $\mathcal{C}$ and a category A , we denote by $\text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}, A)$ the category of functors from $\mathcal{C}$ to A . For a functor $f : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}'$, $f^* : \text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}', A) \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}, A)$ is the pullback, and $f_!$, f_* are the left and the right Kan extensions (when they exists). If A is abelian, we denote by $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{C}, A)$ the derived category of the category $\text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}, A)$, and by abuse of notation, we use $f_!$ and f_* to denote the derived functors of the Kan extensions. If $A = k\text{-mod}$, the category of modules over a ring k , we shorten $\text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}, k\text{-mod})$, $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{C}, k\text{-mod})$ to $\text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}, k)$, $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{C}, k)$. The homology $H_*(\mathcal{C}, k)$ resp. cohomology $H^*(\mathcal{C}, k)$ of a small category $\mathcal{C}$ is defined by taking derived Kan extensions with respect to the projection $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{pt}$. As usual, $H^*(\mathcal{C}, k)$ is an algebra, and $H_*(\mathcal{C}, k)$ is a module over $H^*(\mathcal{C}, k)$. The homology can be computed by an explicit *bar complex* $C_*(\mathcal{C}, k)$.

We also introduce the following slightly non-standard definition.

Definition A.1. Assume given a small category $\mathcal{C}$. An object $E_\bullet \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{C}, k)$ is *locally constant* if for any map $f : a \rightarrow b$ in $\mathcal{C}$, the map $E_\bullet(f) : E_\bullet(a) \rightarrow E_\bullet(b)$ is a quasiisomorphism.

We freely use the notions from [SGA] (Cartesian map, fibration, cofibration, bifibration); for a brief overview with exactly the same notation as in this paper, see [Ka1, Section 1]. We also freely use the base change isomorphism and projection formula of [Ka1, Lemma 1.7]. We also use the following notion from [Bou].

Definition A.2. A *factorization system* on a category $\mathcal{C}$ is given by two subcategories $\mathcal{C}_v, \mathcal{C}_h \subset \mathcal{C}$ such that all isomorphisms in $\mathcal{C}$ lie both in $\mathcal{C}_v$ and in $\mathcal{C}_h$, and any morphism f in $\mathcal{C}$ decomposes as $f = v \circ h$, $v \in \mathcal{C}_v$, $h \in \mathcal{C}_h$, and such a decomposition is unique up to a unique isomorphism.

Example A.3. If $\gamma : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}'$ is a fibration, then fiberwise and Cartesian maps form a factorization system on $\mathcal{C}$.

Factorization systems are actually very useful gadgets, although they are traditionally relegated to appendices and introductions (and we follow the tradition). Definition A.2 has several corollaries and/or equivalent reformulations. For example, one can show that $\mathcal{C}_v \cap \mathcal{C}_h$ exactly consists of all the isomorphisms in $\mathcal{C}$; moreover, maps in $\mathcal{C}_v$ have a unique lifting property with respect to maps in $\mathcal{C}_h$, and vice versa. We refer the reader to [Bou] for discussion. We will need one result which is not in [Bou]. Assume that the category $\mathcal{C}$ is small, and let $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$ be the category of all objects in $\mathcal{C}$ and all isomorphisms between them, so that we have a Cartesian square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \overline{\mathcal{C}} & \xrightarrow{\overline{v}} & \mathcal{C}_h \\ \overline{h} \downarrow & & \downarrow h \\ \mathcal{C}_v & \xrightarrow{v} & \mathcal{C}, \end{array}$$

where $v, h, \overline{v}, \overline{h}$ are the embedding functors. Then the isomorphism $\overline{v}^* \circ h^* \cong \overline{h}^* \cong v^*$ induces a base change map

$$(A.1) \quad \overline{h}_! \circ \overline{v}^* \rightarrow v^* \circ h_!$$

of functors from $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{C}_h, k)$ to $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{C}_v, k)$.

Lemma A.4. *The base change map (A.1) is an isomorphism.*

Proof. Since the category $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{C}_h, k)$ is generated by representable functors of the form

$$k_c(c') = k[\mathcal{C}_h(c, c')],$$

$c, c' \in \mathcal{C}_h$, it suffices to prove that (A.1) becomes an isomorphism after applying to some such functor $k_c \in \text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}_h, k)$, $c \in \mathcal{C}_h$. Indeed, $h_!$ sends representable functors into representable ones, so that we have

$$(A.2) \quad v^* h_! k_c(c') = h_! k_c(c') = k[\mathcal{C}(c, c')]$$

for any $c' \in \mathcal{C}$. But by the definition of a factorization system, we have a natural isomorphism

$$(A.3) \quad \mathcal{C}(c, c') = \coprod_{c'' \in \mathcal{C}} (\mathcal{C}_h(c, c'') \times \mathcal{C}_v(c'', c')) / \text{Aut}(c''),$$

and the actions of the groups $\text{Aut}(c'')$ are free. Therefore (A.2) is isomorphic to

$$\bar{h}_! \bigoplus_{c'' \in \bar{\mathcal{C}}} k[\mathcal{C}_h(c, c'')],$$

and the right-hand side is exactly $\bar{v}^* k_c$. $\square$

We also use the A_∞ -technology; a brief introduction to the relevant part of it is contained in [Ka2, Section 1.5]. Here we just recall that an A_∞ -algebra is an algebra over a certain asymmetric operad $\mathbf{Ass}_\infty$ of complexes of abelian groups, a cofibrant resolution of the associative asymmetric operad $\mathbf{Ass}$. An A_∞ -coalgebra is an A_∞ -algebra in the opposite category. Since the operads are asymmetric, one can define algebras in an arbitrary tensor category. In particular, for any set S , one can consider the category of abelian groups graded by $S \times S$, with tensor product given by

$$(V \otimes V')_{s, s'} = \bigoplus_{s'' \in S} V_{s, s''} \otimes V'_{s'', s'}.$$

An A_∞ -algebra in this category is a small A_∞ -category with the set of objects S . More generally, given a small category $\mathcal{C}$ with the set of objects $\mathcal{C}_0$ and the set of morphisms $\mathcal{C}_1$, one can consider the category of $\mathcal{C}_1$ -graded vector spaces, with the tensor product given by

$$(V \otimes V')_f = \bigoplus_{f=f' \circ f''} V_{f'} \otimes V'_{f''}.$$

A $\mathcal{C}$ -graded A_∞ -coalgebra is an A_∞ -coalgebra in this category.

The derived category $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{B}_\bullet, \text{Ab})$ of A_∞ -functors from a small A_∞ -category $\mathcal{B}_\bullet$ to the category of complexes of objects in an abelian category Ab is defined by considering the DG category of all such functors and A_∞ -maps between them, and inverting quasiisomorphisms. The inversion procedure presents no problems, since the DG category is well-behaved (at least if Ab is large enough, for example $\text{Ab} = k\text{-mod}$ for some ring k). Every object has an h -projective and an h -injective replacement. For every A_∞ -morphism $f : \mathcal{B}_\bullet \rightarrow \mathcal{B}'_\bullet$, we have the pullback functor $f^* : \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{B}'_\bullet, \text{Ab}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{B}_\bullet, \text{Ab})$, and it has the right and left-adjoint functors $f_*, f_! : \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{B}_\bullet, \text{Ab}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{B}'_\bullet, \text{Ab})$.

Given a $\mathcal{C}$ -graded A_∞ -coalgebra $\mathcal{R}_\bullet$, one considers the DG category of $\mathcal{C}$ -graded k -valued A_∞ -comodules over $\mathcal{R}_\bullet$. One can still invert quasiisomorphisms to obtain the triangulated derived category $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{R}_\bullet, k)$. For any A_∞ -map $f : \mathcal{R}_\bullet \rightarrow \mathcal{R}'_\bullet$, we have the corestriction functor $f^* : \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{R}_\bullet, k) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{R}'_\bullet, k)$. For any functor $f : \mathcal{C}' \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$, we have the pullback $\mathcal{C}'$ -graded A_∞ -coalgebra $f^*\mathcal{R}_\bullet$ and a pullback functor $f^* : \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{R}_\bullet, k) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{C}', f^*\mathcal{R}_\bullet, k)$. However, h -projective replacements usually do not exist at all, and there is no general procedure for constructing h -injective ones. Therefore the existence of adjoints is non-trivial. One case where an adjoint does exist is the embedding functor $i : \text{pt} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ of the point category onto an object $c \in \mathcal{C}$. In this case, an adjoint to the pullback functor $i^* : \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{R}_\bullet, k) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(k)$ is given by the cofree A_∞ -module functor, sending $M \in \mathcal{D}(k)$ to an A_∞ -comodule M_c with

$$M_c(c') \cong M \otimes \bigoplus_{f:c' \rightarrow c} \mathcal{T}_\bullet(f).$$

Another situation where things are easy is the *trivial $\mathcal{C}$ -graded A_∞ -coalgebra* $\mathcal{R}_\bullet$ given by $\mathcal{R}_0(f) = \mathbb{Z}$ for any $f \in \mathcal{C}_1$, and $\mathcal{R}_i = 0$ for $i \neq 0$.

Lemma A.5. *Assume given a small category $\mathcal{C}$, and let $\mathcal{R}$ be the trivial $\mathcal{C}$ -graded A_∞ -coalgebra. Then every h -projective complex of functors from $\mathcal{C}^{opp}$ to $k\text{-mod}$ is h -projective as an A_∞ -comodule over $\mathcal{R}$, and the natural embedding*

$$\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{C}^{opp}, k) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{R}, k)$$

is an equivalence of categories.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{B}_\bullet(c, c') = \mathbb{Z}[\mathcal{C}(c, c')]$ be the free additive category generated by $\mathcal{C}$, and treat it as an A_∞ -category in the obvious way. Then by definition, an A_∞ -comodule over the trivial A_∞ -coalgebra $\mathcal{R}$ is the same thing as an A_∞ -functor from $\mathcal{B}_\bullet^{opp}$ to complexes of k -modules. Thus it suffices to prove the claim for A_∞ -categories, where it is well-known. $\square$

Lemma A.6. *Assume given a small category $\mathcal{C}$, a $\mathcal{C}$ -graded A_∞ -coalgebra $\mathcal{T}_\bullet$, and a functor $\rho : \mathcal{C}' \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ from a small category $\mathcal{C}'$ such that $\rho^*\mathcal{T}_\bullet$ is isomorphic to the trivial $\mathcal{C}'$ -graded A_∞ -coalgebra. Then the pullback functor $\rho^* : \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{T}_\bullet, k) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{C}', k)$ admits a right-adjoint functor*

$$\rho_* : \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{C}', k) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{T}_\bullet, k).$$

Proof. By definition, we have to prove that for any object $M \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{C}', k)$, the functor

$$(A.4) \quad N \mapsto \text{Hom}(\rho^* N, M)$$

from $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{T}_\bullet, k)$ to the category of k -modules is representable. By the cobar construction, every object $M \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{C}', k)$ is the cone of an endomorphism of an object $M' \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{C}', k)$ of the form

$$M' = \prod M_i,$$

where each $M_i = M'_a$ is the corepresentable functor from $\mathcal{C}'$ to $k\text{-mod}$ corresponding to an object $a \in \mathcal{C}'$ and some $M' \in k\text{-mod}$. Thus it suffices to prove that the functor (A.4) is representable for $M = M'_a$. The representing object is given by the cofree A_∞ -comodule $M'_{\rho(a)} \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{T}_\bullet, k)$. $\square$

Lemma A.7. *Assume given a small category $\mathcal{C}$ equipped with a factorization system, as in Lemma A.4. Moreover, assume given a $\mathcal{C}$ -graded A_∞ -coalgebra $\mathcal{T}_\bullet$ such that $h^*\mathcal{T}_\bullet$ is a trivial $\mathcal{C}_h$ -graded A_∞ -coalgebra, as in Lemma A.6. Then the base change map*

$$v^* \circ h_* \rightarrow \bar{h}_* \circ \bar{v}^*$$

of functors from $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{C}_h^{opp}, k)$ to $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{C}_v, v^\mathcal{T}_\bullet, k)$ induced by the obvious isomorphism $\bar{v}^* \circ h^* \cong \bar{h}^* \circ v^*$ is itself an isomorphism.*

Proof. The same proof as for Lemma A.4 works, with the adjoints constructed by Lemma A.6. $\square$

All the categories of A_∞ -comodules that we consider in this paper ought to be symmetric tensor categories. However, to construct the tensor product, one would need to equip the A_∞ -coalgebras with some sort of Hopf algebra structure, and this is too heavy technically. Therefore in general, we avoid tensor products. We do need them in one easy case. Say that a $\mathcal{C}$ -graded A_∞ -coalgebra $\mathcal{R}_\bullet$ is *augmented* if $\mathcal{R}_i = 0$ for $i < 0$, and $\mathcal{R}_0(f) = \mathbb{Z}$ for any

morphism f in $\mathcal{C}$. We then have an obvious augmentation map $\xi : \mathbb{Z}_{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_{\bullet}$, and the corresponding corestriction functor

$$\xi^* : \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{C}^{opp}, k) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{R}_{\bullet}, k).$$

However, we have more: for every complex $M_{\bullet}$ in $\text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{opp}, k)$ and every A_{∞} -comodule $E_{\bullet}$ over $\mathcal{R}_{\bullet}$, we can define the tensor product $E_{\bullet} \otimes \xi^* M_{\bullet}$ by setting

$$(E_{\bullet} \otimes \xi^* M_{\bullet})(c) = E_{\bullet}(c) \otimes M_{\bullet}(c), \quad c \in \mathcal{C},$$

with the A_{∞} -operations given by the products of the A_{∞} -operations in $E_{\bullet}$ and the structure maps of the functor $M_{\bullet}$. This construction is obviously associative in $M_{\bullet}$.

Finally, in order to construct A_{∞} -categories and A_{∞} -coalgebras, we use various categorical constructions associative “up to an isomorphism”. Here is the prototype example (for more details, see [Ka2, Subsection 1.6]). Assume given a small monoidal category $\mathcal{C}$, with an associativity isomorphism satisfying the usual pentagon equation. Then in effect, $\mathcal{C}$ is an algebra over the following asymmetric operad.

Definition A.8. The *monoidal category operad* I_n is an operad of groupoids defined as follows:

- (i) on objects, I_n is the free operad generated by a single binary operation,
- (ii) on morphisms, there exists exactly one morphisms between any two objects of the groupoid I_n .

The bar complex $C_{\bullet}(\mathcal{C}, k)$ is automatically an algebra over the operad $C_{\bullet}(I_{\bullet}, \mathbb{Z})$. But the operad $C_{\bullet}(I_{\bullet}, \mathbb{Z})$ is a resolution of the associative operad Ass , and the A_{∞} -operad Ass_{∞} is another such resolution, and a cofibrant one. Therefore the augmentation map $\text{Ass}_{\infty} \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ factors through a map $\text{Ass} \rightarrow C_{\bullet}(I_{\bullet}, \mathbb{Z})$. Fixing such a factorization once and for all, we turn the bar complex $C_{\bullet}(\mathcal{C}, k)$ into an A_{∞} -algebra over k .

References

- [BHM] M. Bökstedt, W.C. Hsiang, and I. Madsen, *The cyclotomic trace and algebraic K-theory of spaces*, Invent. Math. **111** (1993), 465–539.
- [BM] M. Bökstedt and I. Madsen, *Topological cyclic homology of the integers*, in *K-theory (Strasbourg, 1992)*, Astérisque **226** (1994), 7–8, 57–143.

- [Bou] A.K. Bousfield, *Constructions of factorization systems in categories*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **9** (1976/77), 207–220.
- [Dri] V. Drinfeld, *On the notion of geometric realization*, Moscow Math. J. **4** (2004), 617–626.
- [FT] B. Feigin and B. Tsygan, *Additive K-Theory*, in Lecture Notes in Math. **1289** (1987), 97–209.
- [FL] J.-M. Fontaine and G. Lafaille, *Construction de représentations p -adiques*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **15** (1982), 547–608 (1983).
- [FM] J.-M. Fontaine and W. Messing, *p -adic periods and p -adic étale cohomology*, in *Current trends in arithmetical algebraic geometry (Arcata, Calif., 1985)*, Contemp. Math. **67**, AMS, Providence, RI, 1987; 179–207.
- [Good] T.G. Goodwillie, *Relative algebraic K-theory and cyclic homology*, Ann. of Math. **124** (1986), 347–402.
- [SGA] A. Grothendieck, *SGA I, Exposé VI*.
- [HM] L. Hesselholt and I. Madsen, *On the K-theory of finite algebras over Witt vectors of perfect fields*, Topology **36** (1997), 29–101.
- [Ka1] D. Kaledin, *Non-commutative Hodge-to-de Rham degeneration via the method of Deligne-Illusie*, Pure Appl. Math. Q. **4** (2008), 785–875.
- [Ka2] D. Kaledin, *Derived Mackey functors*, to appear in Moscow Math. J.
- [Ka3] D. Kaledin, *Motivic structures in non-commutative geometry*, to appear in Proc. ICM 2010.
- [KS] M. Kashiwara and P. Schapira, *Categories and sheaves*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, **332**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2006.
- [LMS] L.G. Lewis, J.P. May, and M. Steinberger, *Equivariant stable homotopy theory*, with contributions by J. E. McClure, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, **1213**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1986.
- [L] J.-L. Loday, *Cyclic Homology*, second ed., Springer, 1998.

STEKLOV MATH INSTITUTE
MOSCOW, USSR

E-mail address: kaledin@mccme.ru